

Loss of Steam Generator Tube Integrity From Wear at Tube Support Plates NRC Staff Perspective

NRC Public Meeting with SGTF September 5, 2024 Corrosion and Steam Generator Branch, NRR/DNRL

ACRONYMS

- EPRI Electric Power Research Institute
- SGTF EPRI Steam Generator Task Force
- TSTF Technical Specifications Task Force
- RFO Refueling Outage
- ISPT In situ Pressure Test
- 3xNOPD 3 Times Normal Operating Pressure Differential
- CMOA Condition Monitoring Operational Assessment
- PWR Primary Water Reactor

- SG Steam Generator
- MA Mill Annealed
- TT Thermally Treated
- EFPM Effective Full Power Months
- TS Technical Specifications
- OA Operational Assessment
- NDE Nondestructive Examination
- TSP Tube Support Plates

PWR Fleet SG Technical Specifications History		Original SG TS until 2005 – Prescriptive surveillance requirements TSTF-449 (2005) – Performance based TS framework TSTF-510 (2011) – Extended inspection periods, deleted mid-points TSTF-577 (2021)		ts
	Τι	Yellow shad	e = TSTF-577	
	Alloy 600MA	Alloy 600TT	Alloy 690	
Inspect Interval (maximum)	Unchanged at <mark>24</mark> EFPM	From 48 to <mark>54 (72)</mark> EFPM	From 72 to <mark>96</mark> EFPM	
Inspect Period	From 60 to <mark>24</mark> EFPM	From 120, 96, 72 to <mark>54 (72)</mark> EFPM	From 144, 120, 96, 72 to <mark>96</mark> EFPM	

Background - Operating Experience

- Alloy 690 SG tube inspection Nov. 2023 RFO
- 9 flaws (4 tubes) did not satisfy condition monitoring analytically and required ISPT
- Based on ISPT, 2 tubes failed to meet TS structural integrity performance criterion (3xNOPD)
- Unit had skipped a planned 3rd RFO SG tube inspection after adopting TSTF-577 and revising the OA
- Revised OA was nonconservative:
 - Sub-population of aggressive wear (low rows) not recognized
 - Assumed tapered wear shape in low rows instead of flat wear

NRC Staff Perspective

- This operating experience resulted from an inadequate OA and NDE calibration/sizing error, not from a TSTF-577 issue
- Loss of tube integrity due to wear at support structures should not happen; degradation readily detected and sized with eddy current inspection
- Although tubes failed at or near the 3xNOPD criteria, the revised OA for wear at TSPs also allowed for an additional operating cycle. The inspection interval was limited by tube wear at anti-vibration bars

Discussion Topics

- Are any generic changes needed?
 - EPRI guidelines
 - Tube wear calibration standards and sizing techniques
 - OA techniques
- What is industry's expected level of internal vendor review and licensee review/acceptance of SG CMOA documents?
- For longer inspection intervals or challenging CMOAs, should the OA technique parameters/assumptions that are most sensitive to changing the time between inspections be highlighted within the CMOA?
- When are third party CMOA reviews prudent?
 - Licensee's internal expertise
 - OA results approaching acceptance criteria

References

- Technical Specifications Task Force, TSTF-577, "Revised Frequencies For Steam Generator Tube Inspections," Final Traveler Safety Evaluation, ADAMS Accession No. ML21098A188
- Licensee Event Report, Event 56834, "Steam Generator Tube Degradation Indicated by Failed In-Situ Pressure Testing," ADAMS Accession No. ML23364A001
- Notice of Violation, NRC Inspection Report 05000382/2024013, ADAMS Accession No. ML24228A261