















## EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

<b>Chief:</b>	<b>C. Osterholtz</b>	<b>Facility:</b>	<b>Wolf Creek</b>	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	<b>10/27/2021</b>
<b>U/I:</b>	<b>M. Doyle</b>	<b>Start of Op Test:</b>	<b>11/1/2021</b>	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	<b>11/5/2021</b>
<b>Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>		
<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date Complete</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Notes</b>	
2/24/2021	Examination administration date confirmed	02/01/2021	MD	For NRC prepared exams, arrangements made for the facility to submit reference materials	
3/31/2021	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned	02/01/2021	MD		
3/31/2021	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements	02/01/2021	MD		
3/31/2021	Reference material due for NRC prepared exams	N/A	N/A	ES-201 Att-3	
3/31/2021	Corporate Notification Letter sent	02/02/2021	MD	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
4/14/2021	NRC developed written examination outline sent to facility contact	02/25/2021	MD	ES-401-1/2 and ES-401-3	
5/26/2021	Operating test outline(s) and other checklists due	06/03/2021	MD	ES-201-2, 201-3, 301-1, 301-2, 301-5, D-1	
6/9/2021	Operating test outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee	06/24/2021	MD	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
8/11/2021	Proposed exams, outlines, supporting documentation, and reference materials due.	08/16/2021	MD	Exams prepared by the NRC are approved by BC and forwarded for facility licensee review	
8/18/2021	Peer review of written exam complete	09/02/2021	MD	Document review on ES-401-9	
9/8/2021	Written exam and operating test reviews completed	09/02/2021	MD	Document review on ES-401-9	
9/22/2021	Feedback approved by Branch Chief	09/03/2021	MD		
9/22/2021	Examination review results discussed between NRC and facility licensee	09/23/2021	MD		
9/29/2021	Preliminary license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	09/23/2021	MD	NRC Forms 398/396	
9/20/2021	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	09/23/2021	MD		
10/6/2021	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	09/23/2021	MD		
10/13/2021	Final license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	10/15/2021	MD	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
10/20/2021	Written exams and operating tests approved by Branch Chief	10/21/2021	MD		
10/20/2021	Request facility licensee management feedback on the exam	10/21/2021	MD		
10/20/2021	Final applications reviewed and audited; exam approval and waiver/excusal letters sent	10/22/2021	MD	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
10/20/2021	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee	10/25/2021	MD		
10/20/2021	Approved scenarios and JPMs distributed to examiners	10/25/2021	MD		
11/1/2021	Administer Operating Test	11/04/2021	MD		
11/12/2021	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	12/03/2021	MD		
11/25/2021	Post exam comments and graded exams received	11/04/2021	MD	ES-403-1 to BC	
12/3/2021	Post exam written comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed	11/08/2021	MD		
12/3/2021	Post exam operating test comments reviewed and ES-303-1 updated	11/08/2021	MD		
12/10/2021	Chief examiner review of operating test and/or written exam grading completed	12/06/2021	MD	Signed ES 303's to BC	
12/17/2021	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	12/06/2021	MD		
12/24/2021	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	N/A	MD		
12/24/2021	License/Proposed Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	12/07/2021	MD		
12/24/2021	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	12/07/2021	MD	print Report-21	
1/14/2022	Final Denial Letters mailed (if no request for informal review)	N/A	N/A	21 days after proposed denial letters mailed.	
1/21/2022	Examination Report Issued	01/19/2022	MD	produced by CE	
1/28/2022	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A	N/A		
2/25/2022	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	N/A	N/A	SUNSI checklist to LA	
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 11, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1					

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Examination: <b>10/27/2021</b>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		A	b*	c**
W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	AJS	JK	MD
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	AJS	JK	MD
	c. Assess whether the outline overemphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	AJS	JK	MD
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	AJS	JK	MD
S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	JDB	JK	MD
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	JDB	JK	MD
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conforms with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D and in Section D.5, "Specific Instructions for the 'Simulator Operating Test,'" of ES-301 (including overlap).	JDB	JK	MD
W A L K T H R O U G H	a. Verify that the systems walkthrough outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) The outline(s) contains the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form. (2) Task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form. (3) No tasks are duplicated from the applicant's audit test(s). (4) The number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form. (5) The number of alternate-path, low-power, emergency, and radiologically controlled area tasks meets the criteria on the form.	JDB	JK	MD
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) The tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form. (2) At least one task is new or significantly modified. (3) No more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations.	JDB	JK	MD
	c. Determine whether there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	JDB	JK	MD
G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including probabilistic risk assessment and individual plant examination insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	AJS	JK	MD
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41, 55.43, and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	AJS	JK	MD
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	AJS	JK	MD
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections and the last two NRC exams.	AJS	JK	MD
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	AJS	JK	MD
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	AJS	JK	MD
Printed Name/Signature		Date		
a. Author		6/3/2021		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		6/3/2021		
c. NRC's Chief Examiner (#)	Matt Doyle <small>Clyde Osterholtz/</small> 	6/24/2021		
d. NRC Supervisor		6/24/2021		
* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.				
# The independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; the chief examiner's concurrence is required.				

