



April 12, 2022

L-2022-063  
10 CFR 50.4

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555

Re: St. Lucie Units 1 and 2  
Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50-389  
2021 Annual Environmental Operating Report

In accordance with Section 5.4.1.2 of the St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 Environmental Protection Plans (EPP), attached is the Annual Environmental Operating Report for calendar year 2021.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Wyatt Godes', is positioned below the word 'Sincerely,'.

Wyatt Godes  
Regulatory Affairs Manager  
St. Lucie Plant

WG/rcs

Attachment: Florida Power & Light Company - St. Lucie Plant - Annual Environmental Operating Report 2021

cc: FDEP Siting Office

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

**ST. LUCIE PLANT**

**ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL**

**OPERATING REPORT**



**2021**

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

**JUNO BEACH, FLORIDA**

**&**

**INWATER RESEARCH GROUP, INC.**

**JENSEN BEACH, FLORIDA**

# Environmental Operating Report

## Table of Contents

Acronyms .....	4
Executive Summary.....	5
1.0 Background .....	7
1.1 Area Description.....	7
1.2 Plant Description .....	7
1.3 Environmental Reporting .....	7
2.0 Sea Turtle Nest Monitoring .....	8
2.1 Methodology .....	8
2.1.1 Previous Methods & Projects .....	8
2.1.2 Current Methods .....	10
2.2 Results for 2021 .....	11
2.2.1 Loggerhead Nesting.....	11
2.2.2 Green Nesting .....	12
2.2.3 Leatherback Nesting.....	13
2.2.4 Predation .....	13
2.2.5 Poaching.....	14
3.0 Intake Canal Monitoring .....	14
3.1 Barrier Nets .....	14
3.1.1 Background .....	15
3.1.2 Current Methods & Results .....	16
3.2 Intake Pipe Cleaning & Maintenance.....	17
3.3 Power Plant Outages.....	17
4.0 Intake Canal Captures.....	17
4.1 Methodology .....	18
4.1.1 Turtle Capture .....	18
4.1.2 Data Collection .....	19
4.2 Results for 2021 .....	19
4.2.1 Loggerhead Captures .....	20
4.2.2 Green Captures.....	20
4.2.3 Leatherback, Hawksbill, Kemp's, & Olive Ridley Captures .....	21
4.2.4 Recaptures .....	21

4.2.5	Relative Condition .....	21
4.2.6	Mortalities & Injuries.....	22
4.2.7	Intake Canal Nesting.....	23
4.2.8	Smalltooth Sawfish Captures .....	24
5.0	Sea Turtle Protective Activities .....	24
5.1	NMFS Section 7 Consultations .....	24
5.2	Sea Turtle Stranding & Salvage Network and Turtle Walks .....	26
5.2.1	Results for 2021 .....	26
5.3	Collaborative Efforts.....	26
6.0	References.....	28
7.0	Figures & Tables.....	33
8.0	Annual Environmental Operating Report .....	49
8.1	Introduction .....	49
8.2	Sea Turtle Monitoring & Associated Activities .....	49
8.3	Taprogge Condenser Tube Cleaning System Operation .....	49
8.4	Nonroutine Reports .....	50
8.5	Routine Reports .....	50
8.6	Figures & Tables .....	51

## Acronyms

ABI	Applied Biology, Inc.
BO	Biological Opinion
DEP	Department of Environmental Protection
EAI	Ecological Associates, Inc.
ESA	Endangered Species Act
EPP	Environmental Protection Plan
FWC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FWRI	Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute
FPL	Florida Power & Light
GLNC	Gumbo Limbo Nature Center
HBOI	Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute
IRG	Inwater Research Group, Inc.
LMC	Loggerhead Marinelifelife Center
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
PIT	Passive Integrated Transponder
SSCL	Straight Standard Carapace Length
STSSN	Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network
USFW	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
UESI	Underwater Engineering Services, Inc.
UIDS	Underwater Intrusion Detection System

## Executive Summary

Florida Power & Light's (FPL) St. Lucie Plant, located on South Hutchinson Island, consists of two 1,000 MWe nuclear-fueled electric generating units that use nearshore ocean water for the plant's once-through condenser cooling system. Water for this system enters through three submerged intake structures located 365 m offshore. Water passes through the structures and into submerged pipes (two 3.7 m and one 4.9 m in diameter) running under the beach. It then passes into a 1,500 m long intake canal, which transports water to the plant. Turtles entering the ocean intake structures are entrained with cooling water and transported through the intake pipes into the enclosed canal system where they must be manually captured and returned to the ocean.

South Hutchinson Island is also an important rookery for loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), green (*Chelonia mydas*), and leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) turtles. Under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), the federal government has classified loggerhead and green turtles as threatened species while leatherbacks are classified as endangered. One of FPL's primary environmental concerns is to ensure that the operation of the St. Lucie Plant does not adversely affect sea turtle nesting. To monitor nesting trends, they have sponsored nesting surveys on the island since 1971. Biologists use all-terrain vehicles to survey the island each morning during nesting season. New nests, non-nesting emergences (false crawls), and nests negatively affected by predators are recorded. Data collected from beach nesting surveys are reported to the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) as part of a statewide survey program. In 2021, 9,143 loggerhead, 894 green, and 243 leatherback nests were recorded on South Hutchinson Island.

Since the plant became operational in 1976, turtles entrained in the intake canal have been systematically captured, measured, weighed, tagged, and released. During 2021, 237 sea turtles were removed from the intake canal, including 162 loggerheads, 70 greens, four Kemp's ridleys (*Lepidochelys kempii*), and one hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*). The majority of these turtles (90.7%) were captured alive and released back into the ocean. Nineteen (8.0%) were taken to rehabilitation facilities for treatment of injuries or disease and three turtles (1.3%) were recorded as deceased.

Injuries and mortalities are categorized in two ways - causal to plant operations or non-causal to plant operations. These decisions are made in consultation with FWC and/or a qualified veterinarian. Not all mortalities and injuries are causal to plant operations, as some sea turtles enter the canal in either a moribund state or have pre-existing conditions related to disease, fisheries interactions, boat strikes, or shark attacks. Injuries causal to plant operations are recorded and are applied against the take limit established by the 2016 Biological Opinion (BO) set forth by the National

Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The Incidental Take Statement in the BO states that FPL would exceed its take limit if: 1) more than 623 loggerheads, 500 green turtles, 7 hawksbills, 8 Kemp's ridleys, or 5 leatherbacks are captured annually; 2) more than 7 green turtles or 3 loggerheads are documented with severe causal injuries annually; 3) more than 5 green turtles or 3 loggerheads are documented as causal mortalities annually; 4) more than one hawksbill, Kemp's ridley, or leatherback is documented with either a severe causal injury or as a causal mortality every two years; 5) more than one smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*) is captured every five years or any smalltooth sawfish are ever killed.

During 2021, there were 162 loggerheads, 70 green turtles, four Kemp's ridleys, and one hawksbill captured. There was one causal green injury, one causal green mortality, and one causal loggerhead mortality. No hawksbill, Kemp's ridley, or leatherback turtles were injured or killed due to plant operation. Also, no smalltooth sawfish were encountered at the St. Lucie Power Plant in 2021. Therefore, FPL did not exceed its take limit during 2021 under the latest BO issued by NMFS.

One loggerhead nested on the canal banks during the 2021 sea turtle nesting season. The nest was relocated and later excavated in order to determine hatch success in accordance with FWC protocols.

The current BO also mandates that FPL participate in the Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network (STSSN) as well as Public Service Turtle Walks. As participants in the STSSN, biologists routinely respond to sea turtle strandings in St. Lucie and Martin Counties. This activity involves the collection of information about turtles that are found dead, debilitated, or that have been impacted by human-related activities. During 2021, Inwater Research Group (IRG) biologists responded to 15 stranding events. Sea turtle nesting walks are conducted by FPL as public service programs during the summer sea turtle nesting season. Unfortunately, all sea turtle walks in 2021 were cancelled due to the COVID pandemic.

The St. Lucie Plant sea turtle program continues to assist other sea turtle researchers, universities, nonprofit organizations, and state and federal agencies by providing data, specimens, and public outreach. Biologists collaborated with researchers on four projects in 2021.

## **1.0 Background**

### **1.1 Area Description**

Florida Power & Light's (FPL) St. Lucie Plant is located on a 457-hectare site on South Hutchinson Island on Florida's east coast (Figures 1 & 2). South Hutchinson Island is a barrier island that extends 36 km between inlets and attains its maximum width of 2 km at the plant site. The plant is approximately midway between the Ft. Pierce and St. Lucie Inlets and is bounded on the east by the Atlantic Ocean and on the west by the Indian River Lagoon. Elevations approach five meters atop dunes bordering the beach and decrease to sea level in the mangrove swamps that are common on the western side. The Atlantic shoreline of South Hutchinson Island is composed of sand and shell hash with intermittent rocky promontories protruding through the beach face along the southern end of the island. Submerged coquinoïd rock formations parallel much of the island off the ocean beaches. The ocean bottom immediately offshore from the plant site consists primarily of sand and shell sediments. The Gulf Stream (Florida Current), which flows parallel to the continental shelf margin, begins to diverge from the coastline at West Palm Beach. At South Hutchinson Island, the current is approximately 33 km offshore. Oceanic waters associated with the western boundary of the current periodically meander over the inner shelf, especially during summer months.

