

From: [Rodriguez, Hector](#)
To: [Smith - NRR, Brian](#); [Dudek, Michael](#); [Vrahoretis, Susan](#); [Ezell, Julie](#); [Figueroa Toledo, Gladys](#); [Solorio, Dave](#); [Roth \(OGC\), David](#); [Lauron, Carolyn](#); [Colaccino, Joseph](#); [Thomson, Bernie](#)
Subject: FW: Action: NuScale DPO submitted response to DPO appeal decision
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 9:31:56 AM
Attachments: [response and request to EDO.docx](#)
[Memo to John Ma, From Dan Dorman, ref DPO-20220-004 Appeal Review Report.pdf](#)

FYI

Hector (Pronouns: [They/He/She](#))

From: Dorman, Dan <Dan.Dorman@nrc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 9:24 AM
To: Veil, Andrea <andrea.veil@nrc.gov>
Cc: King, Mike <Michael.King2@nrc.gov>; Kock, Andrea <Andrea.Kock@nrc.gov>; Taylor, Robert <Robert.Taylor@nrc.gov>; Roberts, Darrell <Darrell.Roberts@nrc.gov>; Rodriguez, Hector <Hector.Rodriguez-Luccioni@nrc.gov>; Nolan, Caty <Catherine.Nolan@nrc.gov>
Subject: Action: NuScale DPO submitted response to DPO appeal decision

Good morning NRR,

On February 14, I received the attached response from the submitter regarding my decision on the DPO-2020-004 appeal, dated February 8, 2022 (also attached). While there is no requirement or guidance under the DPO process regarding correspondences submitted after the DPO appeal decision has been issued, I value all staff's work, thoughts, and concerns, and, for this reason, I asked the appeal review team to review the response to my decision on the DPO-2020-004 appeal. After reviewing the response, discussing it with the appeal review team, and careful consideration, I direct NRR to review the response to the DPO appeal decision and to take the information provided, in its entirety, into consideration when addressing the tasks mandated in the DPO appeal decision.

If you have any questions please let me know.

Dan