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Exam: <b>27 Oct 2021</b>		Exam Level: <b>RO X SRO X</b>		
Item Description				Initial		
				a	b*	c*#
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			AJS	JK	MD
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. c. Correct answer explanation and distractor analysis provided (ES-401, D.2.g)			AJS	JK	MD
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			AJS	JK	MD
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than four RO or two SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office). <b>[NONE]</b>			AJS	JK	MD
5.	Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate. <input type="checkbox"/> The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or <input type="checkbox"/> The examinations were developed independently, or <input type="checkbox"/> The licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain).			AJS	JK	MD
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75% from the bank, at least 10% new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	N/A	N/A
		<b>9/8</b>	<b>3/0</b>	<b>63/17</b>	AJS	JK
7.	Between 38 and 45 questions of the questions on the RO exam and at least 13 questions of the questions on the SRO-only portion of the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level (see ES-401, D.2.c); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A	N/A	N/A
		<b>37/9</b>		<b>38/16</b>	AJS	JK
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors. <b>[NONE]</b>			AJS	JK	MD
9.	Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.			AJS	JK	MD
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in Appendix B.			AJS	JK	MD
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple-choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.			AJS	JK	MD
Printed Name/Signature				Date		
a.	Author	Andrew J. Servaes 			10/12/2021	
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	James P. Knapp 			10/12/2021	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Matt Doyle  C. Osterholtz 			10/13/2021	
d.	NRC Regional Supervisor	Gerond A. George  Digitally signed by Gerond A. George Date: 2021.10.21 10:11:29 -05'00'				
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.						

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Examination: <b>November 2021</b>		Operating Test Number:	
1. General Criteria			Initials		
			A	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms to the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). <b>[No Changes]</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	JDB	JK	MD	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a.).	JDB	JK	MD	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	JDB	JK	MD	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	JDB	JK	MD	
2. Walkthrough Criteria			--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	JDB	JK	MD	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walkthrough outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and ES-301-2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2. <b>[No Changes]</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
3. Simulator Criteria			--	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4, and a copy is attached.			JDB	JK	MD
Printed Name/Signature			Date		
a.	Author	Joshua D. Bousum 	10/12/2021		
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	James P. Knapp 	10/12/2021		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Matt Doyle  C. Osterholtz 	10/13/2021		
d.	NRC Supervisor	Gerond A. George  Digitally signed by Gerond A. George Date: 2021.10.21 10:25:58 -05'00'			
<p>* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</p> <p># The independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; the chief examiner concurrence is required.</p>					

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Exam: <b>Nov 2021</b>	Scenario Numbers: <b>1/2/3</b>	Operating Test No.:		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials				
		a	b*	c <sup>#</sup>		
1. The initial conditions are realistic in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.		JDB	JK	MD		
2. The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		JDB	JK	MD		
3. Each event description consists of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>		JDB	JK	MD		
4. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		JDB	JK	MD		
5. Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.		JDB	JK	MD		
6. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. <b>[None]</b>		N/A	N/A	N/A		
7. The simulator modeling is not altered.		JDB	JK	MD		
8. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.		JDB	JK	MD		
9. Scenarios are <b>new</b> or significantly modified in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.		JDB	JK	MD		
10. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).		JDB	JK	MD		
11. The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency rating factors as described on Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)		JDB	JK	MD		
12. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).		JDB	JK	MD		
13. Applicants are evaluated on a similar number of preidentified critical tasks across scenarios, when possible.		JDB	JK	MD		
14. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.		JDB	JK	MD		
<b>Target Quantitative Attributes per Scenario (See Section D.5.d)</b>		Actual Attributes				
		--	--	--		
1. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)		<b>2 / 2 / 2</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
2. Abnormal events (2–4)		<b>5 / 4 / 4</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
3. Major transients (1–2)		<b>1 / 1 / 1</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
4. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)		<b>1 / 3 / 2</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
5. Entry into a contingency EOP with substantive actions ( $\geq 1$ per scenario set)		<b>1 / 1 / 0</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
6. Preidentified critical tasks ( $\geq 2$ )		<b>3 / 3 / 2</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
<p>* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</p> <p># An independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.</p>						

