

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE (MD)

MD 6.1 RESOLUTION AND FOLLOWUP OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS		DT-22-14
Volume 6	Internal Management	
Approved By:	Christopher T. Hanson, Chair	
Date Approved:	December 12, 2022	
Cert. Date:	N/A, for the latest version of any NRC directive or handbook, see the online MD Catalog .	
Issuing Office:	Office of the Executive Director for Operations	
Contact Name:	John Jolicoeur	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		
<p>Management Directive (MD) 6.1, “Resolution and Followup of Audit Recommendations,” is revised in conjunction with required periodic MD review. The revision reflects the changes in response times for Government Accountability Office (GAO) audits as directed by the Good Accounting Obligation in Government Act. In addition, the word “Chairman” has been replaced with the word “Chair” when referencing the head of the agency to align with the NRC’s efforts to use inclusive language in its publications.</p>		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. POLICY	2
II. OBJECTIVES	2
III. ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY.....	2
A. Chair.....	2
B. Commission.....	3
C. Executive Director for Operations (EDO)	3
D. Chief Financial Officer (CFO)	4
E. Inspector General (IG), Office of the Inspector General (OIG).....	5
F. Directors of Commission Offices, Panels, and Advisory Committees	6
G. Deputy Executive Directors for Operations (DEDOs) and Deputy Chief Financial Officer, Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO).....	7
H. Regional Administrators and Office Directors Reporting to the EDO	7

For updates or revisions to policies contained in this MD that were issued after the MD was signed, please see the Yellow Announcement to Management Directive index ([YA-to-MD index](#)).

I. Director, Acquisition Management Division (AMD), Office of Administration (ADM)	7
IV. APPLICABILITY	8
V. DIRECTIVE HANDBOOK.....	8
VI. REFERENCES.....	8

I. POLICY

It is the policy of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to resolve audit recommendations promptly and to correct deficiencies identified in final audit reports. This management directive (MD) provides internal guidance to NRC personnel for implementation of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-50, "Audit Followup," as revised (September 29, 1982), and the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 3). OMB Circular A-50 establishes policies and procedures for use by agencies in following up on audit reports. Additionally, the Inspector General Act imposes reporting requirements on agency heads with respect to audit recommendations.

II. OBJECTIVES

- Establish systems to ensure prompt and proper resolution and implementation of audit recommendations.
- Ensure that all attempts are made to recover amounts due to the NRC because of management determinations on audit findings and recommendations.
- Provide specific guidance on addressing audits by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) and the NRC Office of the Inspector General (OIG), which can be used as a framework for other auditing organizations.

III. ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY

A. Chair

1. Transmits responses to congressional committees regarding recommendations in reports from the GAO.
2. Regarding audit recommendations addressed to the Chair—
 - (a) Responds to the OIG on behalf of the Commission or on behalf of the Chair alone, as appropriate, on recommendations contained in draft and final OIG audit reports. The Chair may delegate responsibility for responding to these reports to the responsible director of a Commission office, panel, or advisory committee.

The Chair also responds to GAO on behalf of the Commission on final and, where appropriate, draft GAO audit reports.

- (b) Ensures that recommendations of audit reports are resolved; corrective actions are assigned, tracked, and completed; and the Audit Followup Official (AFO) is advised of actions taken, as discussed in Section III.C.3 of this directive.
- 3. When necessary, resolves differences between OIG and the Commission offices, Executive Director for Operations (EDO) staff offices, and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) regarding recommendations contained in OIG audit reports. However, when the resolution involves matters relating to policy formulation, certain types of rulemaking or orders, adjudications (as defined in the Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980 (5 U.S.C. App.)), or any other matter within the Commission's authority, refers such issues to the Commission.
- 4. Transmits the Good Accounting Obligation in Government Act (GAO-IG Act) Report, as part of the Congressional Budget Justification, informing Congress on management decisions and final actions taken regarding audit recommendations from GAO and OIG that are more than 1 year old.
- 5. Transmits the OIG semi-annual reports to congressional committees, along with any agency comments, within 30 days of receipt from the Inspector General (IG).

B. Commission

- 1. Approves responses to congressional committees regarding recommendations in reports from GAO.
- 2. Approves responses to GAO on selected draft audit reports from GAO.
- 3. Ensures that appropriate agency actions are taken to address matters raised in audit reports when they involve policy formulation, certain types of rulemaking or orders, adjudications as defined in the Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980, or any other matter within the Commission's authority.

C. Executive Director for Operations (EDO)

- 1. Provides policy guidance to ensure that management officials throughout the agency understand the value of the audit process and are responsive to audit recommendations.
- 2. Regarding audit recommendations addressed to the EDO—
 - (a) Responds to OIG, with a copy to the Chair and each Commissioner, on recommendations contained in final draft reports for any offices reporting directly to the EDO (including multiple Deputy Executive Directors for Operations

(DEDOs)), as well as final OIG reports for all offices reporting directly to the EDO. This role may be delegated to a DEDO.

- (b) Responds to GAO, with a copy to the Chair and each Commissioner, on the accuracy of facts and conclusions contained in draft GAO reports.
 - (c) Ensures that recommendations of audit reports are resolved; corrective actions are assigned, tracked, and completed; and OIG is advised of actions taken relative to their audit report recommendations.
3. Serves as the NRC's Audit Followup Official (AFO)
- (a) Provides oversight and direction to ensure that recommendations of audit reports are resolved in a timely manner and corrective action is assigned and completed.
 - (b) Provides a system to maintain accurate records and track the status of audit reports and recommendations through the entire process of issue resolution and corrective action implementation (except for contract audits as discussed in Section III.I.6 of this directive).
 - (c) Facilitates resolution of any disagreements between offices reporting to the Commission (including Commission panels and advisory committees) and the IG regarding audit findings and recommendations.
 - (d) Resolves any disagreements between the DEDOs and OIG regarding audit findings and recommendations.
 - (e) Provides for a triennial review of implementation of selected audit recommendations, including analyses of audit recommendations, resolution, and corrective action activities to determine if there are any trends or problems that warrant further action and to recommend solutions.
 - (f) Reports periodically on the status of GAO assignments to the Commission for information.
4. Forwards to the Commission for approval and transmittal the required statements to congressional committees on recommendations contained in final GAO audit reports.
5. Integrates input from individual regions and offices to prepare the section of the Congressional Budget Justification on management decisions and final actions on GAO and OIG audit recommendations that are more than 1 year old.

D. Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

- 1. Ensures that timely action is taken to pursue collection of funds that result from management determinations on audit findings and recommendations.

2. Establishes accounts receivable and pursues collection action as described in MD 4.1, "Accounting Policy and Practices"; 10 CFR Part 15, "Debt Collection Procedures"; 10 CFR Part 16, "Salary Offset Procedures for Collecting Debts Owed by Federal Employees to the Federal Government"; and 31 CFR, Parts 900-904, "Federal Claims Collection Standards" for funds owed to the NRC as a result of audits.
3. Notifies the Assistant Inspector General for Audits when accounts receivable are established for funds owed to the NRC as a result of NRC contract audits.
4. Informs the AFO of significant audit findings and of any disagreements with OIG on audit findings and recommendations.
5. Regarding an audit of OCFO only—
 - (a) Responds directly to OIG, with a copy to the Chair, each Commissioner, and the AFO on recommendations contained in draft OIG and final audit reports.
 - (b) Responds to GAO, with a copy to the Chair, each Commissioner, and the AFO on the accuracy of facts and conclusions contained in draft GAO reports.
 - (c) Ensures that recommendations of audit reports are resolved; corrective actions are assigned, tracked, and completed; and the AFO and OIG are advised of actions taken.
6. Forwards to the Commission, with a copy to the AFO, for approval and transmittal the required statements to congressional committees on recommendations contained in final GAO audit reports.
7. Develops a remediation plan in consultation with the Director of OMB if there is a sustained audit finding that NRC financial management systems are not in compliance with the requirements of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (31 U.S.C. 3512).
8. Evaluates OIG and GAO audit reports to identify areas concerning internal controls for appropriate follow up and for preparation of the annual report to the President and Congress as required by 31 U.S.C. 3512.

E. Inspector General (IG), Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

1. Provides copies of all final audit reports to the Chair, each Commissioner, and the AFO.
2. Provides copies of all contract audit reports issued by OIG to the Acquisition Management Division (AMD), Office of Administration (ADM).

3. Informs the AFO about disagreements on audit recommendations and provides information copies of matters referred to the Commission for resolution.
4. Periodically evaluates audit recommendations, management decisions, and resulting corrective actions to identify trends and systemic problems.
5. Evaluates the adequacy of NRC's audit followup system.
6. Reviews implementation of corrective actions in response to OIG audit recommendations by NRC management to determine whether these actions were consistent with the management decision.
7. Forwards to the Chair for transmittal to congressional committees those semi-annual reports required by the Inspector General Act of 1978.
8. Regarding GAO audits of OIG—
 - (a) Responds directly to draft and final GAO audit reports, with copies to the Chair and each Commissioner.
 - (b) Transmits the required statements to congressional committees on recommendations contained in final GAO audit reports, with copies to the Chair and each Commissioner.

F. Directors of Commission Offices, Panels, and Advisory Committees

Regarding audits of their offices—

1. Respond to OIG, in coordination with OEDO, with a copy to the Chair, each Commissioner, and the AFO on recommendations contained in final draft and final OIG audit reports.
2. Respond to GAO, in coordination with OEDO, with a copy to the Chair, each Commissioner, and the AFO, on the accuracy of facts and conclusions contained in draft GAO audit reports.
3. Forward to the Commission, in coordination with OEDO, for approval and transmittal the required statements to congressional committees on recommendations contained in final GAO audit reports, with a copy to the AFO.
4. Ensure that recommendations of audit reports are resolved; corrective actions are assigned, tracked, and completed; and the Chair, each Commissioner, the AFO, and OIG are advised of actions taken.

G. Deputy Executive Directors for Operations (DEDOs) and Deputy Chief Financial Officer, Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO)

1. Review and respond directly to OIG on draft audit reports for their respective areas of responsibility.
2. Coordinate resolution and corrective action on audit recommendations involving more than one internal program or agency.
3. Inform the AFO of significant audit findings and of any disagreements with OIG on audit findings and recommendations.

H. Regional Administrators and Office Directors Reporting to the EDO

1. Develop, coordinate, and submit responses to audit reports to the appropriate DEDO or to the EDO (for offices reporting directly to the EDO).
2. Ensure that corrective actions within areas of responsibility are prioritized, assigned, tracked, and implemented and advise the AFO and OIG of the action taken.
3. Notify the CFO when corrective actions in response to audit recommendations result in significant changes to existing internal controls or in the development of new internal controls.
4. Prepare input on management decisions and final actions on GAO and OIG audit recommendations that are more than 1 year old and submit it to the EDO for inclusion in the Congressional Budget Justification.

I. Director, Acquisition Management Division (AMD), Office of Administration (ADM)

1. Resolves any disagreements on contract audit recommendations between contracting officers and OIG (including audits performed by the Defense Contract Audit Agency).
2. Informs the AFO of any disagreements on contract audit recommendations before taking final action.
3. Establishes target dates for completing corrective action in response to contract audit recommendations.
4. Ensures there is written documentation that demonstrates the adequacy and appropriateness of actions taken in response to contract audit recommendations.
5. Ensures that contracting officers—
 - (a) Make initial management decisions on recommendations resulting from audits of NRC contracts and grants.

- (b) Refer any disagreements with OIG on contract audit recommendations to the Director of AMD for final management decision and resolution.
 - (c) Provide OCFO with a copy of the written determination establishing a contractor's indebtedness to the NRC because of audits of NRC contracts.
 - (d) Inform the Assistant Inspector General for Audits, OIG, at the time OCFO is notified of the debt to be collected by the NRC because of audits of NRC contracts.
- 6. Provides information on contract audits and disallowed costs to the AFO for inclusion in the annual Performance and Accountability Report.
 - 7. Provides for the maintenance of accurate records of the status of contract audit reports and recommendations through the entire process of resolution and corrective action.

IV. APPLICABILITY

This MD applies to all NRC and regional office employees responsible for resolution and followup of audit recommendations in reports issued by OIG, executive branch audit organizations, GAO, and non-Federal organizations.

V. DIRECTIVE HANDBOOK

Handbook 6.1 contains detailed guidance for resolution and follow-up of audit recommendations.

VI. REFERENCES

Code of Federal Regulations

10 CFR Part 15, "Debt Collection Procedures."

10 CFR Part 16, "Salary Offset Procedures for Collecting Debts Owed by Federal Employees to the Federal Government."

31 CFR Parts 900-904, "Federal Claims Collection Standards."

48 CFR Subpart 42.1, "Contract Audit Services."

Department of the Treasury

Department of the Treasury, Treasury Financial Manual, I TFM 8025.20.

