

## EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

<b>Chief:</b>	<b>Clyde Osterholtz</b>	<b>Facility:</b>	<b>GG</b>	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	<b>10/17/2022</b>
<b>U/I:</b>		<b>Start of Op Test:</b>	<b>10/10/2022</b>	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	<b>10/15/2022</b>
<b>Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>		
<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date Complete</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Notes</b>	
2/7/2022	Examination administration date confirmed	12/8/2021	cco	For NRC prepared exams, arrangements made for the facility to submit reference materials	
3/14/2022	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned	12/8/2022	cco		
3/14/2022	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements	12/8/2022	cco		
3/14/2022	Reference material due for NRC prepared exams	N/A		ES-201 Att-3	
3/14/2022	Corporate Notification Letter sent	12/8/2022	cco	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
3/28/2022	NRC developed written examination outline sent to facility contact	12/8/2022	cco	ES-401-1/2 and ES-401-3	
5/9/2022	Operating test outline(s) and other checklists due	5/6/2022	cco	ES-201-2, 201-3, 301-1, 301-2, 301-5, D-1	
5/23/2022	Operating test outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee	5/19/2022	cco	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
7/25/2022	Proposed exams, outlines, supporting documentation, and reference materials due.	7/7/2022	cco	Exams prepared by the NRC are approved by BC and forwarded for facility licensee review	
8/1/2022	Peer review of written exam complete	N/A	cco	Document review on ES-401-9	
8/22/2022	Written exam and operating test reviews completed	8/19/2022	cco	Document review on ES-401-9	
9/5/2022	Feedback approved by Branch Chief	9/5/2022	cco		
9/5/2022	Examination review results discussed between NRC and facility licensee	9/5/2022	cco		
9/12/2022	Preliminary license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	9/12/2022	cco	NRC Forms 398/396	
9/12/2022	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	9/12/2022	cco		
9/19/2022	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	9/12/2022	cco		
9/26/2022	Final license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	9/26/2022	cco	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
10/3/2022	Written exams and operating tests approved by Branch Chief	9/29/2022	cco		
10/3/2022	Request facility licensee management feedback on the exam	9/29/2022	cco		
10/3/2022	Final applications reviewed and audited; exam approval and waiver/excusal letters sent	9/30/2022	cco	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
10/3/2022	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee	9/30/2022	cco		
10/3/2022	Approved scenarios and JPMs distributed to examiners	9/30/2022	cco		
10/10/2022	Administer Operating Test	10/10/2022	cco		
10/22/2022	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	11/7/2022	cco		
11/6/2022	Post exam comments and graded exams received	11/7/2022	cco	ES-403-1 to BC	
11/14/2022	Post exam written comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed	11/7/2022	cco		
11/14/2022	Post exam operating test comments reviewed and ES-303-1 updated	11/7/2022	cco		
11/21/2022	Chief examiner review of operating test and/or written exam grading completed	11/8/2022	cco	Signed ES 303's to BC	
11/28/2022	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	12/6/2022	cco		
12/5/2022	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	12/6/2022	cco		
12/5/2022	License/Proposed Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	12/6/2022	cco		
12/5/2022	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	12/6/2022	cco	print Report-21	
12/26/2022	Final Denial Letters mailed (if no request for informal review)	N/A		21 days after proposed denial letters mailed.	
1/2/2023	Examination Report Issued	by 1/5/2023	cco	produced by CE	
1/9/2023	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A			
2/6/2023	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	N/A		SUNSI checklist to LA	
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 11, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1					