### **1.2 Plant Description**

The St. Lucie Plant consists of two 1,000 MWe nuclear-fueled electric generating units that use nearshore ocean waters for the plant's once-through condenser cooling system. Unit 1 was placed on-line in March 1976 and Unit 2 was placed on-line in April 1983. Water for this system enters through three submerged intake structures located 365 m offshore (Figure 2). The intake structures are equipped with a velocity cap to minimize entrainment of marine life. Water passes through these structures and into submerged intake pipes (two 3.7 m and one 4.9 m in diameter) running under the beach. It then passes into a 1,500 m long intake canal, which transports it to the plant. After passing through the plant, the heated water is discharged into a 670 m long canal that leads to two buried discharge pipelines. These pass underneath the dunes and along the ocean floor to the submerged discharges, the first of which is 730 m north of the intake and extends approximately 365 m offshore. The second pipeline is located just to the south of the first and is nearly twice as long.

### **1.3 Environmental Reporting**

St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 use the Atlantic Ocean as a source of water for once through condenser cooling. Since 1971, the potential environmental effects resulting from the intake and discharge of this water have been the subject of FPL sponsored biotic



studies at the site (Applied Biology, Inc. [ABI] 1978, 1980, 1986-1989, 1994). Jurisdiction for sea turtle studies lies with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), which is considered to be the lead federal agency relative to consultation under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). This document has been prepared to satisfy the requirements contained in Appendix B, Environmental Protection Plan (EPP); St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-67 and NPF-16. Previous results dealing with sea turtle studies are contained in 36 annual environmental operating reports covering the period from 1983 through 2020 (ABI 1984-1994; Quantum Resources, Inc. 1995-2009; Inwater Research Group, Inc. 2010-2021). This report describes the 2021 environmental protection activities related to sea turtles as required by Subsection 4.2 of the St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 EPP. Other routine annual reporting requirements are addressed in Section 7.

## **2.0 Sea Turtle Nest Monitoring**

Sea turtle nesting typically occurs along Florida's Atlantic coast from March through September. Furthermore, South Hutchinson Island is an important rookery for loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), green (*Chelonia mydas*), and leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) turtles (Meylan, Schroeder, & Mosier, 1995). Under the ESA, the federal government has classified loggerhead and green turtles as threatened species while leatherbacks are classified as endangered. One of FPL's primary environmental concerns is to ensure the operation of the St. Lucie Plant does not adversely affect sea turtle nesting and, as such, they have sponsored monitoring of nesting activity on the island since 1971.

## **2.1 Methodology**

### **2.1.1 Previous Methods & Projects**

Daytime nesting surveys and nighttime turtle tagging programs were conducted in odd numbered years from 1971 through 1979. During daytime nesting surveys, nine 1.25 km-long survey areas were monitored five days per week (Figure 3). The St. Lucie Plant began operation in 1976; therefore, the first three survey years (1971, 1973, and 1975) provided baseline data for nesting activity on South Hutchinson Island. Though the plant was not operating during 1975, the St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 ocean intake and discharge structures were installed during that year. Installation of these structures included nighttime construction activities conducted offshore from and perpendicular to the beach. The plant was in full operation during the 1977 and 1979 surveys.

A modified daytime nesting survey was conducted in 1980 during the preliminary construction of the ocean discharge structure for St. Lucie Plant Unit 2. Four of the previously established 1.25 km-long survey areas were monitored. To mitigate any

adverse effects associated with construction activities, turtle nests proximal to the construction area were relocated.

The St. Lucie Plant Unit 2 discharge structure was installed during the 1981 nesting season. Construction of the Unit 2 intake structure proceeded throughout the 1982 nesting season and was completed near the end of the 1983 season. Mitigation activities associated with installation of both structures were similar to those conducted when the Unit 1 intake and discharge structures were installed. Analysis demonstrated that the construction of the plant's offshore intake and discharge structures significantly reduced nesting at the plant site during construction years – 1975, 1981, 1982, and 1983 (ABI, 1987). However, nesting at the plant consistently returned to levels similar to or greater than those at a control site in years following the construction.

During 1991, a major offshore construction project was undertaken to replace damaged velocity caps on the three intake structures. A large elevated platform, from which repair activities were conducted, was erected around the three structures. Construction occurred throughout the nesting season. Work was restricted almost entirely to daylight hours, nighttime lighting of the work area was minimal, and no equipment or materials were used on the beach. A sea turtle protection plan was implemented to mitigate any negative effects resulting from the required safety and navigational lighting on and near the platform. The plan included caging nests along a 1,500 m section of beach west of the platform and the release of hatchlings to unaffected areas to the north and south. During this period, nests were more abundant at the construction site than at the control site.

Reconstruction of the primary dune in front of the plant was completed by FPL prior to the beginning of the 2005 sea turtle nesting season. This project was required due to the widespread obliteration of the primary dune during the 2004 hurricane season. Despite the compact material and erosion problems associated with the reconstructed dune, nesting success was not noticeably different from that of the unaffected survey zones to the north and south of the project area.

In 2012, FPL implemented a construction project at the discharge canal headwall where a retaining wall was added landward of the beach-facing dune. Construction activities took place on a 100 m section on the crest of the primary dune line at the eastern end of the discharge canal. Daily sea turtle nesting surveys were performed as required by the construction permit. From the beginning of nesting season until May 21, nests were left in situ. Beginning on May 22, nests that could have been impacted by construction activities were relocated to a hatchery area approximately 1 km north of the construction site.

Another dune restoration project in front of the plant was completed by FPL prior to the beginning of the 2013 sea turtle nesting season. This project was required due to erosion of the previous dune restoration area. Sea turtle nesting surveys were again performed in conjunction with the restoration activities. Sand placement began in January and was completed by mid-February (prior to the start of sea turtle nesting season). The planting of dune vegetation was subsequently completed in March. No nests or false crawls were recorded during the project timeframe.

Dune restoration activities were repeated in 2019 precipitated by damage from Hurricane Irma (2017). Dune planting was completed in front of the power plant in April and daily sea turtle nesting surveys were performed to ensure that nests were protected. Subsequently, the beach in front of the discharge canal was renourished after the completion of the 2019 sea turtle nesting season in December. There were no remaining nests in the project area at that time.

In 2020, FPL completed construction of a breakwater consisting of submerged modular concrete “Reef Balls” parallel to and immediately offshore from the St. Lucie site. As such, an area of the beach 5,000 ft long directly in front of the power plant was designated for intensive nest marking and productivity analysis in association with the St. Lucie Power Plant Breakwater Project (DEP Permit #0314668-001-JC). Nest marking and inventories were conducted for every nest within this “project area”, an effort that is mandated by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) for three complete sea turtle nesting seasons following the placement of the breakwater.

### **2.1.2 Current Methods**

Nesting surveys to satisfy environmental reporting requirements were completed in 1986 (ABI, 1987) but continued voluntarily through 1998 with agreement from federal and state agencies. In 1998, the continuation of the nesting survey program was mandated as part of the Biological Opinion (BO) and Incidental Take Statement issued by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). An amendment to the EPP was approved in 1999 to include these requirements.

From 1981 through 2021, 36 one-km-long segments comprising the island’s coastline have been surveyed seven days a week during the nesting season (Figure 3). These “zones” are identified starting with Zone A at the northern end of the island and continue through Zone JJ at the southern end. Since the 1994 nesting season, the southern half of the island (Zone T to Zone JJ) has been surveyed by Ecological Associates, Inc. (EAI) and their data are included in this report.

Biologists used all-terrain vehicles to survey the island each morning. New nests, non-nesting emergences (false crawls), and nests affected by predators were recorded for

each zone. Data collected from beach nesting surveys were then reported to the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) as part of both the Index Nesting Beach Survey and the Statewide Nesting Beach Survey.

## **2.2 Results for 2021**

In 2021, Zones E-S were surveyed by Inwater Research Group, Inc. (IRG). EAI surveyed Zones A-D as part of a beach renourishment project south of the Fort Pierce Inlet. Data from those zones, as well as the south end of South Hutchinson Island, were supplied by EAI and were used to provide whole-island nesting totals (Figures 4 - 6).

Nesting surveys were conducted every other day in March for zones A-S in South Hutchinson Island. Twelve leatherback sea turtle nests were recorded in Zones A-S prior to the beginning of formal nesting surveys on April 1. From April 1 through September 30, nest surveys were conducted daily.

Not all ventures onto the beach by a female turtle result in a successful nest. These “false crawls” (non-nesting emergences) may occur for many reasons and are commonly encountered at other rookeries. Davis and Whiting (1977) suggest that relatively high percentages of false crawls may reflect disturbances or unsatisfactory nesting beach characteristics.

Historically, the distribution of loggerhead emergences on the island has been consistent with the distribution of nests, with no difference in nesting success among zones. We can only speculate over the current causes for differences in nesting success between zones (Figure 7). Recent beach renourishment, coastal construction projects, prolonged periods of drought, formation of large escarpments that prevent turtles from crawling above the high tide line, and light pollution from inland sources may have all contributed to lower nesting success in the northernmost zones. Nesting success in the zone that includes the plant (Zone O) was similar to the surrounding zones (Figure 7).