General Services Administration

Federal Acquisition Regulation, 1.603-2.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Management Directive—

4.1, "Accounting Policy and Practices."

4.4, "Internal Control."

Office of Inspector General Web site:

<http://www.nrc.gov/insp-gen.html>.

Office of Management and Budget

OMB, Circular A-50, "Audit Followup," as revised, September 29, 1982.

OMB, Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations," as revised, June 26, 2007.

United States Code

Agency Reports (31 U.S.C. 720).

Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1341).

Availability of Draft Reports (31 U.S.C. 718(b)(1)).

Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 (31 U.S.C. 902(a)).

Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (31 U.S.C. 3701 et seq.).

Executive Agency Accounting and Other Financial Management Reports and Plans (31 U.S.C. 3512).

Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (31 U.S.C. 3512 et seq.).

Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act of 1982 (35 U.S.C. 3512 et seq.).

Good Accounting Obligation in Government Act (Public Law No. 115-414).

Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 3).

Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980 (5 U.S.C. App. 1).

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION DIRECTIVE HANDBOOK (DH)

DH 6.1	RESOLUTION AND FOLLOWUP OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS	DT-22-14
<i>Volume 6</i>	Internal Management	
<i>Approved By:</i>	Christopher T. Hanson, Chair	
<i>Date Approved:</i>	December 12, 2022	
<i>Cert. Date:</i>	N/A, for the latest version of any NRC directive or handbook, see the online MD Catalog .	
<i>Issuing Office:</i>	Office of the Executive Director for Operations	
<i>Contact Name:</i>	John Jolicoeur	
<p>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</p> <p>Management Directive (MD) 6.1, “Resolution and Followup of Audit Recommendations,” is revised in conjunction with required periodic MD review. The revision reflects the changes in response times for Government Accountability Office (GAO) audits as directed by the Good Accounting Obligation in Government Act. In addition, the word “Chairman” has been replaced with the word “Chair” when referencing the head of the agency to align with the NRC’s efforts to use inclusive language in its publications.</p>		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	GUIDANCE FOR RESOLUTION AND FOLLOWUP OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS.....	2
	A. Introduction	2
	B. Distribution of Audit Reports.....	3
	C. Resolution of Audit Recommendations	4
	D. Review of Implementation of Corrective Action.....	9
	E. Tracking Corrective Actions	10
II.	ACCOUNTING AND COLLECTION CONTROLS	11
	A. Recording Receivables	11
	B. Interest Charges.....	12
	C. Allowance for Uncollectible Amounts	12
	D. Payment of Debts.....	12

For updates or revisions to policies contained in this MD that were issued after the MD was signed, please see the Yellow Announcement to Management Directive index ([YA-to-MD index](#)).

E. Exceptions.....	12
III. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	13
A. The Chair's Report to Congress on Management Decisions and Final Action on GAO and OIG Recommendations.....	13
B. OIG Semiannual Report.....	14
C. The Chair's Written Statement of the Actions Taken on GAO Recommendations	14
D. The Chair's Summary of Actions Taken in Response to GAO Recommendations	15
IV. GLOSSARY	15

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1	Sample Response to OIG Audit Report	18
Exhibit 2	Sample Response to Draft GAO Report	20
Exhibit 3	Sample Response to Final GAO Report.....	22
Exhibit 4	Sample Annual Statement to Congress on GAO Recommendations	25

I. GUIDANCE FOR RESOLUTION AND FOLLOWUP OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Introduction

1. Audit followup is an integral part of good management and is a shared responsibility of agency management officials and auditors. Corrective action by management on resolved findings and recommendations is essential to improving the effectiveness and efficiency of agency operations. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has established systems to ensure the prompt and proper resolution and implementation of audit recommendations. These systems provide for a complete record of action on both monetary and nonmonetary findings and recommendations.
2. Audits of NRC programs and operations are conducted by the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and the Government Accountability Office (GAO). OIG audits are typically limited to NRC programs while GAO audits tend to include NRC programs as part of a Governmentwide audit.

3. Audits of NRC's financial statements are conducted by the OIG. NRC's audited financial statements become part of the financial statements of the U.S. Government that are audited by GAO.
4. Under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), 48 CFR, Subpart 42.1, "Contract Audit Services," the Defense Contract Audit Agency conducts audits of NRC commercial contracts. In certain circumstances, the OIG or another agency may have audit cognizance of a particular contractor. For educational institutions and nonprofit organizations, audit cognizance is determined according to OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Institutions," as revised, June 26, 2007. Sometimes these reports contain findings that affect NRC funds.
5. A management decision regarding audit recommendations on program and financial audits will generally be made by NRC staff within 30 days after issuance of a final audit report. The NRC will maintain audit followup systems to track audit recommendations until corrective action has been completed. The NRC will provide a report to Congress on the status of audit recommendations as part of the annual Congressional Budget Justification.
6. A management decision regarding audit recommendations on contract audits will generally be made by the Acquisition Management Division (AMD), Office of Administration (ADM). AMD, ADM, will establish target dates for completing corrective action (i.e., negotiations with contractor in response to contract audit recommendations). Controls will be in place to ensure amounts owed the NRC because of contract audits are accounted for and collected promptly.
7. The procedures for distributing audit reports, resolving audit recommendations, and implementing and tracking corrective actions are explained in Section I.B of this handbook. Accounting and collection controls and reporting to Congress are explained in Sections II and III, respectively, of this handbook.

B. Distribution of Audit Reports

1. Draft OIG Audit Reports

OIG will issue draft audit reports for comment to the officials designated in the following chart. In addition, the Audit Followup Official (AFO) receives a copy of all draft OIG reports.

AUDITED ORGANIZATION	RECEIVING OFFICIAL
Commission	Chair
Office of the Chief Financial Officer	CFO
Offices reporting to the Commission (including Commission panels and advisory committees)	Office Director
Office of the Executive Director for Operations	EDO
Offices reporting to the EDO and regional offices	EDO

2. Final OIG Audit Reports

OIG will issue final audit reports to the NRC officials to whom the recommendations in the report are addressed, the AFO, the CFO, the Chair, and each Commissioner. In addition, electronic distribution is made to members of the public who have requested copies. All unclassified audit reports are available to the public on the OIG website, available at <https://nrcoig.oversight.gov/reports/nrc>.