password es1021

# Form 2.3-1 Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Facility: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station		Date of Examination: October 10, 2022																				
Item	Task Description	(Y)es / (N)o																				
		a	b*	c#																		
WRITTEN	a. The outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with the instructions in Section B of ES-4.1, and all knowledge and ability (K/A) categories are appropriately sampled.	Y		Y																		
	b. The outline does not overemphasize any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Y	Y	Y																		
	c. Justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are acceptable.	Y	Y	Y																		
SIMULATOR	a. Using Form 3.4-1, Events and Evolutions Checklist, verify that the proposed scenario set contains the required number of normal evolutions, reactivity evolutions, instrument and component failures, manual control evolutions, technical specifications, and major transients.	Y	Y	Y																		
	b. There are enough scenarios (and spares) for the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity. Ensure that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Y	Y	Y																		
	c. Ensure that all scenarios are new or significantly modified in accordance with ES-3.4 and that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s).	Y	Y	Y																		
	d. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conforms with the qualitative and quantitative simulator set criteria specified on Form 2.3-2.	Y	Y	Y																		
JPMS	a. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified in the instructions on Form 3.2-1 and that no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s).	Y	Y	Y																		
	b. Verify that the control room and in-plant systems outline meets the criteria specified in the instructions on Form 3.2-2 and that no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s).	Y	Y	Y																		
	c. Determine whether the number of job performance measures (JPMs) and JPM types is sufficient for the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Y	Y	Y																		
GENERAL	a. Assess whether the appropriate exam sections cover plant-specific priorities (including probabilistic risk assessment and individual plant examination insights).	Y	Y	Y																		
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41, 10 CFR 55.43, and 10 CFR 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Y	Y	Y																		
	c. Check whether K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are greater than or equal to 2.5.	Y	Y	Y																		
	d. Check for duplication and overlap across the exam and with the last two NRC exams.	Y	Y	Y																		
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Y	Y	Y																		
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (reactor operator or senior reactor operator).	Y	Y	Y																		
<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Printed Name/Signature</td> <td>Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. Author</td> <td>Steve Reeves / Steve Reeves</td> <td>5/5/22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Facility Reviewer (*)</td> <td>Anthony Watson / Anthony Watson</td> <td>5/9/22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. NRC Reviewer (#)</td> <td>Clyde C. Osterholtz / C C Osterholtz</td> <td>5/18/2022</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NRC Chief Examiner</td> <td>Clyde C. Osterholtz / C C Osterholtz</td> <td>5/18/2022</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NRC Supervisor</td> <td>Heather J. Gepford / Heather J. Gepford</td> <td>5/19/22</td> </tr> </table>						Printed Name/Signature	Date	a. Author	Steve Reeves / Steve Reeves	5/5/22	b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Anthony Watson / Anthony Watson	5/9/22	c. NRC Reviewer (#)	Clyde C. Osterholtz / C C Osterholtz	5/18/2022	NRC Chief Examiner	Clyde C. Osterholtz / C C Osterholtz	5/18/2022	NRC Supervisor	Heather J. Gepford / Heather J. Gepford	5/19/22
	Printed Name/Signature	Date																				
a. Author	Steve Reeves / Steve Reeves	5/5/22																				
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Anthony Watson / Anthony Watson	5/9/22																				
c. NRC Reviewer (#)	Clyde C. Osterholtz / C C Osterholtz	5/18/2022																				
NRC Chief Examiner	Clyde C. Osterholtz / C C Osterholtz	5/18/2022																				
NRC Supervisor	Heather J. Gepford / Heather J. Gepford	5/19/22																				
<p>* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</p> <p># An independent NRC reviewer performs the steps in column "c." This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the outline under review.</p>																						

# Form 2.3-4 Written Examination Quality Checklist

Facility: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station		Date of Exam: October 17, 2022		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SRO <input type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description				(Y)es / (N)o		
				a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility. Each question includes a technical reference.				✓	✓	y
2. a. All questions reference NRC knowledge and abilities (K/As) requirements. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. c. All questions include an explanation of the correct answer explanation and a distractor analysis.				✓	✓	y
3. Senior reactor operator (SRO) questions test at the SRO license level.						
4. The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than four reactor operator (RO) or two SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, including full (100-question) retake examinations, consult the NRC Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation operator licensing program office.)				✓	✓	y
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed. ___ The audit exam was completed before the license exam was started. ___ The examinations were developed independently. ✓ The licensee certifies that there is no duplication. ___ Other (explain):				✓	✓	y
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75% from the bank, at least 10% new (at the comprehension/analysis level), and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution >				Bank	Modified	New
				23 / 30.6%	1 / 1.3%	51 / 68%
7. Between 38 and 45 questions of the questions on the RO exam and at least 13 of the questions on the SRO-only portion of the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution >				Memory	C/A	
				33 / 44%	42 / 56%	
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				✓	✓	y
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the K/A statements' assigned tier; deviations are justified.				✓	✓	y
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the instructions and guidelines in ES-4.2.				✓	✓	y
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple-choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.				✓	✓	y
Printed Name/Signature				Date		
a. Author	Steve Reeves / Item Room			9/25/22		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Anthony Watson / Anthony Watson			9/28/22		
c. NRC Reviewer (#)	Clyde Osterholtz	CC Osterholtz		9/28/2022		
NRC Chief Examiner	Clyde Osterholtz	CC Osterholtz		9/28/2022		
NRC Regional Supervisor	Heather Gepford	Heather Gepford		9/30/22		
* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # An independent NRC reviewer performs steps in column c. This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the written examination under review.						