### **2.2.1 Loggerhead Nesting**

Most loggerhead nesting occurs on warm temperate and subtropical beaches (Dodd, 1988), with Florida representing one of the most important loggerhead rookeries in the world (Casale & Tucker, 2017; Ceriani & Meylan, 2017). According to the FWC Fish and Wildlife Research Institute’s Statewide Nesting Beach Survey Program, over 90,000 loggerhead nests have been deposited annually on Florida beaches for the past five years. Moreover, the beaches in southeast Florida are especially prolific nesting areas, with South Hutchinson Island being a critically important nesting beach (Meylan,

Schroeder, & Mosier, 1995). Between 4,000 and 10,000 loggerhead nests have been deposited annually on South Hutchinson Island during the last 30 years (Figure 4).

In 2021, 9,143 loggerhead nests were recorded on South Hutchinson Island (Figure 4). In Zones A-S (the north end of the island) biologists observed 4,221 nests (Figure 8). The first recorded nest was on April 23 and the last loggerhead nest was recorded on October 3. There were 5,949 loggerhead false crawls observed in Zones A-S.

Five hundred thirty of the 4,221 loggerhead nests were marked to assess nest productivity. Three hundred ninety-one nests were successfully inventoried, 91 were completely or heavily predated, 25 could not be located again, 22 washed out, and one was scattered by other nesting turtle. The 391 inventoried nests contained a cumulative total of 40,719 eggs. Of these, 27,774 successfully hatched and emerged from the marked nests. This represents an emergence success rate of 68.2%. There were also 533 live loggerhead hatchlings found during nest excavations. However, these hatchlings were not considered to have successfully emerged from the nest.

Loggerhead nesting activity on South Hutchinson Island fluctuates considerably from year to year (Figure 6). Annual variations in nest densities are also common at other rookeries, and probably result from non-annual reproductive behavior (Heppell, Snover, & Crowder, 2003). In fact, recent publications promulgated by FWC have demonstrated statewide trends that mirror the nesting activity seen on South Hutchinson Island with three distinct periods: increasing 1989-1998, decreasing 1998-2007, and then increasing again 2007-2021 (Ceriani et al., 2019). No relationships between annual fluctuations in nesting activity and plant operation or intake/discharge construction have ever been found.

### **2.2.2 Green Nesting**

The green turtle is the second most common sea turtle on Florida nesting beaches. Approximately 99% of the green turtle nesting in Florida occurs on the Atlantic coast from Brevard through Broward Counties (Witherington, Herren, Bresette, 2006). On South Hutchinson Island, green turtles have had alternating years of nesting: a high nesting year followed by a low nesting year, although this pattern has become less distinct in recent years. This biennial pattern is also seen at other locations throughout their nesting range (Witherington et al., 2006).

In 2021, 894 green turtle nests were recorded on South Hutchinson Island (Figure 5). Biologists observed a total of 348 green turtle nests in Zones A-S (Figure 8). The first recorded nest of the season was on May 24 and the last green turtle nest was noted on October 8. There were 625 green turtle false crawls observed in Zones A-S.

One hundred five of the 348 green turtle nests were marked to assess nest productivity. Eighty-four nests were successfully inventoried, 13 nests could not be located, four were completely predated, and four washed out. The 84 inventoried nests contained a cumulative total of 9,979 eggs. Of these, 6,561 successfully hatched and emerged from the marked nests. This represents an emergence success rate of 65.7%. In addition, there were 346 live green turtles found during nest excavations. These hatchlings were not considered to have successfully emerged from the nest.

### **2.2.3 Leatherback Nesting**

Leatherback nesting occurs on subtropical and tropical beaches. They inhabit Florida waters primarily during the nesting season (March-June) and are generally found in higher densities close to shore awaiting nesting forays onto the beach (Schroeder & Thompson, 1987). Outside of nesting season, leatherbacks are often found in pelagic habitats as far north as the Canadian Maritimes where they feed primarily on jellyfish (Fossette et al., 2010).

In 2021, 243 leatherback sea turtle nests were recorded on South Hutchinson Island (Figure 6). Biologists observed a total of 91 leatherback nests in Zones A-S (Figure 8). The first recorded nest was on March 7 and the last leatherback nest was recorded on July 14. There were 25 leatherback sea turtle false crawls observed in the surveyed areas A-S.

Thirty-six of the 91 leatherback turtle nests were marked to assess nest productivity. Thirty-four nests were successfully inventoried and two could not be located again. The 34 nests that were inventoried contained a cumulative total of 2,625 eggs. Of these, 917 successfully hatched and emerged from the marked nests unaided. This represents an emergence success rate of 34.9%. There were also 71 live leatherback turtles found during nest excavations. These hatchlings were not considered to have successfully emerged from the nest.

Leatherback nesting on South Hutchinson Island generally mirrors the nesting trend for the entire state of Florida. Stewart et al. (2011) demonstrated that the number of leatherback nests in Florida have increased more than 10% per year between 1979 and 2011. However, over the last several years nesting numbers have been on the decline (Figure 6). Leatherback nesting numbers began to rebound in 2020 but biologists will need to continue monitoring leatherback nesting in order to ascertain whether this is a true trend reversal, as we do not yet have enough data to make this determination.

### **2.2.4 Predation**

Historically, raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) predation has been the leading cause of turtle nest destruction on South Hutchinson Island (ABI, 1989). Though turtle nests on South Hutchinson Island have probably been depredated by ghost crabs (*Ocypode quadrata*) since nesting surveys began, quantification of ghost crab predation did not begin until 1983. Occasionally, sea turtle nests are depredated by other animals such as bobcats (*Lynx rufus*), fire ants (*Solenopsis invicta*), and various species of birds. However, this only accounts for a small portion of the total number of predation events on South Hutchinson Island.

IRG biologists recorded a total of 302 predation events for South Hutchinson Island in 2021 within beach Sections A-S (Figure 9). Sea turtle nests on South Hutchinson Island were depredated by raccoons, ghost crabs, and fire ants. The most abundant predators were raccoons, which accounted for 186 individual predation events. Ghost crabs were the second most abundant predator accounting for 61 events. Another 47 predation events consisted of a combination of raccoon and ghost crab predation. Other predators accounted for only eight total predation events.

Nest excavation provides an opportunity to more accurately account for predation activity. For example, fire ant and ghost crab predation are not always evident from a cursory inspection of the sea turtle nest's surface. Predators impacted 24.6% of nests (125 out of 509) where hatch success could be evaluated. Ninety-one additional marked nests were completely predated prior to inventory.

### **2.2.5 Poaching**

EAI documented two human disturbances in 2021 just south of the Fort Pierce Inlet (zones A-D). On June 3, someone placed a tent over a nest and used the nest marking stakes to anchor their shade structure. On July 19, a nest was dug into with a toy shovel but no eggs were removed from the nest chamber. FWC law enforcement was contacted about both incidents.

## **3.0 Intake Canal Monitoring**

### **3.1 Barrier Nets**

There are three barrier nets that stretch across the entire width of the intake canal; they are the primary 5-inch, 8-inch A-1-A, and 10-inch Underwater Intrusion Detection System or UIDS nets (Figure 2). These barrier nets serve to constrain the movement of turtles in order to facilitate capture and also help prevent the entrainment of debris from interfering with the availability of clean cooling water for the power plant.

Maintaining the integrity of the barrier nets is essential to reducing mortality rates and residency times of entrained sea turtles and is mandated by the most recent BO issued by NMFS. Daily inspections are performed from a small boat to remove floating debris and to repair holes at or near the water's surface. Periodic inspections of the barrier nets, as well as cleaning debris when warranted, are conducted by Underwater Engineering Services, Inc. (UESI).

In addition to scheduled inspections and cleaning of the nets, divers are deployed when the integrity of the nets are threatened by algae events. These algae events can cause undue stress to the net structures and may cause the nets to fail. Net failures increase both the risk of sea turtle mortalities and overall residency times. Turtles can become tangled in or pinned under a failed barrier net, leading to a causal drowning mortality. Furthermore, if turtles have access to larger portions of the intake canal, then it becomes more challenging to quickly capture and release these animals back into their natural environment. The primary barrier net, with few exceptions, has effectively confined sea turtles to the eastern 200 meters of the intake canal.

### **3.1.1 Background**

In 1978, a barrier net at the A1A bridge (Figure 2) was constructed to confine turtles to the easternmost section of the intake canal where capture techniques have been most effective. This net is constructed of large diameter polypropylene rope and has a mesh size of 20.3 cm x 20.3 cm. A cable and series of large floats are used to keep the top of the net above the water's surface and the bottom of the net is anchored by a series of concrete blocks. The net is inclined at a slope of 1:1, with the bottom positioned upstream of the surface cable. This reduces bowing in the center and minimizes the risk of a weak or injured turtle being pinned underwater by strong currents.