3. Contract Audit Reports

OIG will issue audit reports to the EDO who will assign them to the Director of AMD, ADM, for appropriate action.

C. Resolution of Audit Recommendations

1. OIG Audit Recommendations

- (a) A management decision regarding an audit recommendation will generally be made by NRC staff within 30 days after issuance of a final audit report. A formal response to OIG is required. Corrective action should proceed as rapidly as possible.
- (i) If the audited organization disagrees with a recommendation in an audit report, the response will explain fully the reason for the disagreement, as well as any alternative action the audited organization believes is necessary. Where disagreement is based on interpretation of law, regulation, or the authority of officials to take or not take action, the response will be coordinated with the Office of the General Counsel (OGC) and will state the legal basis for the disagreement.

- (ii) The response to each audit report will document the management decision, that is, whether or not the audited organization agrees with each recommendation. If the audited organization agrees with a recommendation, the response will give the planned corrective action and an estimate of the date each action will be completed.
 - (iii) Audit recommendations are considered resolved when OIG and agency management agree on the action to be taken on reported findings and recommendations and the dollar savings resulting from a management decision. At times, issues may be resolved before the issuance of the final report. OIG will annotate the report to indicate this fact.
 - (iv) According to OIG policy, all audit followup correspondence is considered official agency record material. This material should be available to OIG and placed in the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), as appropriate. Generally, all follow-up correspondence that is unclassified, non-safeguards information, and unsensitive should be available to the public in ADAMS.
- (b) The Chair, after consultation with the Commission, as appropriate, responds to OIG on recommendations directed to the Chair or the Commission that are contained in final OIG audit reports. The CFO, directors of offices reporting to the Commission, panels, and independent advisory committees respond, coordinating with OEDO for recommendations affecting OEDO offices, to final OIG audit reports containing recommendations for their offices, with a copy to the Chair, each Commissioner, and the AFO.
- (c) The Deputy Executive Directors for Operations (DEDOs) respond to final OIG audit reports containing recommendations for their respective areas of responsibility. If the audit encompasses areas of responsibility of more than one DEDO, the associated DEDOs will coordinate to provide a single response. If the audit encompasses areas of responsibility for offices that report directly to the EDO, the EDO will respond to the report, unless this responsibility has been delegated to a DEDO. Exhibit 1 is an example of a response memo to an OIG report.
- (d) When a course of action on an audit recommendation cannot be reached between the OIG and the respective DEDOs or office directors, the impasse will be taken to the AFO for resolution. Impasse issues can also be taken to the Chair for resolution, if warranted.
- (e) The Chair, as head of the agency, has the final agency management authority for audit recommendation resolution, except for matters relating to policy formulation, certain types of rulemaking, orders, adjudications as defined in the NRC Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980 (5 U.S.C. App.1) or any other matter

within the authority of the Commission. The Chair will refer matters in these latter areas for Commission consideration and will subsequently communicate the decision to OIG.

- (f) A recommendation is closed when final action has been taken. A recommendation may also be closed administratively in conjunction with the issuance of a new audit or audit follow-up report that requires the same corrective actions. OIG determines when a recommendation is closed. An audit report is closed when final action has been taken on all of its recommendations.

2. Financial Audit Recommendations

- (a) Audits of agency financial statements required by the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 (31 U.S.C. 902(a)) may be performed by the NRC OIG, or an independent external auditor as determined by the IG. Reports are issued to the Chair not later than November 15 following the fiscal year for which a financial statement is submitted. These reports are processed in accordance with the procedures for resolution of audit recommendations.
- (b) With regard to an audit finding that the NRC financial management systems do not substantially comply with the requirements of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (31 U.S.C. 3512 et seq.), a remediation plan for bringing noncompliant systems into compliance will be established in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).
- (c) GAO also may review any audit of a financial statement conducted by the NRC Inspector General (IG) or an external auditor. GAO reports its audit findings and recommendations to Congress, the Director of OMB, and the Chair. These reports are processed under the procedures for resolution of GAO audit recommendations.

3. GAO Audit Recommendations

- (a) GAO usually provides draft reports to the NRC for review and comment so that final reports may incorporate agency views. Under 31 U.S.C. 718(b)(1), the NRC must provide comments on draft GAO reports within 30 days of issuance. However, GAO may provide less time because of the demands of its sponsors. An extension of the comment period may be requested if it is not possible to respond within the time allotted. Typically, comments on GAO draft reports address the accuracy of facts and conclusions and the appropriateness of any recommendations.
- (b) The Commission, the CFO, directors of offices reporting to the Commission, panels, and boards respond to draft GAO audit reports involving their offices, with a copy to the AFO. The EDO responds to draft GAO audit reports concerning agency programs and operations within the EDO's area of

responsibility. However, the Commission may identify certain EDO-level GAO reports requiring their review. For audits that are not identified for Commission review and that have no recommendations for the NRC, agency comments may be submitted by email from the agency audit liaison. In all cases, a copy of the response shall be provided to the Chair and each Commissioner. The IG responds to draft GAO audit reports involving OIG.

- (c) GAO issues final reports to Congress or to the Chair. If the report is issued to Congress, a copy is provided to the Chair after it is released by the audit sponsor. Additional copies are provided to the AFO and to the Director of OMB.
 - (i) Under 31 U.S.C. 720, "Agency Reports," GAO final reports require a response to Congress within 180 days on the recommendations presented by GAO. The response will discuss the agency's views on the recommendations and the action taken, or to be taken, by the agency. Where appropriate, dates for achieving actions shall be provided when the Commission reviews and approves the NRC response, which is signed out by the Chair. Commission review and approval typically requires 14 calendar days. Commission approval constitutes a management decision and resolution of the GAO recommendations.
 - (ii) If NRC staff disagrees with a recommendation contained in a final audit report, the response will state the reason for the disagreement, as well as any alternative action the staff believes necessary. Where disagreement is based on interpretation of law, regulation, or the authority of officials to take or not take action, the response will be coordinated with OGC and will state the legal basis for the disagreement.
- (d) Statements to OMB are processed as follows:
 - (i) The Chair must submit a statement to the Director of OMB within 60 calendar days after formal transmittal of a GAO report to NRC when at least one of the following applies:
 - The report contains a specific recommendation for the Chair; however, as noted below, the agency response that is submitted within 180 days following the issuance of the final report will satisfy this requirement.
 - The report contains financial statements accompanied by either a qualified audit opinion or a disclaimer of opinion.
 - The report indicates a violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1341) that has not been reported to the appropriate authorities.