# Form 2.3-4 Written Examination Quality Checklist

Facility: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station		Date of Exam: October 17, 2022		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/>	SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description				(Y)es / (N)o		
				a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility. Each question includes a technical reference.				Y	Y	y
2. a. All questions reference NRC knowledge and abilities (K/As) requirements. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. c. All questions include an explanation of the correct answer explanation and a distractor analysis.				Y	Y	y
3. Senior reactor operator (SRO) questions test at the SRO license level.				Y	Y	y
4. The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than four reactor operator (RO) or two SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, including full (100-question) retake examinations, consult the NRC Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation operator licensing program office.)				Y	Y	y
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed. ___ The audit exam was completed before the license exam was started. ___ The examinations were developed independently. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The licensee certifies that there is no duplication. ___ Other (explain):				Y	Y	y
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75% from the bank, at least 10% new (at the comprehension/analysis level), and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution >				Bank	Modified	New
				5 / 20%	0 / 0	20 / 80%
7. Between 38 and 45 questions of the questions on the RO exam and at least 13 of the questions on the SRO-only portion of the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution >				Memory	C/A	
				8 / 32%	17 / 68%	Y
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				Y	Y	y
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the K/A statements' assigned tier; deviations are justified.				Y	Y	y
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the instructions and guidelines in ES-4.2.				Y	Y	y
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple-choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.				Y	Y	y
Printed Name/Signature				Date		
a. Author	Steve Reeves / <i>Steve Reeves</i>			9/28/22		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Anthony Watson / <i>Anthony Watson</i>			9/28/22		
c. NRC Reviewer (#)	Clyde Osterholtz	<i>CC Osterholtz</i>		9/28/2022		
NRC Chief Examiner	Clyde Osterholtz	<i>CC Osterholtz</i>		9/28/2022		
NRC Regional Supervisor	Heather Gepford	<i>Heather Gepford</i>		9/30/22		
* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # An independent NRC reviewer performs steps in column c. This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the written examination under review.						

## Form 2.3-2 Operating Test Quality Checklist

<p>a. Each job performance measure (JPM) includes the following, as applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• task standard</li> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if the facility licensee deems it to be time critical</li> <li>• alternate path JPMs are labeled as "alternate path"</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>– system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>– statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>– criteria for successful completion of the JPM task standard</li> <li>– identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>– restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	y	y	y
<p>b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved JPM outlines (Forms 3.2-1 and 3.2-2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on those forms.</p>	y	y	y
<b>Simulator Scenario Set Criteria for Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3</b>			
<b>QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES</b>			
<p>1. The initial conditions are realistic in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.</p>	y	y	y
<p>2. The scenarios consist mostly of related events.</p>	y	y	y
<p>3. Each event description consists of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>• the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>• the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>• the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>• the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	y	y	y
<b>QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (continued)</b>	(Y)es / (N)o		
	a	b*	c#
<p>4. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.</p>	y	y	y
<p>5. The sequencing and timing of events is reasonable and allows the examination team to observe and evaluate applicant performance.</p>	y	y	y
<p>6. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.</p>	y	y	y
<p>7. The simulator modeling is not altered.</p>	y	y	y
<p>8. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.</p>	y	y	y
<p>9. Scenarios are new or significantly modified in accordance with ES-3.4.</p>	y	y	y

10. Scenarios (as grouped) allow each applicant to be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients, events, and evolutions specified on the version of Form 3.4-1 submitted with the scenario set.		Y	Y	y
11. Applicants are evaluated on a similar number of preidentified critical tasks across scenarios, when possible.		Y	Y	y
12. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.		Y	Y	y
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES per Scenario (See ES-3.4)	Actual Attributes by Scenario No. 1 / 2 / 3	(Y)es / (N)o		
		a	b*	c#
1. Malfunctions after emergency operating procedure (EOP) entry (1-2)	1 / 2 / 2	Y	Y	y
2. Abnormal events (2-4)	3 / 3 / 3	Y	Y	y
3. Major transients (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1	Y	Y	y
4. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 2 / 3	Y	Y	y
5. Entry into a contingency EOP with substantive actions (≥ 1 per scenario set; set is the entire set of scenarios prepared for the scheduled exam)	1 / 1 / 1	Y	Y	y
6. Preidentified critical tasks (≥ 2)	2 / 2 / 3	Y	Y	y