In the past, the integrity of the barrier net was occasionally compromised and turtles were able to move west of A1A. These turtles were further constrained downstream by a proprietary UIDS net consisting, in part, of a large barrier positioned perpendicular to the north-south arm of the canal (Figure 2). The UIDS security barrier has a mesh size of 22.9 cm x 22.9 cm. Prior to completion of the UIDS in December 1986, turtles unconfined by the A1A barrier net were usually removed from the canal at the intake wells of Units 1 and 2 (Figure 2) with specially designed nets. Following construction of the UIDS barrier, only the smallest individuals were able to reach the intake wells. Improvements made to the A1A barrier net in 1990 have effectively confined all turtles larger than 32.5 cm carapace length (28.7 cm carapace width) to the eastern end of the canal.

In January 1996 (in response to the large numbers of small green turtles entrained in the intake canal in the early 90s), an improved barrier net design involving a smaller



12.7 x 12.7 cm mesh size was erected 150 m east of A1A (Figure 2). This additional “primary barrier net” was designed to confine all turtles with a carapace width greater than 18 cm to the extreme eastern portion of the intake canal. However, the integrity of this net was often compromised by incursions of seaweed, drift algae, jellyfish, and siltation. During these events, water velocities around the net increased dramatically creating an insufficient net slope that caused several sea turtle mortalities. To address this design problem and to further alleviate mortalities, FPL constructed a new net with a stronger mesh and added support structures. Dredging of the canal east of the A1A net was also conducted to minimize water velocities around the new barrier net. All construction was completed by November 2002.

In October 2009, the primary barrier net and support structures failed due to an algae event, submerging the north half of the net 0.6-1.5 m underwater (IRG, 2010). UESI installed large floating buoys onto the primary net in order to create a temporary barrier. However, this temporary barrier net was found to be susceptible to partial submergence or failure due to severe algae/jellyfish events or at extreme high tides. Therefore, construction on a new permanent primary barrier net began in 2014 and was completed in January 2015.

In September 2017, Hurricane Irma led to the failure of both the primary and secondary barrier nets. The heavily damaged 8-inch A-1-A net was replaced immediately after the storm and the primary 5-inch net was replaced February 9, 2018.

In February 2019, several juvenile green turtles were removed from the intake wells. In response, UESI inspected the primary 5-inch barrier net and discovered that there were gaps along the bottom of the net. The uneven accumulation of sediment along the base of the barrier net had exerted significant pressure on the net and altered its configuration. Under the direction of FPL, UESI repaired and then retensioned the primary barrier in order to improve its overall performance.

In April 2019, Ballard Marine Construction began dredging the intake canal to remove sediment buildup and ensure proper flow rates in the intake canal system. The secondary 8-inch barrier net was temporarily removed to facilitate this process prior to its successful completion in August 2019.

### **3.1.2 Current Methods & Results**

Presently, the 5-inch and 8-inch barrier nets are inspected quarterly and the 10-inch UIDS net is inspected semiannually. On October 21st, several holes were observed in the 5-inch barrier net during a snorkel survey of the intake canal. These holes were not present during the barrier net inspections the previous month. In response, Underwater Engineering Services divers inspected and cleaned the barrier net on the following day.

Four holes (three single strand and one larger 10-inch by 15-inch hole) were repaired. Currently, the primary 5-inch barrier net is scheduled for replacement in 2022.

Two juvenile green turtles were encountered beyond the primary barrier in 2021. The first one was recovered in the power plant intake wells in March and was sent to rehab. It was successfully rehabilitated and released later in the year. The second turtle was recovered from the intake wells in November. This turtle likely passed through the holes that were found (and repaired) in the barrier net the month prior. This second turtle was in good condition and released the same day.

### **3.2 Intake Pipe Cleaning & Maintenance**

Beginning in 2002, there was a steady increase in the number of sea turtles incurring scrapes during transit through the power plant intake pipes (the three large diameter pipes that initially bring water into the intake canal system from offshore). These scrapes varied in degree of severity, with most being minor and similar to those found on sea turtles that inhabit nearshore reefs. However, some scrapes were moderate or severe, causing some turtles to be sent to rehabilitation facilities for treatment. This prompted FPL to inspect the intake pipes in 2006 and schedule the cleaning of bio-fouling and marine debris that were thought to be causing the scrapes to entrained sea turtles.

Cleaning and removal of debris from the intake pipes and offshore intake structures began in October 2007 and were completed February 2011. Additionally, two openings that extended from the top of the two 12-foot intake pipes were also sealed off during this time.

### **3.3 Power Plant Outages**

Decreased water flow during power plant outages likely reduces the number of turtles entrained into the intake canal. An outage occurs when the power generating unit is offline. There were two short-term power plant outages in 2021. Unit 1 was in outage from April 10 until May 17 and Unit 2 was in outage from August 28 until September 30.

### **4.0 Intake Canal Captures**

Entrainment of sea turtles at the St. Lucie Plant has been attributed to the presumed physical attractiveness of the offshore structures housing the intake pipes rather than to plant operating characteristics (Ecological Associates, Inc., 2000). The velocity caps, which are supported above the openings to each intake pipe, eliminate vertical water entrainment and substantially reduce current velocities near the structures by spreading horizontal draw over a wider area. Even when both units are operating at full capacity,

turtles must actively swim into the mouth of one of the structures before they encounter current velocities sufficient enough to entrain them. Turtles entering the ocean intake structures are entrained with cooling water and rapidly transported through the intake pipes into an enclosed canal system where they must be manually captured and returned to the ocean. Since the plant became operational in 1976, turtles entrained in the intake canal have been systematically captured, measured, weighed, tagged, and released.

## **4.1 Methodology**

### **4.1.1 Turtle Capture**

Historically, most turtles entrained in the St. Lucie Plant intake canal were removed using large-mesh tangle nets set near the intake canal headwalls at the extreme eastern end of the intake canal (Figure 2). Nets used were from 30 to 40 m in length, 3 to 4 m deep, and composed of 40 cm stretch mesh multifilament nylon. Large floats were attached to the surface and unweighted lines were used along the bottom. Turtles entangled in the nets generally remained at the water's surface until removed. Nets were usually deployed on Monday morning and retrieved on Friday afternoon. During periods of deployment, the nets were inspected for captures at least twice each day (mornings and afternoons). St. Lucie Plant personnel checked the nets periodically and biologists were notified immediately if a capture was observed. Sea turtle specialists were on call 24 hours a day to retrieve captured turtles from the plant intake canal system.

Beginning in April 1990, after consultation with NMFS, net deployment was scaled back to daylight hours only. Concurrently, surveillance of the intake canal was increased and biologists remained on site for the duration of each day's netting activities. This measure decreased response time for removal of entangled turtles and provided an opportunity to improve daily assessments of turtle abundance within the canal.

During each day's directed capture efforts, formal inspections of the intake canal were made to determine the number, location, and species of turtles present. Surface observations were augmented with periodic underwater inspections, particularly in and around the barrier nets. These observations allowed for a rough estimate of how many sea turtles were in each section of the canal on a given day.

The canal capture program has been under continual review and refinement in an attempt to minimize both entanglement time and injuries/mortalities to sea turtles. Better utilization of currents and eddies, adjustments to tethering lines, multi-net deployments, and increased efforts to hand capture and dip net turtles have contributed to reduced residency times in recent years.

#### **4.1.2 Data Collection**

Regardless of capture method, all turtles removed from the canal are identified to species, measured, weighed, tagged with external flipper tags, and given a basic health assessment (noting body condition, any wounds, abnormalities, parasites, etc.). Since 1994, captured turtles have also been photographed dorsally and ventrally prior to release. Additionally, as of July 2001, Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) tags are injected subcutaneously into the right front flipper of all turtles as outlined in the BO issued by NMFS in May 2001. Healthy turtles are released into the ocean the same day of capture. When treatment is warranted, turtles are transported to an approved rehabilitation facility after consultation with FWC. Beginning in 1982, necropsies were conducted on dead turtles found in fresh condition. Currently, fresh dead turtles are held on ice and taken to a qualified veterinarian for necropsy. Methodologies associated with the canal capture program have remained essentially unchanged since 1994, making data comparable from that year through the current reporting period.

#### **4.2 Results for 2021**

Methods to remove sea turtles from the intake canal include the use of tangle nets, dip nets, as well as hand capture. Long handled dip nets employed from small boats, the canal banks, and headwall structures are moderately effective in capturing turtles with carapace lengths of 40 cm or less. Snorkelers are also employed to hand capture turtles whenever water visibility permits. This technique has proven highly effective in the capture of turtles of all sizes, particularly less active individuals that are often found partially buried in the sediment near the primary barrier net. Moreover, proactive capture methods (hand capture and dip net) consistently reduce residency times for turtles in the intake canal.

During 2021, a total of 237 sea turtles were removed from the intake canal, including 162 loggerheads, 70 green turtles, four Kemp's ridleys, and one hawksbill (Figures 10 & 11; Table 1). The majority of these turtles (90.7%) were captured alive and released back to the ocean. Nineteen (8.0%) were taken to rehabilitation facilities for treatment of injuries or disease and three (1.3%) turtles were dead. Specifically, 16 loggerheads, one green turtle, and one hawksbill were sent to rehabilitation facilities for non-causal injuries. Also, one green turtle incurred a causal injury which was successfully treated in rehab prior to its release. Additionally, there was one non-causal mortality (a loggerhead) and two causal mortalities (one loggerhead and one green turtle).