- The report indicates a violation of other laws.
 - OMB requests NRC to comment.
- (ii) The statement will identify the GAO report by number and date and will be submitted in duplicate. The statement will inform OMB of NRC's views on GAO's findings and recommendations and identify any action taken, or planned, in response to each significant finding or recommendation. A copy of the agency's response to the final GAO report will satisfy this requirement, provided the response accurately and adequately reflects NRC's current views. When corrective action is incomplete, still under study, or planned, a statement will be included as to when the NRC expects action to be completed. The corrective action will be reported to OMB after it is completed.
- (iii) Copies of statements required by 31 U.S.C. 720 will be submitted along with the statement to OMB. These statements may be used to satisfy the initial OMB reporting requirements. The statements should be accompanied by any additional information not provided to Congress but otherwise required by OMB.
- (e) Statements to congressional committees are processed as follows—
- (i) Under 31 U.S.C. 720, when a GAO report contains recommendations to the Commission, the NRC shall—
- Submit a written statement to the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs and the House Committee on Homeland Security and Government Reform no later than 180 days from the date of receipt of the report. This statement will report the action taken or to be taken by the NRC with respect to the GAO's recommendations.
 - Submit a written statement to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives in connection with the first request for appropriations submitted to Congress more than 60 days after the date of the GAO report. This statement will report the action taken or to be taken by the agency with respect to the recommendations to the Commission.
- (ii) A copy of the above statements will be submitted on the same date to OMB and GAO.
- (f) Samples of these statements are included in Exhibits 2, 3, and 4 of this handbook. Copies of the required statements are to be provided to the AFO.
4. Executive Branch Agency Audits
- (a) Generally, other executive agencies do not have authority or jurisdiction to audit NRC programs without the prior consent of OIG and OEDO. This consent is

usually given only to gather information for use in conducting an audit of the parent organization. As such, no recommendations should be made to the NRC by any other executive branch OIG.

- (b) If contacted by a member of the IG office from another Federal agency, before responding to their inquiries, NRC staff should contact the Assistant for Operations, OEDO, or the Assistant Inspector General for Audits, for guidance.

5. Contract Audit Recommendations

- (a) Audits of NRC contracts are conducted by the cognizant audit agency, usually the Defense Contract Audit Agency. These audit reports are sent directly to the IG who issues the reports and comments to AMD, ADM, for resolution.
- (b) Contract audits are resolved when OIG and the contracting officials agree on the action to be taken on reported findings and recommendations and the dollar savings. These actions are documented on NRC Form 518, "Audit Report Tracking Form." NRC Form 518 is provided to staff by the OIG.
- (c) Contract audit reports containing questions and unsupported costs are reported in the OIG's Semiannual Report to Congress.

D. Review of Implementation of Corrective Action

1. NRC Management Followup Reviews

- (a) This review will ensure that the corrective action agreed to as a result of audit recommendations has been implemented and the desired effect is being achieved.
- (b) The AFO will periodically, at least every 3 years, select a sample of completed audits in a variety of programs for followup review. Where applicable, program evaluations should be considered. The AFO will inform responsible office directors and regional administrators of the audit followup review and provide the name of the person who will review each selected program. Office directors will be asked to provide the AFO with the name of an office contact who will assist the reviewer.
- (c) The reviewer will prepare a brief report to the AFO that includes the following information:
 - (i) The audit reviewed,
 - (ii) Description of how the review was conducted,
 - (iii) Recommendations reviewed,

- (iv) Effectiveness of agency corrective action, and
 - (v) Findings and any recommended corrective actions.
 - (d) The reviewer's report will be submitted to the AFO within 30 days after the audit follow-up review is completed. Any recommendations resulting from these reviews will be discussed with the appropriate DEDO and office director or regional administrator and included in the agency's system for tracking corrective actions.
 - (e) The initiation of reviews will be coordinated with OIG to avoid duplication of effort.
 - (f) In addition to the above, the AFO will conduct a triennial analysis of audit recommendations, resolutions, and corrective actions to look for trends and systemwide problems, and to recommend solutions. The reviewer will prepare a brief report to the AFO that provides this information.
2. OIG Follow-up Reviews
- (a) OIG will perform reviews of the agency's follow-up system to determine whether management is carrying out this responsibility in a satisfactory manner. In addition, OIG will generally perform follow-up reviews of prior audit recommendations whenever another audit is scheduled in the audit area. This action will serve as a partial basis for OIG's evaluation of the adequacy of the agency's audit follow-up system. Any recommendations resulting from OIG's reviews of the agency's follow-up system will be included in the agency's system for tracking corrective actions.
 - (b) The scope of follow-up reviews is an examination of management's performance in implementing the response concurred during the management decision process. Follow-up reports state the results of this examination. Where progress in implementing corrective action is found to be unsatisfactory, the reports identify, to the extent possible, the cause of the unsatisfactory progress.

E. Tracking Corrective Actions

1. Official Agency System

The AFO maintains the official agency system to track resolution of audit recommendations and the actions NRC management has agreed to take in response to audit reports. The Director of AMD, ADM, maintains a separate system to track contract audits. Other tracking systems may be established with the approval of the AFO.

2. Unresolved Recommendations

The AFO or an appropriate manager includes all unresolved recommendations in the appropriate tracking system and monitors the status of these recommendations until a final management decision is made and the recommendations resolved. In accordance with the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, (5 U.S.C., App. 3, Section 5(a)(10)), all unresolved recommendations must be reported in the OIG's Semiannual Report to Congress after 6 months in this status.

3. Implementation of Corrective Actions

The AFO tracks recommendations until the action agreed to by management is implemented and, if an OIG recommendation, closed by the OIG. In accordance with Section 5(a)(3) of the Inspector General Act, significant recommendations described in a previous semiannual report on which corrective action has not been completed is reported in the OIG's Semiannual Report to Congress.

4. Recovery of Funds

The AFO tracks audit recommendations that involve the recovery of funds until the issues have been settled and the related funds have been recovered or the debt is otherwise resolved.