  

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Author	Steve Reeves / Steve Reeves	9/28/22
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Anthony Watson / Anthony Watson	9/28/22
c. NRC Reviewer (#)	Clyde Osterholtz CC Osterholtz	9/29/2022
NRC Chief Examiner	Clyde Osterholtz CC Osterholtz	9/29/2022
NRC Supervisor	Heather Gepford Heather Gepford	9/30/22

\* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.  
# An independent NRC reviewer performs the steps in column c. This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the operating test under review.

# Form 3.4-1 Events and Evolutions Checklist

Facility: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR			Date of Exam: 10/10/2022			Operating Test No.:GGNS-2022												
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios													T O T A L	M I N I M U M*		
		1			2			3			4							
		P O S I T I O N			P O S I T I O N			P O S I T I O N			P O S I T I O N							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
																RO	I	U
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX							1							1	1	1	0
	NOR			1				1							2	1	1	1
	I/C			3				3							6	4	4	2
	MAJ			2				1							3	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl			2				1							3	1	1	0
	TS															0	2	2
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		1												1	1	1	0
	NOR		1						1						2	1	1	1
	I/C		3						3						6	4	4	2
	MAJ		2						1						3	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl		1						2						3	1	1	0
	TS															0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX	1						1							2	1	1	0
	NOR	2						1							3	1	1	1
	I/C	6						3							9	4	4	2
	MAJ	2						1							3	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl	3						1							4	1	1	0
	TS	2													2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX	1													1	1	1	0
	NOR	2							1						3	1	1	1
	I/C	6							3						9	4	4	2
	MAJ	2							1						3	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl	3							2						5	1	1	0
	TS	2													2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RX	1													1	1	1	0
	NOR	2													2	1	1	1
	I/C	6													6	4	4	2
	MAJ	2													2	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl	3													3	1	1	0
	TS	2													2	0	2	2



# Form 3.4-1 Events and Evolutions Checklist

Facility: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR				Date of Exam: 10/10/2022				Operating Test No.:GGNS-2022									
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M*		
		1			2			3			4						
		POSITION			POSITION			POSITION			POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
														RO	I	U	
RO <input type="checkbox"/>	RX							1						1	1	1	0
SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOR			1				2						3	1	1	1
SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	I/C			3				6						9	4	4	2
	MAJ			2				1						3	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl			2				3						5	1	1	0
	TS							2						2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		1					1						2	1	1	0
SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOR		1					2						3	1	1	1
SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	I/C		3					6						9	4	4	2
	MAJ		2					1						3	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl		1					3						4	1	1	0
	TS							2						2	0	2	2

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2022 to 12/31/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Exam Week	Site / Docket # / Insp Rpt #	# Candidates				Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
		RO	LSRO	SROI	SROU				
09/11/2022	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2022301 CAC #: 000956					FB-OR- ONSITE VALIDATI ON OF INITIAL LICENSE EXAMIN ATION (OV)		Osterholtz, Clyde	Hernandez,Nick Kirkland,John Murphy,Kevin Osterholtz,Clyde You,David
10/09/2022	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2022301 CAC #: 000500	4	0	7	1	FB-OR- INITIAL LICENSE EXAM ADMINIS TRATION (EXAD)	NFF	Osterholtz, Clyde	Hernandez,Nick Kirkland,John Murphy,Kevin Osterholtz,Clyde You,David

Sites: GG

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

11/16/2022 1:14:50 PM

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2022 to 12/31/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Date	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
10/2022	4	7	1	0	12

Sites: GG

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

11/16/2022 1:14:50 PM

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2022 to 12/31/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Site	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
Grand Gulf	4	7	1	0	12

Sites: GG

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

11/16/2022 1:14:50 PM

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2022 to 12/31/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Region	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
Region 4	4	7	1	0	12

Sites: GG

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

11/16/2022 1:14:50 PM

# Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, 10/2022 ILT

## Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

### (1) Preexamination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of October 10, 2022 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

### (2) Postexamination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of October 10, 2022. From the date that I entered into this examination security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC.