In 2021, two turtles were removed from the intake wells. The remaining 235 turtles were captured east of the primary barrier net - 178 by tangle nets, 35 by hand capture, 14 by

dip net, and 8 off of the primary barrier net. Proactive captures accounted for 20.7% of the turtles removed from the intake canal.

One loggerhead nested on the canal banks during the 2021 sea turtle nesting season. The nest was relocated and later excavated in order to determine hatch success in accordance with FWC protocols. The last intake canal nest was documented in 2019.

#### **4.2.1 Loggerhead Captures**

Historically, loggerheads have been the most abundant species entrained into the canal. The number of loggerheads captured each year ranged from 62 in 1981 to 623 in 2004. During 2021, monthly captures of loggerheads ranged from one in September to 32 in March (Table 2), with a monthly mean of 14. Loggerhead capture rates have exhibited considerable year-to-year fluctuation, but have shown an overall increasing trend between the time the power plant started operation thru the early 2000's (Figure 10; Table 1). Since this time, the loggerhead capture rates have tapered off somewhat but have remained relatively stable. The size frequency of loggerheads ranges from predominately juvenile to sub-adult animals, with adult animals captured mainly during the nesting season of April through September (Figure 12).

Of the 162 loggerheads captured, 68 were juveniles (SSCL < 70 cm), 41 were transitional (SSCL 70-84.9 cm), and 53 were adults (SSCL  $\geq$  85 cm; Hirth, 1980; Figure 12). The intermediate group likely includes both mature and immature individuals. Of the 53 turtles classified as adults, 43 were females and 10 were males. One additional loggerhead was recorded as male, even though its SSCL was less than 85 cm, because sex was apparent from the animal's tail length (Owens 1997). In addition, there was one loggerhead in the intermediate size class that was classified as female because it was missing a large portion of its posterior carapace from an apparent healed boat strike injury (otherwise, it would have been at least 85 cm SSCL).

#### **4.2.2 Green Captures**

The number of green turtles captured each year has ranged from three in 1979 to a record high of 673 in 1995 (Figure 10; Table 1). A spike in green turtle captures, driven mainly by small juveniles (Bresette, Gorham, & Peery, 1998) during the mid-1990s, has leveled off to a capture rate consistently greater than numbers recorded prior to 1994. Size-class frequencies of green turtles at the intake canal are dominated by juvenile animals with adults captured in relatively small numbers during the nesting season of May through October (Figure 13).

During 2021, monthly green turtle captures ranged from zero in July to 14 in November (Table 2), with a monthly mean of six. Of the 70 green turtles captured in 2021, there

were 66 juveniles or sub-adults (SSCL < 85 cm) and four adults (Witherington and Ehrhart, 1989; Figure 13). Of the four turtles classified as adults, two were females and two were males.

#### **4.2.3 Leatherback, Hawksbill, Kemp's, & Olive Ridley Captures**

Captures of leatherback, hawksbill, and Kemp's ridley turtles have been infrequent and scattered throughout the years (Figure 11; Table 1). However, each species has shown rather pronounced seasonal occurrences (Table 3). Leatherbacks are typically captured in March and April, hawksbills are captured between July and September, and Kemp's ridleys are caught between December and April. Only one olive ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) has ever been captured at the St. Lucie site and it was encountered in May 2019.

In 2021, there were four Kemp's ridleys and one hawksbill captured in the intake canal of the St. Lucie Plant (Table 1). The Kemp's ridleys included three subadults and one adult female (SSCL ranged from 52.6 cm to 61.4 cm). The hawksbill was an adult female with a SSCL of 82.3 cm (Meylan and Redlow, 2006).

#### **4.2.4 Recaptures**

Since plant operation began in 1976, a total of 18,965 sea turtles (including recaptures) have been captured, including 10,856 loggerheads, 7,887 green, 105 Kemp's ridley, 72 hawksbills, 44 leatherbacks, and one olive ridley (Table 1).

Most turtles removed from the intake canal have been tagged and released into the ocean at various locations along South Hutchinson Island. Individual turtles can be identified as long as they retain their tags. Over the history of the program at the St. Lucie Plant, 3,414 recapture events (826 loggerheads, 2,587 green turtles, and one Kemp's ridley) have occurred. The recapture rate in 2021 was 9.9% for loggerheads and 37.1% for green turtles.

Occasionally, turtles are captured that have been tagged by other researchers; there were three such captures in 2021. Three loggerheads were captured this year bearing tags placed by other researchers. All three were females tagged while nesting by other researchers as early as 2015. The turtles were found nesting between Juno Beach and Melbourne (Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge).

#### **4.2.5 Relative Condition**

Turtles captured alive in the intake canal of the St. Lucie Plant are assigned a relative condition based on weight, activity, parasite infestation, epibiont coverage, injuries, and any other abnormalities that might affect overall vitality. Relative condition ratings can

be influenced by a number of factors, some related and others unrelated to entrainment into the intake canal. A rating of good indicates that turtles have not been negatively impacted by their entrapment in the canal, as evidenced by physical appearance. Although ratings of fair or poor imply reduced vitality, the extent to which entrainment and entrapment are responsible is often indeterminable. In some instances, acute injuries responsible for lower overall condition ratings, such as boat collision, fisheries gear entanglement, or disease were obviously sustained prior to entrainment. However, in recent years, turtles have been found with fresh scrapes and cuts incurred during the entrainment process. Some of these incidents have had a negative effect on a sea turtle's overall condition and have been categorized as directly causal to plant operation. Causal determinations are made by consultation with personnel from FWC and/or a qualified veterinarian.

During 2021, of the 162 loggerheads captured, 85.8% (139) were alive and in good condition. Only 13.0% (21) of all loggerheads were in fair or poor condition and 1.2% (2) were recovered postmortem. Of the 70 green turtles removed from the intake canal, 92.9% (65) were in good condition, 5.7% (4) were in fair or poor condition, and 1.4% (1) were dead.

According to the 2016 Biological Opinion, FPL is required to record the number of captured turtles with fresh scrapes caused by entrainment and categorize them as either minor, moderate, or severe. A severe fresh scrape is an injury that would generally require medical consultation and/or rehabilitation. Furthermore, the BO dictates that if the number of turtles with severe fresh scrapes reaches 0.5% of the number of captured turtles or if the number of turtles with moderate or severe fresh scrapes reaches 15% of the number of captured turtles during two consecutive years, FPL shall start the process for inspecting the intake pipes and evaluate and initiate corrective action within one month.

Of the 237 turtles removed from the intake canal during the year, 197 were observed with fresh cuts or scrapes that may have been incurred during transit through the intake pipes. Although these scrapes varied in degree of severity, most turtles were classified as having either none or only minor fresh scrapes (96.6%). No turtles were found with severe fresh scrapes this past year. However, eight turtles had moderate fresh scrapes. Consequently, 3.4% of turtles were categorized as having either moderate or severe fresh scrapes. Moreover, both of these percentages were below the threshold required for action under the most recent BO.

#### **4.2.6 Mortalities & Injuries**

Injuries and mortalities are categorized in two ways - causal to plant operation or non-causal to plant operation. These decisions are made in consultation with FWC

and/or a qualified veterinarian. Not all mortalities and injuries are causal to plant operation, as some sea turtles enter the canal in either a moribund state or have had pre-existing conditions related to fisheries, boat interactions, or disease. Injuries and mortalities causal to plant operation are recorded and applied against the take limit established by the most recent BO set forth by NMFS.

Sea turtle mortalities have been closely monitored throughout the history of the capture program in an attempt to assign probable cause and take remedial action to minimize future occurrences. Modifications to capture procedures, improvements to barrier nets, and virtual elimination of low flow conditions within the intake pipes have resulted in a substantial reduction in sea turtle mortalities over the life of the canal capture program. The mortality rate declined from 7.9% during the period 1976-1984 to 1.4% for the period 1985 to present (Table 1). Over the entire monitoring program's history (1976-2021), 189 (1.7%) loggerheads and 138 (1.7%) green turtles entrained in the canal were dead. Only four Kemp's ridley mortalities have been documented at the St. Lucie Plant during 1987 and 1988. The only hawksbill mortality was recorded in 2014 and there have been no leatherback mortalities in the history of the project.

In 2021, three sea turtle mortalities were recorded at the St. Lucie plant intake canal. Two of the mortalities were considered causal to plant operations (discussed below) and there was one causal injury.

There were two causal mortalities in 2021. On November 23rd, an emaciated juvenile green turtle with severe papillomatosis was recovered from the primary barrier net. The turtle was emaciated with tumors occluding both eyes. Then, on December 10th, a subadult loggerhead was found fresh dead on the 5-inch barrier net. This turtle had two unhealed prop wounds in its posterior carapace (gashes extended through both the carapace and plastron) and the pygals had also been chopped off by the action of the prop. In accordance with protocol, both turtles were held on ice for necropsy and final causality determination by a licensed veterinarian. Despite being compromised, both turtles were determined to have drowned and therefore deemed causal.