II. ACCOUNTING AND COLLECTION CONTROLS

The Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) ensures effective recovery action for amounts determined to be owed the Government resulting from resolved audit findings and recommendations. Unless otherwise required by statute, collection action must be pursued on all claims arising from audit disallowances in accordance with the 31 CFR Ch. 9, Parts 900-904, "Federal Claims Collection Standards"; 10 CFR Part 15, "Debt Collection Procedures"; 10 CFR Part 16, "Salary Offset Procedures for Collecting Debts Owed by Federal Employees to the Federal Government"; and Management Directive 4.1, "Accounting Policy and Practices."

A. Recording Receivables

Amounts due the Government must be recorded promptly as accounts receivable by the Division of Controller (DOC), OCFO, upon completion of the actions that entitle the NRC to pursue collection of the amounts. Amounts determined to be owed as a result of the audit recommendations will be recorded as accounts receivable within 30 days of being resolved. These accounts receivable are to be recorded even though the indebtedness is subject to administrative appeal or litigation by persons outside the agency.

B. Interest Charges

Interest on audit-related debts begins to accrue on the date that the auditee is notified of the debt; however, interest will be waived on the debt or any portion of the debt that is paid in full within 30 days after the date the auditee is notified of the debt. To discourage unwarranted appeals, interest will continue to accrue while an appeal is in progress. Unless otherwise required by statute or regulation, the interest applied will be that prescribed by the Department of the Treasury, Treasury Financial Manual (I TFM 8025.20).

C. Allowance for Uncollectible Amounts

NRC management should consider the potential for not being able to collect audit-related debts. An allowance account will be established in DOC to reflect the amount of accounts receivable estimated to be uncollectible.

D. Payment of Debts

Procedures will be established in DOC to ensure that the payment of audit-related debts does not result in charges to other Federal programs or in a reduced level of program activity.

E. Exceptions

1. There may be instances in which a portion of the funds owed by the NRC on a grant, a contract, or other agreement are held back—
 - (a) As a safeguard against overpayment.
 - (b) Pending completion, final inspection, or approval of work.
 - (c) Under other provisions of a grant or contract.
2. In these instances, it is not necessary to record a receivable because disallowed costs may reduce the amount payable from undisbursed funds in the grant or the contract audited.

III. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

A. The Chair's Report to Congress on Management Decisions and Final Action on GAO and OIG Recommendations

1. With input from the offices and regions, as applicable, the AFO will prepare, for the Chair's approval and submission to Congress as part of the annual Congressional Budget Justification, a summary of management decisions and final actions regarding recommendations from the GAO and the OIG that are more than 1 year old. The Commission should be provided a copy of the Chair's comments on any portion of the report that involves matters within the authority of the Commission before submission to Congress.
2. The Chair's report will contain any comments the Chair believes appropriate and will include the following—
 - (a) A report listing each public recommendation of GAO that is designated by GAO as “open” or “closed, unimplemented” for a period of not less than 1 year preceding the date on which the annual budget justification is submitted.
 - (b) A report listing each public recommendation for corrective action from the OIG of the agency that—
 - (i) Was published not less than 1 year before the date on which the annual budget justification is submitted and
 - (ii) For which no final action was taken as of the date on which the annual budget justification is submitted.
 - (c) A report on the implementation status of each public recommendation described in paragraphs (a) and (b) above, which shall include—
 - (i) With respect to a public recommendation that is designated by the GAO as “open” or “closed, unimplemented”—
 - That the agency has decided not to implement, a detailed justification for the decision or
 - That the agency has decided to adopt, a timeframe for full implementation, to the extent practicable, if the agency determines that the recommendation has clear budget implications.
 - (ii) With respect to a public recommendation for corrective action from OIG for which no final action or action not recommended has been taken, an explanation of the reasons why no final action or action not recommended was taken with respect to each audit report to which public recommendation for corrective action pertains.

- (iii) With respect to an outstanding, unimplemented, public recommendation from the OIG that the agency has decided to adopt, a timeline for implementation.
- (iv) An explanation for any discrepancy between—
 - The reports submitted under paragraphs (a) and (b) above,
 - The semiannual reports submitted by the office of Inspector General under section 5 of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), and
 - Reports submitted by the GAO relating to public recommendations that are designated by the GAO as “open” or “closed, unimplemented.”

B. OIG Semiannual Report

1. In accordance with requirements of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, the semiannual report is a document prepared by the IG that summarizes OIG’s activities during the 6-month period ending March 31 and September 30. It is submitted to the Chair by the end of the subsequent month. Among other matters, the report describes—
 - (a) Any significant problems, abuses, or deficiencies related to the administration of NRC’s programs and operations and associated recommendations,
 - (b) Significant audit recommendations described in previous semiannual reports on which corrective action has not been completed,
 - (c) Audit recommendations from reports described in previous semiannual reports for which no management decision has been made, and
 - (d) Statistical tables showing the monetary impact of OIG’s audits during the reporting period.
2. The agency may comment on the report but cannot change it. The Chair will submit the report, along with any agency comments, to Congress within 30 days of receipt.

C. The Chair’s Written Statement of the Actions Taken on GAO Recommendations

Under 31 U.S.C. 720, the head of a Federal agency is required to submit a written statement of the actions taken on recommendations in GAO final reports to the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. NRC statements are in the form of a letter signed by the Chair and are to be transmitted not later than 180 calendar days after the day the report is released. Details on the information to be provided in the statement are provided in Section I.D.3 of this handbook and a sample is included in Exhibit 2. Commission approval of the statement constitutes a management decision and resolution of the GAO recommendations.

D. The Chair's Summary of Actions Taken in Response to GAO Recommendations

Under 31 U.S.C. 720, the agency head must submit a summary of progress made in addressing recommendations contained in GAO reports. These reports are transmitted to Congress, GAO, and the Office of Management and Budget during the second quarter of the fiscal year for agency activity during the prior calendar year. A sample of this report is included in Exhibit 3. Following the initial agency response to a final GAO report, these reports provide status updates on open recommendations and may be used to document the completion of NRC's actions in response to GAO report recommendations.

IV. GLOSSARY

Audit

A planned and documented activity performed by an organization using accepted auditing practices to determine by investigation, examination, or evaluation the adequacy of programs and activities, including their effectiveness, economic use of resources, and compliance with established procedures, laws, and regulations. Reports that may be generated as a result of an audit include, but are not limited to, the following:

- **Draft Audit Report.** A report issued at the conclusion of audit activities that is provided to NRC for review and comment. The auditing organization may modify the draft report based on its assessment of the comments.
- **Final Audit Report.** A report of record of the audit. Reports may include audits performed by internal or external auditors and may contain monetary and nonmonetary recommendations.
- **Financial Audit Report.** A report (final) of audit of agency financial statements as required by the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990. These audits express an opinion on the accuracy and fairness of NRC. GAO may also audit agency financial statements and issue financial audit reports.