	Printed Name	Job Title	Signature (1)	Date	Signature (2)	Date	Note
1.	Steve Reeves	Lead Exam Develop	Steve Reeves	12-1-21	Steve Reeves	10/19/22	
2.	TA Thompson	Ops Exam Sup	TA Thompson	12-1-21	Steve Reeves	10/19/22	②
3.	Mickey Ellis	Develop	Mickey Ellis	1/5/22	Mickey Ellis	10/18/22	
4.	Guilbert	SIA Support	Guilbert	1/3/22	Steve Reeves	10/19/22	②
5.	Jay Gilbert	Ops Rep	Jay Gilbert	2/1/22	Jay Gilbert	10/19/22	
6.	Gabe Kimich	RBS Exam Sup	Gabe Kimich	4/13/22	Steve Reeves	10/19/22	③
7.	Anthony Watson	Ops Exam Sup	Anthony Watson	4/18/22	Anthony Watson	10/18/22	
8.	Nicole Fairchild	Training Manager	Nicole Fairchild	5/4/22	Nicole Fairchild	10/19/22	
9.	William Chausse	SIA Spec.	William Chausse	5/5/22	William Chausse	10/18/22	
10.	William Chausse	EP Trainer	William Chausse	5/16/22	Steve Reeves	10/19/22	③
11.	Brandon Meyer	Ops Tech	Brandon Meyer	5/23/22	Steve Reeves	10/19/22	②
12.	Michael Carr	RO	Michael Carr	5-23-22	Steve Reeves	10/19/22	③
13.	Melissa Linbeck	SRO	Melissa Linbeck	6-23-22	Melissa Linbeck	10/18/22	

NOTES: ① See attached Security Agreement for signature.  
 ② Individual does not work for the company, was notified via phone or personal email.  
 ③ Notified via email

# Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, 10/2022 ILT

## Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

### (1) Preexamination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Oct 10, 2022 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

### (2) Postexamination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Oct 10, 2022. From the date that I entered into this examination security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC.

	Printed Name	Job Title	Signature (1)	Date	Signature (2)	Date	Note
14.	Michael Starnes	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-23-22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	(3)
15.	Sean S Dixon	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-23-22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	(3)
16.	Daniel Hugrove	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-23-22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	(3)
17.	Donny Davis	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/31/20	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	
18.	Fish Hubbard	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/31/20	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-19-22	
19.	Arac L VAVE	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/31/22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	
20.	Emmett K. Owens Jr	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/31/22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	(3)
21.	Kenix Avard	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/31/22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	(3)
22.	Marly McAdams	Exam SGRV	<i>[Signature]</i>	8-2-22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/18/22	(3)
23.	Chase Miller	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-13-22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	(3)
24.	Gary K Ellis	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-13-22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-19-22	
25.	Robert M Mitchell	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-13-22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	(3)
26.	Mitchell S. Lawrence	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/13/22	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/19/22	

NOTES:

(3) Notified via email



# Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, 10/2022 ILT

## Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

### (1) Preexamination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

### (2) Postexamination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this examination security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC.

	Printed Name	Job Title	Signature (1)	Date	Signature (2)	Date	Note
27.	Donnie Walker	SM	[Signature]	9/15/22	[Signature]	10/19/22	3
28.	Ralph H. Walker	SM	[Signature]	10/5/22	[Signature]	10/19/22	3
29.	Joseph E. Smith	OPS INST	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/18/22	
30.	Jon Heidke	OPS INST	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/18/22	
31.	Chelsea Sanders	Admin	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/18/22	
32.	MAKON HEBERT	OPS INST	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/19/22	
33.	KILLIE ACLA RITTON	OPS INST	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10-19-22	
34.	ERICH LIMBECK	OPS INST	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/19/22	
35.	TAMER MORGAN	OPS SRO	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/18/22	
36.	BARRY MCGILL	OPS TRN	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/19/22	
37.	CHUCK STILES	OPS INST	[Signature]	10/10/22	[Signature]	10/19/22	3
38.	Chad Rogers	OPS INST	[Signature]	10/11/22	[Signature]	10/19/22	
39.	Frank Weaver	OPS TRN	[Signature]	10/12/22	[Signature]	10-18-22	

NOTES:

③ Notified via email