One turtle was taken to a rehabilitation facility for a causal injury in 2021. On March 24th, a juvenile green turtle was recovered from the intake wells with injuries to the carapace, likely sustained from the mechanical cleaning rakes. The turtle was also nutritionally compromised and severely underweight. Immediately after workup, the turtle was taken to veterinarians at the Loggerhead Marinelife Center for examination and initial treatment. It was later transferred to the rehab facility at the Gumbo Limbo Nature Center where it made a full recovery and was released prior to the end of the year.

#### **4.2.7 Intake Canal Nesting**



FPL's contracted biologists inspect the banks of the intake canal east of the 5-inch mesh barrier net for turtle tracks or other signs of nesting each morning during nesting season (March 1st -October 31st). On May 22nd, a loggerhead was found to have nested on the intake canal bank overnight. FWC was promptly notified and the nest was relocated to the beach at 7:30 AM. This nest was marked and inventoried to determine hatch success in accordance with FWC protocols.

A total of 107 eggs were relocated to the beach adjacent to the intake canal. Three days after the nest hatched out, the nest was inventoried. Of the 107 relocated eggs, 99 hatched and successfully emerged from the nest. This represents an emergence success rate of 92.5%.

FWC was furnished with an official Relocated Nest Success Report as well as a Statewide Nesting Beach Survey for this nest after the completion of the 2021 sea turtle nesting season.

#### **4.2.8 Smalltooth Sawfish Captures**

The Incidental Take Limit established by the 2016 Biological Opinion set forth by NMFS is one non-lethal capture (take) of U.S. Distinct Population Segment smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*) every five years. No smalltooth sawfish were encountered in the St. Lucie Plant intake canal in 2021.

### **5.0 Sea Turtle Protective Activities**

#### **5.1 NMFS Section 7 Consultations**

In accordance with Section 7 of the ESA, FPL must submit a Biological Assessment to NMFS for review if FPL exceeds the Incidental Take Limit established by the most recent BO. The BO is an analytical document that looks at the effects of a federal action on endangered and threatened species.

Section 7(b) (4) of the ESA refers to the incidental take of listed species. It sets forth the requirements when a proposed agency action is found to be consistent with Section 7(a) (2) of the ESA and the proposed action may incidentally take listed species. NMFS is responsible for issuing a statement that specifies the impact of any incidental take of endangered or threatened species. It also states that reasonable and prudent measures, and terms and conditions to implement the measures, be provided to minimize such impacts.

In 1999, FPL exceeded their anticipated incidental take limit established by the 1997 BO set forth by NMFS. This required reinitiating consultation under Section 7 of the ESA. As part of this consultation, FPL conducted a study on the factors influencing sea turtle

entrainment (EAI, 2000). NMFS considered this information when developing the new BO that was issued on May 4, 2001.

In the 2001 BO, there were a number of changes, most importantly in the Incidental Take Statement. It stated that FPL would exceed their take limits for a calendar year if any of the following occur: 1) more than 1000 sea turtles are captured, 2) more than 1% of the total number of loggerhead and green turtles (combined) are injured/killed due to plant operation, 3) more than two Kemp's ridley sea turtles are injured/killed due to plant operation, or 4) if any hawksbill or leatherback sea turtles are injured/killed due to plant operation. In the case where 1% of the combined loggerhead and green turtle captures is not a whole number, it is rounded up (e.g. 520 combined captures = take limit of 6). Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, a new consultation with NMFS is required if FPL meets or exceeds the take limits specified in the Incidental Take Statement.

In 2006, FPL exceeded their sea turtle take limit at the St. Lucie Plant and reinitiating a Section 7 consultation was required. FPL identified the contributing factors that led to exceeding the take limit in 2006 and responded by cleaning the intake pipes and other compensatory measures.

The St. Lucie Plant continued to operate under the 2001 BO until NMFS issued a new BO in March 2016. The most significant change in the new BO is to the Incidental Take Statement. It now states that FPL would exceed its take limit if: 1) more than 623 loggerheads, 500 green turtle, seven hawksbills, eight Kemp's ridleys, or five leatherbacks are captured annually; 2) more than seven green turtles or three loggerheads are documented with severe causal injuries annually; 3) more than five green turtles or three loggerhead are documented as causal mortalities annually; 4) more than one hawksbill, Kemp's ridley, or leatherback are documented with either a severe causal injury or is a causal mortality every two years; 5) more than one smalltooth sawfish is captured every five years or any smalltooth sawfish are ever killed.

Since 2016, FPL has encountered three smalltooth sawfish (two in 2017 and one in 2019) in the intake canal of the St. Lucie site. Although the fish were in good condition and promptly released, FPL exceeded its take limit and a Section 7 consultation is ongoing with NMFS. Also, in 2018, FPL exceeded its take with regard to causal green turtle mortalities. Then, in both 2018 and 2019, FPL exceeded its take limit because more Kemp's ridleys were captured than allowable under the latest BO issued by NMFS (no more than eight can be encountered in any given year as stipulated in the Incidental Take Statement). FPL and the NRC have already reinitiated section 7 consultations with NMFS.

During 2021 there were 162 loggerheads, 70 green turtles, four Kemp's ridleys, and one hawksbill captured. There was one causal injury (green turtle) and two causal mortalities (one loggerhead and one green turtle). Also, no hawksbill or Kemp's ridley turtles were injured or killed. Furthermore, no smalltooth sawfish were encountered in the intake canal. Therefore, FPL did not exceed its take limit during 2021 under the latest BO issued by NMFS.

## **5.2 Sea Turtle Stranding & Salvage Network and Turtle Walks**

An amendment to the EPP, Requirement 4.2.1 of the St. Lucie Unit 2 operating license Appendix B, was approved in 1999. This mandated that participation in the Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network (STSSN) and Public Service Turtle Walks was to become part of the BO and Incidental Take Statement issued by NMFS.

As participants in the STSSN, IRG's sea turtle biologists routinely respond to sea turtle strandings in St. Lucie and Martin Counties. This activity involves the collection of information on turtles that are found dead, debilitated, or that have been impacted by human-related activities. All permit holders participating in this program are required to complete a STSSN stranding report for each dead or debilitated turtle encountered. Completed stranding reports are then sent to FWC.

Sea turtle nesting walks are conducted by FPL as part of their public outreach programs during the summer sea turtle nesting season. These turtle walks educate the public about relevant sea turtle protection issues and, in most cases, allow the public to view a nesting loggerhead sea turtle.

### **5.2.1 Results for 2021**

During 2021, IRG biologists responded to 15 (10 green and five loggerheads) stranding events in Saint Lucie County. Two live turtles were transported to rehabilitation facilities. The remaining 13 turtles were either moribund or found dead in various stages of decomposition. The probable cause of stranding included three boat strikes, three fisheries interaction, two with severe papillomatosis, two chronic debilitation syndrome, one shark attack, and one weather-related event. The remaining three turtles were either too decomposed, had injuries of an unknown origin, or otherwise lacked any salient wounds or abnormalities to indicate a probable cause of death.

Due to the coronavirus pandemic COVID-19, FPL did not conduct any turtle walks in 2021. After consultation with FWC, it was decided that turtle walks should be suspended until the risk of transmission had abated.

## **5.3 Collaborative Efforts**

IRG biologists continue to assist other sea turtle researchers, universities, nonprofit organizations, and state and federal agencies by providing data, specimens, and public outreach. IRG biologists at the St. Lucie Plant continued to collaborate with other researchers on four research projects in 2021.

For many years now, unhatched eggs from loggerhead nests have been collected for stable isotope analysis by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute. In 2021, an FAU PhD student placed data loggers in loggerhead nests and examined hatchlings in order to study how sex ratios vary throughout the nesting season. Samples were also collected from juvenile green turtles for a Master's student at Jacksonville University performing diet analysis. Lastly, a Ph.D. student from Arizona State University utilized the large flow-through experimental testing tank at the St. Lucie site to study the behavioral responses of sea turtles to different technologies that may help keep them away from anthropogenic hazards such as fishing gear.

## 6.0 References

- Applied Biology, Inc. (1978). *Ecological Monitoring at the Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Plant, Annual Report 1977, Volumes I and II* (AB-101). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Miami.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1980). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Plant Annual Non-Radiological Environmental Monitoring Report 1979, Volumes II and III, Biotic Monitoring* (AB-244). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Miami.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1984). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1983* (AB-533). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1985). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1984*. Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1986). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1985* (AB-563). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1987). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1986* (AB-579). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1988). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1987* (AB-595). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1989). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1988* (AB-596). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1990). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1989*. Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1991). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1990* (AB-610). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1992). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1991* (AB-617). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Applied Biology, Inc. (1993). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1992* (AB-623). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.