Contract Audit Report

Contract audits are conducted to provide professional audit advice on accounting and financial matters to assist in the negotiation, award administration, re-pricing, and settlement of contracts. Contract audits may result in identification of questioned and/or unsupported costs. Overall, contract audits determine whether agency contractors have met their responsibility to the Government.

Corrective Action

Measures taken to implement audit recommendations.

Disallowed Costs

A questioned cost that management, in a management decision, has sustained or agreed should not be charged to the Government.

Final Action

The completion of all actions necessary with respect to the findings and associated recommendations in a final audit report. For OIG reports, OIG must agree that the final action adequately addresses the recommendation before it is closed.

Management Decision

Management's evaluation of audit findings and recommendations, and the issuance of a decision concerning its response to these findings and recommendations, including any actions deemed necessary. Management decisions will be documented in a formal response to the auditing organization.

OIG Disposition Terminology

The characterization OIG provides regarding the audited organization's management decisions relative to audit recommendations.

- **Open:** Corrective actions not yet proposed, or proposal not complete.
- **Resolved:** Proposed corrective actions are responsive, but not yet complete.
- **Unresolved:** Proposed corrective actions are inadequate or nonresponsive.
- **Closed:** Corrective actions are responsive and considered by OIG to be complete or staff rationale for taking no corrective action is considered to be acceptable.

Program Audits

Audits that are conducted on NRC administrative and program operations to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency with which managerial responsibilities are carried out. Program audits provide objective analysis so that management can use the information to improve program performance and operations, reduce costs, facilitate decision making, and contribute to public accountability.

Questioned Cost

A cost questioned as a result of an alleged violation of law, regulation, contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement governing the expenditure of funds; or a finding that the expenditure of funds for the intended purpose is unnecessary or unreasonable.

Recommendation That Funds Be Put To Better Use

Funds identified in audit recommendations as funds that could be used more efficiently if NRC management took action to implement and complete the recommendation, including reductions in outlays; deobligation of funds from programs or operations; costs not incurred by implementing recommended improvements related to the operations of the NRC, a contractor, or a grantee; avoidance of unnecessary expenditures noted in preaward reviews of contract or grant agreements; or any other savings that are specifically identified.

Resolution

For most audits, the point at which the audit organization and agency management or contracting officials agree on the action to be taken on reported findings and recommendations and the dollar savings resulting from the management decision in terms of disallowed costs and funds to be put to better use. The Chair has final audit recommendation resolution authority for audits.

An audit report may be considered resolved despite the right of persons outside the agency to negotiate, appeal, or litigate. Resolution of a report with respect to parties outside the Government does not preclude further consideration of issues in the report by agency management.

For GAO reports, the point at which NRC responds to Congress, as required by 31 U.S.C. 720.

Unsupported Cost

A cost questioned by an audit organization because the cost was not supported by adequate documentation at the time of the audit.

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 Sample Response to OIG Audit Report



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

[Date]

MEMORANDUM TO: [Name]
Assistant Inspector General for Audits

FROM: [Name]
Title of appropriate Deputy Executive Director for Operations

SUBJECT: AUDIT OF U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S
PROCESS FOR CLOSED MEETINGS (OIG-10-A-XX)

This responds to the June 9, 2010, memorandum transmitting the subject audit report. I am pleased that you have noted that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is implementing openness and transparency in the sharing of information into our regulatory activities, as well as our policy and process to share information regarding closed meetings between staff and external stakeholders. With respect to your specific recommendations, I submit the following:

Recommendation 1

Revise MD 3.5 to enhance NRC's closed staff meeting process. Specifically,

1. Clearly define what constitutes a "meeting."
2. Clarify guidance to ensure that closed staff meeting notices and summaries are appropriately available to the public through NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS).
3. Revise ADAMS Template NRC-001 and MD 3.5 to ensure that the guidance for preparing closed staff meeting notices is consistent.

Response

We agree with this recommendation. Defining the term "meeting," clarifying guidance involving closed meeting notices and summaries, and revising ADAMS Template NRC-001 and MD 3.5 give us an opportunity to clarify our policies and processes involving meetings, particularly some aspects of the closed meeting process. Finding the right openness balance in addressing these recommendations while ensuring our actions do not adversely impact some of our core processes (e.g. phone calls with licensees on operability issues) is critical. I intend to establish a small working group to discuss these issues and revise existing guidance and policy

-2-

documents, as well as draft additional guidance (if necessary). These products will in turn be shared with a wider agency audience for comment to ensure agency-wide buy-in, understanding, and implementation. Although draft products can be developed and used in a relatively short amount of time, finalizing products will require a substantial amount of time due to the complex Management Directive revision process. Completion date: drafts - December 31, 2010; final - December 31, 2011.

Recommendation 2

Establish a timeframe for issuing closed staff meeting notices and summaries.

Response

We agree with this recommendation and see establishing a timeframe for issuing closed staff meeting notices and summaries as a logical goal to include with the action detailed above in response to Recommendation 1.

CONTACT: [Name, office, and phone number of person to call for additional information]

cc: Chairman [name]
Commissioner [name]
Commissioner [name]
Commissioner [name]
Commissioner [name]

Exhibit 2 Sample Response to Draft GAO Report



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

[Date]

Mr. [Name]
Comptroller General
Natural Resources and Environmental
U.S. Governmental Accountability Office
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Mr. [Name]:

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the draft U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) report "Emergency Preparedness Around Nuclear Facilities Need Improvement" (GAO-05-XXX). The report makes several points that are useful to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and to other Federal agencies involved in this area, and it highlights several areas in which we agree that further work by NRC may be desirable.

The general tone of the report suggests that emergency preparedness by State and local governments around NRC licensed facilities is in disarray. Although we agree that improvements can certainly be made in this area, we believe that the impression left by the report on the capabilities and preparedness of State and local officials may be doing them a disservice. Although short of the results we ultimately desire, we believe the level of planning and preparedness is definitely improving due in large part to the support and voluntary cooperation of the State and local personnel.

Specific comments on the recommendations in the report are enclosed. If you have any questions, please contact [Name] of my staff at 301-415-XXXX.

