

**From:** [Bert Crain](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#); [Paul Bollwerk](#); [williamfroelich@nrc.gov](mailto:williamfroelich@nrc.gov); [Sue Abreu](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] New plants for producing enriched uranium.  
**Date:** Sunday, January 22, 2023 12:00:14 PM

---

**Honorable ASLB Judges:**

I am writing to object to the Board's failure to provide clear instructions as to how non-parties to this license amendment proceeding are allowed to provide comments to the Board. The August 31, 2022 Federal Register notice referred non-parties to an ADAMS document which was not hyperlinked, and the notice contained zero explanation that persons would be allowed to provide public comments to the Board at the time of the December 12, 2022 hearing.

NRC regulations at 10 CFR § 2.315(a) state, "A person who is not a party . . . may, in the discretion of the presiding officer, be permitted to make a limited appearance by making an oral or written statement of his or her position on the issues at any session of the hearing or any prehearing conference within the limits and on the conditions fixed by the presiding officer." This was not done with regard to the Nuclear Fuel Services prehearing on December 12. I thus object and request that the Board place my below comments into the record of this proceeding and be deemed properly submitted pursuant to 10 CFR § 2.315(a).

There are several reasons why enriched uranium should not be produced by the plant in Erwin, Tennessee. Two very conspicuous ones are that it will provide another site for dangerous contamination that will have to be constantly monitored. Perhaps even more important it provides another site that will have to be guarded meticulously against an armed terrorist group obtaining material for their bomb and the subsequent suffering of thousands if not millions of innocents. Please do your part to prevent this.

Bert Crain M.D.  
Hickory, N.C.