- Applied Biology, Inc. (1994). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1993* (AB-631). Prepared by Applied Biology, Inc., for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach.
- Bresette, M., Gorham, J., & Peery, B. (1998). Site fidelity and size frequencies of juvenile green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) utilizing nearshore reefs in St. Lucie County, Florida. *Marine Turtle Newsletter*, 82, 5-7.
- Casale, P. & Tucker, A.D. (2017). *Caretta* (amended version of 2015 assessment). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T3897A119333622. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-2.RLTS.T3897A119333622.en>. Downloaded on 19 January 2021.
- Ceriani, S. A., Casale, P., Brost, M., Leone, E. H., and Witherington, B. E. (2019). Conservation implications of sea turtle nesting trends: elusive recovery of a globally important loggerhead population. *Ecosphere* 10 (11): e02936.10.1002/ecs2.2936
- Ceriani, S.A. & Meylan, A.B. (2017). *Caretta caretta* (North West Atlantic subpopulation) (amended version of 2015 assessment). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T84131194A119339029. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-2.RLTS.T84131194A119339029.en>. Downloaded on 19 January 2021.
- Davis, G.E., & Whiting, M.C. (1977) Loggerhead sea turtle nesting in Everglades National Park, Florida, USA, *Herpetologica*, 33, 18-28.
- Dodd, C.K., Jr. (1988). *Synopsis of the biological data on the loggerhead sea turtle Caretta caretta (Linnaeus 1758)*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 88(14).
- Ecological Associates, Inc. (2000). *Physical and Ecological Factors Influencing Sea Turtle Entrainment Levels at the St. Lucie Power Plant 1976-1998*. Submitted to FPL.
- Fossette, S., Hobson, V.J., Girard, C., Calmettes, B., Gaspar, P., Georges, J., & Hays, G.C. (2010). Spatio-temporal foraging patterns of a giant zooplanktivore, the leatherback turtle. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 81, 225-234.
- Heppel, S.S., Snover, M.L., & Crowder, L.B. (2003). Sea Turtle Population Ecology. In P. L. Lutz, J. A. Musick, & J. Wyneken (Eds.), *Biology of Sea Turtles Volume II* (pp. 275-306).
- Hirth, H.F. (1980). Some aspects of the nesting behavior and reproductive biology of sea turtles, *American Zoologist*, 20, 507-523.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2010). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2009*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2011). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2010*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2012). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2011*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2013). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2012*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2014). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2013*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2015). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2014*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2016). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2015*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2017). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2016*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2018). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2017*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2019). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2018*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2020). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2019*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Inwater Research Group, Inc. (2021). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2020*. Prepared by Inwater Research Group, Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Meylan, A.B., Schroeder, B. & Mosier, A. (1995), Sea turtle nesting activity in the state of Florida, 1979-1992. *Florida Marine Research Publications*, 52, 1-51.
- Meylan, A., and A. Redlow. 2006. *Eretmochelys imbricata* – Hawksbill Turtle. Pp. 105-127 *In* Biology and Conservation of Florida Turtles. Meylan, P.A. (Ed.). Chelonian Research Monographs No. 3.
- Owens, D. (1997) Hormones in the Life History of Sea Turtles. In P. L. Lutz & J. A. Musick (Eds.), *The Biology of Sea Turtles* (pp. 315–341).

Quantum Resources Inc. (1995). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1994*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (1996). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1995*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (1997). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1996*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (1998). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1997*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (1999). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1998*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (2000). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 1999*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (2001). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2000*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (2002). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2001*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (2003). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2002*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (2004). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2003*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (2005). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2004*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.


Quantum Resources Inc. (2006). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2005*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.

Quantum Resources Inc. (2007). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2006*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.




- Quantum Resources Inc. (2008). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2007*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Quantum Resources Inc. (2009). *Florida Power & Light Company, St. Lucie Unit 2 Annual Environmental Operating Report 2008*. Prepared by Quantum Resources Inc. for Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, FL.
- Schroeder, B.A. & Thompson, N.B. (1987). *Distribution of the loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta) and leatherback turtle (Dermochelys coriacea) in the Cape Canaveral, Florida area; results from aerial surveys* (NOAA Technical Report, NMFS-53).
- Stewart, K., Johnson, C., & Godfrey, M. H. (2007). The minimum size of leatherbacks at reproductive maturity, with a review of sizes for nesting females from the Indian, Atlantic and Pacific Ocean basins. *The Herpetological Journal*, 17(2), 123-128.
- Stewart, K., Sims, M., Meylan, A., Witherington, B., Brost, B., & Crowder, L. (2011). Leatherback nests increasing significantly in Florida, USA; trends assessed over 30 years using multilevel modeling. *Ecological Applications*, 21(1), 263-273.
- Witherington, B.E., & Ehrhart, L. M. (1989). Status and reproductive characteristics of green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) nesting in Florida. In Ogren, L., F. Berry, K. Bjorndal, H. Kumpf, R. Mast, G. Medina, H. Reichart and R. Witham (Eds.), *Proceeding of the Second Western Atlantic Turtle Symposium, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, 12-16 October 1987* (pp-351-352). NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-226.
- Witherington, B., Bresette, & Herren, R. (2006). *Chelonia mydas* – green turtle. In Meylan, P.A. (Eds.), *Biology and Conservation of Florida Turtles* (pp90-104). Chelonian Research Monographs.
- Zug G.R., Chaloupka M., & Balazs G.H. (2006). Age and growth in olive ridley sea turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) from the North-central Pacific: a skeletochronological analysis. *Marine Ecology*, 27(3), 263-70.


## 7.0 Figures & Tables




**Figure 1.** Location of St. Lucie Plant on South Hutchinson Island, Florida.




**Figure 2.** St. Lucie Plant cooling water intake and discharge system.




**Figure 3.** Designation and location of nine 1.25 km segments (in brackets) and 36 one km segments surveyed for sea turtle nesting on South Hutchinson Island (1971-2021).




**Figure 4.** Number of loggerhead turtle nests on South Hutchinson Island from 1971 through 2021. Values for 1971 through 1979 are estimates (Section 2.1.1); values for 1981 through 2021 are from whole island surveys.



**Figure 5.** Number of green turtle nests on South Hutchinson Island from 1971 through 2021. Values for 1971 through 1979 are estimates (Section 2.1.1); values for 1981 through 2021 are from whole island surveys.




**Figure 6.** Number of leatherback turtle nests on South Hutchinson Island from 1971 through 2021. Values for 1971 through 1979 are estimates (Section 2.1.1); values for 1981 through 2021 are from whole island surveys.




**Figure 7.** Loggerhead and green turtle nesting success (percentage of emergences resulting in nests) for each of the 1 km Zones A through S (North to South) on South Hutchinson Island for the 2021 nesting season.







**Figure 8.** Number of turtle nests by species for each of the 1 km Zones A through S (North to South) on South Hutchinson Island for the 2021 nesting season (N=4,660 nests).




**Figure 9.** Percentage of sea turtle nests depredated by 1 km Zones A through S (North to South) on South Hutchinson Island for the 2021 nesting season.




**Figure 10.** Number of loggerhead and green turtles captured and removed each year from the intake canal at the St. Lucie Plant, 1976 through 2021.



**Figure 11.** Number of Kemp's ridley, olive ridley, hawksbill, and leatherback turtles captured and removed each year from the intake canal at the St. Lucie Plant, 1976 through 2021.



**Figure 12.** Size distribution (Straight Standard Carapace Length; SSCL) of loggerhead turtles (N=162) captured and removed from the intake canal at the St. Lucie Plant during 2021.



**Figure 13.** Size distribution (Straight Standard Carapace Length; SSCL) of green turtles (N=70) captured and removed from the intake canal at the St. Lucie Plant during 2021.

Year	Loggerhead		Green		Leatherback		Hawksbill		Kemp's ridley		Olive ridley		Total	
1976 - 1984	962	74	156	15	7		2		3				1,130	89
1985	157	4	14				1						172	4
1986	195	27	22	1	1		1		1				220	28
1987	175	11	35				2		6				218	13
1988	134	6	42	2					5	2			181	10
1989	111	4	17	1	1		2		2	2			133	5
1990	112	1	20	2									132	3
1991	107	1	12				1		1				121	1
1992	123	2	61	2	1		2						187	4
1993	147		179	1	5		2		4				337	1
1994	164		193	4	2				2				361	4
1995	254	1	673	15	1				5				933	16
1996	349	3	549	4			5		3				906	7
1997	188		191	5	2		1						382	5
1998	393	1	268		1		2		2				666	1
1999	302	2	190	4	1		1		1				495	6
2000	344	2	345	2			2						691	4
2001	270	1	321	5	2		6		1				600	6
2002	341		292	3			3						636	3
2003	538		394	2	4		6		2				944	2
2004	623	2	286	1	2		2		1				914	3
2005	484	2	428	1			2		3				917	3
2006	419	22	267	2	1		2		3				692	24
2007	227	3	101	1	1		1						330	4
2008	420	2	299	4			4		2				725	6
2009	260	1	161	1	1		2						424	2
2010	295	2	444	6	2		3		7				751	8
2011	302	1	217	8			2						521	9
2012	232	1	127	2	2		1						362	3
2013	303	2	196	3	2		2						503	5
2014	275	1	134	2			3	1	2				414	4
2015	274	1	181	8	2		1		7				465	9
2016	316	1	159	8	1		4		6				486	9
2017	250	3	182	5			2		1				435	8
2018	238		246	9	1		1		11				497	9
2019	234	2	260	3					13		1		508	5
2020	176	1	155	5	1				7				339	6
2021	162	2	70	1			1		4				237	3
Total	10,856	189	7,887	138	44	0	72	1	105	4	1	0	18,965	332
Mean*	241	4	175	3	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	421	7

**Table 1.** Total number of captured turtles removed from the intake canal at the St. Lucie Plant from 1976 through 2021. Number of mortalities is highlighted in gray. Mean excludes partial year of 1976 when 26 loggerheads were captured.