Sincerely,

[Name]
Executive Director
for Operations

Enclosure:
Comments on Draft GAO Report (GAO-05-XXX)

Comments on GAO Recommendations (Draft GAO-05-XXX)

1. Recommendation 1

GAO should distinguish its own conclusions from those of the Reactor Safety Study (WASH-1400) in the following statement: "The study concluded that nuclear accidents may happen and would present a potential adverse health consequence that provides a sobering contrast to the estimated risk." The words "in our opinion" should be inserted after the words "health consequences that, in our opinion, provides" because the "sobering contrast" statement is the GAO conclusion and is not found in WASH-1400.

2. Recommendation 2

The statement "that there will be evacuation of an area 25 miles downwind from the accident site" is misleading since the study assumed that 30 percent of the population remained in place.

3. Recommendation 3

The report creates an impression that the offsite supportive services from State and local agencies are taken for granted. The NRC, however, does not take these services for granted. Specific requirements are set forth in Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50. As an example, licensees' emergency plans are required to provide "agreements reached with local, State, and Federal officials and agencies for the early warning of the public and for public evacuation or other protective measures should such warning, evacuation, or other protection measures become necessary or desirable."

Exhibit 3 Sample Response to Final GAO Report



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

[Date]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 720, I am pleased to provide, on behalf of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), our statement of the actions taken and plans in response to the recommendations made by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) in its report entitled "The Problem of Disposing of Nuclear Low-Level Waste, Where Do We Go From Here?" (GAO-05-XXX).

In its responses to several GAO recommendations (Item Nos. 3, 4, and 7 of the enclosure), the Commission believes that the protection of the public health and safety could be enhanced through legislation that would establish minimum technical and procedural standards for the development and operation of low-level waste disposal sites. This legislation should assure that uniform minimum national standards are followed in these areas for both Agreement and non-Agreement States. Over the past year, the Commission has testified before several congressional committees in favor of such legislation.

If you have any questions or comments on our written statement, please contact me.

Sincerely,

[Chairman's Name]

Enclosure:
Responses to GAO Recommendations

cc: Senator [Name]

[Identical Letters Sent to Those on Attached List]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Government Reform
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

cc: Representative [Name]*
[Name of Responsible GAO Director]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

cc: Senator [Name]*
[Name of Responsible GAO Director]

The Honorable [Name]
Comptroller General of the United States
U.S. Government Accountability Office
Washington, DC 20548

cc: [Name of Responsible GAO Director]

The Honorable [Name], Director
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, DC 20503

cc: [Name of Responsible GAO Director]

*The cc is the ranking minority individual on the committee.

Response to GAO Recommendations

Chapter 2

The U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) in its report "LOW-LEVEL WASTE: The Problem of Disposing of Nuclear Low-Level Waste, Where Do We Go From Here?" (GAO-05-XXX) made two recommendations with respect to alleviating the current disposal problem. The recommendations and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) responses are provided below.

Recommendation 1

Give top priority to defining low-level waste by establishing categories based upon requirements for safe disposal.

NRC Response

NRC has been aware of this need and will utilize a waste classification methodology in the development of its planned rulemaking (10 CFR Part 61) on licensing and regulation of the disposal of low-level radioactive waste (LLW). The NRC earlier commissioned a study to characterize and classify waste streams from a variety of sources and incorporate this work into the draft environmental impact statement (EIS) being prepared to accompany the proposed LLW regulation. This step will allow different types of wastes to be examined against different types of disposal techniques and permit interested members of the public to evaluate and recommend alternatives. The Commission anticipates that the proposed LLW regulation (10 CFR Part 61) and its accompanying draft EIS will be published for public comment early in 2005 with publication of the final rule approximately 12 months later.

Recommendation 2

Determine who the generators of LLW are in both the Agreement and non-Agreement States and how much waste each licensee is generating.


NRC Response

The Commission does not believe that the benefit resulting from a license-by-license determination of waste generation by thousands of licensees would offset the cost to the Commission and to the licensees to accumulate this information. This GAO recommendation will not result in meaningful additional data on LLW volumes and characteristics. As part of the staff's ongoing efforts to prepare an EIS for the LLW disposal regulation (10 CFR Part 61), the staff is analyzing the volumes and characteristics of different waste streams from different types of licensees. There are approximately 8,000 NRC licensees and 12,000 Agreement State licensees, ranging from individual physicians to fuel plants and reactors. However, preliminary data indicate that the majority of the radioactivity (in excess of 90 percent) contained in LLW is generated by a relatively small number of NRC and Agreement State licensees (approximately 100 licensees).

We consider this recommendation to be closed.

Enclosure

Exhibit 4 Sample Annual Statement to Congress on GAO Recommendations

 CHAIRMAN	<p>UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001</p> <p>[Date]</p>
<p>The Honorable [Name], Chairman Subcommittee on Clean Air, Climate Change, and Nuclear Safety Committee on Environment and Public Works United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510</p>	
<p>Dear Mr. Chairman:</p>	
<p>On behalf of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), I am pleased to provide a summary of actions taken by the NRC in response to recommendations contained in various United States Government Accountability Office (GAO) reports that address NRC activities. The enclosed summary, which is required by Section 236 of Public Law 91-510, the "Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970," describes the progress made in addressing recommendations remaining open as of, or not included in, our last summary report of April 6, 2004.</p>	
<p>Sincerely,</p>	
<p>[Chairman's Name]</p>	
<p>Enclosure: Summary of NRC Actions</p>	
<p>cc: Senator [Minority Name]</p>	
<p>[Identical Letters Sent to Those on Attached List]</p>	

Identical letter sent to:

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Subcommittee on Clean Air, Climate Change,
and Nuclear Safety
Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510
cc: Senator [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510
cc: Senator [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Air Quality
Committee on Energy and Commerce
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
cc: Representative [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Energy and Commerce
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
cc: Representative [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development
Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
cc: Representative [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development
Committee on Appropriations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510
cc: Senator [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chair
Subcommittee on Energy
Committee on Science
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
cc: Representative [Name*]

- 2 -

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on International Relations
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
cc: Representative [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510
cc: Senator [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Homeland Security and
Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510
cc: Senator [Name*]

The Honorable [Name], Chairman
Committee on Government Reform
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
cc: Representative [Name*]

The Honorable [Name]
Comptroller General of the United States
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20548

The Honorable [Name]
Director, Office of Management and Budget
725 17th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20503

*The cc is the ranking minority individual on the committee.