Months	Loggerhead				Green			
	2021 Captures	Total Captures	Percent of Captures	Annual Mean	2021 Captures	Total Captures	Percent of Captures	Annual Mean
<i>January</i>	11	992	9.2%	22.0	13	1,061	13.5%	23.6
<i>February</i>	7	967	8.9%	21.5	7	820	10.4%	18.2
<i>March</i>	32	1,103	10.2%	24.5	7	892	11.3%	19.8
<i>April</i>	9	1,026	9.5%	22.8	2	537	6.8%	11.9
<i>May</i>	17	1,015	9.4%	22.6	3	500	6.3%	11.1
<i>June</i>	16	1,198	11.1%	26.6	4	443	5.6%	9.8
<i>July</i>	24	1,417	13.1%	31.5	0	412	5.2%	9.2
<i>August</i>	13	926	8.6%	20.6	3	417	5.3%	9.3
<i>September</i>	1	646	6.0%	14.4	3	698	8.9%	15.5
<i>October</i>	10	570	5.3%	12.7	4	801	10.2%	17.8
<i>November</i>	6	419	3.9%	9.3	14	651	8.3%	14.5
<i>December</i>	16	551	5.1%	12.2	10	655	8.3%	14.6
<b>Total</b>	162	10,830	100%	241	70	7,887	100%	175

**Table 2.** Total number of loggerhead and green turtles removed each month from the intake canal at the St. Lucie Plant from 1977 through 2021. Monthly totals exclude the partial year 1976 when 26 loggerheads were captured.



Months	Leatherback				Hawksbill				Kemp's Ridley			
	2021 Captures	Total Captures	Percent of Captures	Annual Mean	2021 Captures	Total Captures	Percent of Captures	Annual Mean	2021 Captures	Total Captures	Percent of Captures	Annual Mean
<i>January</i>	0	5	11.4%	0.1	0	1	1.4%	0.0	2	23	21.9%	0.5
<i>February</i>	0	5	11.4%	0.1	0	2	2.8%	0.0	1	32	30.5%	0.7
<i>March</i>	0	13	29.5%	0.3	1	9	12.5%	0.2	0	16	15.2%	0.4
<i>April</i>	0	7	15.9%	0.2	0	3	4.2%	0.1	0	17	16.2%	0.4
<i>May</i>	0	5	11.4%	0.1	0	3	4.2%	0.1	0	2	1.9%	0.0
<i>June</i>	0	2	4.5%	0.0	0	2	2.8%	0.0	0	2	1.9%	0.0
<i>July</i>	0	0	0.0%	0.0	0	14	19.4%	0.3	0	3	2.9%	0.1
<i>August</i>	0	1	2.3%	0.0	0	10	13.9%	0.2	0	0	0.0%	0.0
<i>September</i>	0	2	4.5%	0.0	0	14	19.4%	0.3	0	0	0.0%	0.0
<i>October</i>	0	1	2.3%	0.0	0	6	8.3%	0.1	0	2	1.9%	0.0
<i>November</i>	0	2	4.5%	0.0	0	6	8.3%	0.1	0	2	1.9%	0.0
<i>December</i>	0	1	2.3%	0.0	0	2	2.8%	0.0	1	6	5.7%	0.1
<b>Total</b>	0	44	100%	1	1	72	100%	2	4	105	100%	2

**Table 3.** Total number of leatherback, hawksbill, and Kemp's ridley turtles removed each month from the intake canal at the St. Lucie Plant from 1977 through 2021. Monthly totals exclude the partial year 1976 when 26 loggerheads were captured.

## **8.0 Annual Environmental Operating Report**

### **8.1 Introduction**

The St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 Environmental Protection Plans (EPP) require the submittal of an annual report for various activities at the plant site including the reporting on sea turtle monitoring programs, and other matters related to Federal and State environmental permits and certifications.

### **8.2 Sea Turtle Monitoring & Associated Activities**

Surveillance and maintenance of the light screen to minimize sea turtle disorientation as required by Section 4.2.3 of the EPP is ongoing. The vegetation light screen located on the beach dune between the plant and the ocean is routinely surveyed to determine its overall vitality. Evidence of sea turtle disorientation that occurs would also indicate any significant problems. Trees, vegetation or shade cloth are replaced as necessary to maintain the overall integrity of the light screen. Plant parking lot lighting is also designed and maintained to minimize light levels on the beach.

### **8.3 Taprogge Condenser Tube Cleaning System Operation**

A Taprogge condenser tube cleaning system (CTCS) became operational on St. Lucie Unit 2 in January 1996 and on Unit 1 in July 1996. This system utilizes sponge balls, approximately 23 mm in diameter, to clean the condenser tubes through which seawater flows to cool steam after its pass through the plant's turbines. This system improves plant performance while reducing the need for chemical treatments such as biocides or chlorine to control biofouling.

Normally, the St. Lucie CTCS utilizes about 1800 sponge balls, which are continually re-circulated through each of four "water boxes" on each unit. These sponge balls are retained in the system by a ball strainer located on the outlet of each water box. The ball strainers (mesh size 5 mm) are opened routinely to discharge debris, which can decrease flow and obstruct sponge ball movement through the system. The sponge balls are collected prior to opening, or back flushing, the ball strainers. At that time, the sponge balls are examined and replaced if they are worn to the point that they can no longer effectively clean the condenser tubes.

Sponge ball inventories and estimates of sponge ball loss to the environment have been performed since system start-up on both units. Number of ball strainer back flushes has also been tracked. In addition, daily beach surveys have been performed on plant property (approximately 2.5 miles) to note any sponge balls that may occur as a result

of loss from the plant. This survey area has been extended during the turtle nesting season to almost 12 miles.

Ball loss reporting is required in accordance with the St. Lucie site environmental permit, a component of the site license. Best management practices are used to minimize the discharge of CTCS balls to the Atlantic Ocean.

The sponge cleaning balls are made of natural latex which will biodegrade and break down after about two months in a high nutrient seawater environment. Biodegradation can occur while balls are in service and weaken the latex sponge, leading to premature ball fatigue failure from cycle fatigue induced by the CTCS ball circulation impeller. Although blue stripe balls are more resistant to biodegradation compared to orange balls, they are not as effective for tube cleaning during the last two weeks of service. The five-week maximum service interval is adequate to prevent most ball failure events.

Best management practices continue to be applied to minimize CTCS ball loss. The results of the program for 2021 are presented in Table 1.

#### **8.4 Nonroutine Reports**

On November 23, 2021 a deceased juvenile green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) was recovered from the east side of the St. Lucie Plant Intake cooling canal five-inch barrier net. Notification to the NRC occurred via FPL letter L-2021-242

On December 10, 2021 a deceased subadult female loggerhead turtle was recovered from the east side of the St. Lucie Plant Intake cooling canal five-inch barrier net. Notification to the NRC occurred via FPL letter L-2022-006

#### **8.5 Routine Reports**

On April 15, 2021 St. Lucie submitted to the NRC a copy of the 2020 Annual Environmental Operating Report. The report was submitted to the NRC via FPL letter L-2021-082.

On April 16, 2021 St. Lucie submitted to the NRC a copy of the request to renew our Industrial Wastewater Facility Permit (Permit Number FL0002208). The permit renewal request was submitted to the NRC via FPL letter L-2021-073.

## 8.6 Figures & Tables

**Table 1. PSL CTCS Ball Loss 2021 Summary**

	1A1		1A2		1B1		1B2		PSL 1 ALL		COMMENTS
	#B/ W	LOST	#B/ W	LOST	#B/W	LOST	#B/W	LOST	#B/W	LOST	
Jan-21	1	20	0	0	2	0	1	0	4	20	
Feb-21	2	29	1	4	2	3	0	0	5	36	
Mar-21	1	23	1	50	1	0	0	0	3	73	
Apr-21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
May-21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jun-21	2	0	2	45	2	0	2	21	8	66	
Jul-21	2	42	2	31	1	4	2	18	7	95	
Aug-21	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	9	3	13	
Sep-21	2	13	3	12	2	39	2	579	9	643	
Oct-21	2	8	0	0	2	9	2	17	6	34	
Nov-21	2	43	0	0	3	32	3	10	8	85	
Dec-21	0	0	0	0	2	14	0	0	2	14	
Summary	14	178	9	142	18	105	14	654	55	1079	

	2A1		2A2		2B1		2B2		PSL 2 ALL		COMMENTS
	#B/ W	LOST	#B/ W	LOST	#B/W	LOST	#B/W	LOST	#B/W	LOST	
Jan-21	2	0	3	292	0	0	2	4	7	296	
Feb-21	2	50	2	60	0	0	2	158	6	268	
Mar-21	1	805	2	135	1	0	3	11	7	951	
Apr-21	2	5	2	60	4	75	2	2	10	142	
May-21	1	1	1	0	1	30	2	137	5	168	
Jun-21	1	58	1	144	0	0	0	0	2	204	
Jul-21	1	6	1	3	2	62	2	81	6	152	
Aug-21	3	76	2	46	2	56	3	86	10	264	
Sep-21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oct-21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov-21	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	
Dec-21	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	
Summary	13	1001	14	740	10	223	20	483	57	2449	