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Exam: <b>Nov 2021</b>	Scenario Numbers: <b>1/2/3</b>	Operating Test No.:		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials				
		a	b*	c#		
1. The initial conditions are realistic in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.		JDB	JK	MD		
2. The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		JDB	JK	MD		
3. Each event description consists of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>		JDB	JK	MD		
4. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		JDB	JK	MD		
5. Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.		JDB	JK	MD		
6. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. <b>[None]</b>		N/A	N/A	N/A		
7. The simulator modeling is not altered.		JDB	JK	MD		
8. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.		JDB	JK	MD		
9. Scenarios are <b>new</b> or significantly modified in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.		JDB	JK	MD		
10. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).		JDB	JK	MD		
11. The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency rating factors as described on Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)		JDB	JK	MD		
12. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).		JDB	JK	MD		
13. Applicants are evaluated on a similar number of preidentified critical tasks across scenarios, when possible.		JDB	JK	MD		
14. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.		JDB	JK	MD		
<b>Target Quantitative Attributes per Scenario (See Section D.5.d)</b>		Actual Attributes				
		--	--	--		
1. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)		<b>2 / 2 / 2</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
2. Abnormal events (2–4)		<b>5 / 4 / 4</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
3. Major transients (1–2)		<b>1 / 1 / 1</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
4. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)		<b>1 / 3 / 2</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
5. Entry into a contingency EOP with substantive actions ( $\geq 1$ per scenario set)		<b>1 / 1 / 0</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
6. Preidentified critical tasks ( $\geq 2$ )		<b>3 / 3 / 2</b>	JDB	JK	MD	
<p>* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</p> <p># An independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.</p>						

Facility: <u>Wolf Creek</u>		Date of Exam: <u>10/27/21</u>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Item Description	Initials			
		a	b	c	
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>S</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>NO</u>	
2.	Proposed answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented (facility reviewer initials not required (N/R) if NO post-examination comments are submitted)	<u>N/R</u>	<u>N/R</u>	<u>N/R</u>	
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>S</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>NO</u>	
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80% $\pm$ 2% overall and 70% or 80%, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only exam) reviewed in detail	<u>S</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>NO</u>	
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>N/R</u>	<u>N/R</u>	<u>N/R</u>	
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by one-half or more of the applicants	<u>S</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>NO</u>	
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a.	Grader <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/27/21</u>			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/3/21</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (*) <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/8/21</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor (*) <u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/8/21</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>			Date of Exam: <b>November 2021</b>									Operating Test No.: <b>Crew A</b>						
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)			
		1			2			3			4							
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
															R	I	U	
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U1 X	RX	0													0	1	1	0
	NOR	0													0	1	1	1
	I/C	7											N+4	7	4	4	2	
	MAJ	1											N	1	2	2	1	
	TS	2											N	2	0	2	2	
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U4 X	RX							0							0	1	1	0
	NOR							0							0	1	1	1
	I/C							6					N+3	6	4	4	2	
	MAJ							1					N	1	2	2	1	
	TS							2					N	2	0	2	2	
RO1 X SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		0						0						0	1	1	0
	NOR		0						0						0	1	1	1
	I/C		7						4				N+5	11	4	4	2	
	MAJ		1						1				N	2	2	2	1	
	TS		0						0					0	0	2	2	
R02 X SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX			0					0						0	1	1	0
	NOR			0					0						0	1	1	1
	I/C			5					3				N+2	8	4	4	2	
	MAJ			1					1				N	2	2	2	1	
	TS			0					0					0	0	2	2	

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the at-the-controls (ATC) and balance-of-plant (BOP) positions. Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional I/C malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For new reactor facility licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.



Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>			Date of Exam: <b>November 2021</b>									Operating Test No.: <b>Crew B</b>						
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios																
		1			2			3			4			T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)			
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U2 X	RX	0						0							0	1	1	0
	NOR	0						0							0	1	1	1
	I/C	7						6					N+10	13	4	4	2	
	MAJ	1						1					N+1	2	2	2	1	
	TS	2						2					N+2	4	0	2	2	
RO3 X SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		0							0				0	1	1	0	
	NOR		0							0				0	1	1	1	
	I/C		7							4			N+5	11	4	4	2	
	MAJ		1							1			N	2	2	2	1	
	TS		0							0				0	0	2	2	
RO4 X SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX			0					0					0	1	1	0	
	NOR			0					0					0	1	1	1	
	I/C			5					3				N+2	8	4	4	2	
	MAJ			1					1				N	2	2	2	1	
	TS			0					0					0	0	2	2	
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX														1	1	0	
	NOR														1	1	1	
	I/C														4	4	2	
	MAJ														2	2	1	
	TS														0	2	2	

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the at-the-controls (ATC) and balance-of-plant (BOP) positions. Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional I/C malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For new reactor facility licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>			Date of Exam: <b>November 2021</b>			Operating Test No.: <b>Crew C</b>											
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		1			2			3			4						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
															R	I	U
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U3 X	RX	0								0				0	1	1	0
	NOR	0								0				0	1	1	1
	I/C	7								4			N+8	11	4	4	2
	MAJ	1								1			N+1	2	2	2	1
	TS	2								0			N	2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I1 X SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		0					0						0	1	1	0
	NOR		0					0						0	1	1	1
	I/C		7					6					N+7	13	4	4	2
	MAJ		1					1					N	2	2	2	1
	TS		0					2					N	2	0	2	2
RO5 X SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX			0					0					0	1	1	0
	NOR			0					0					0	1	1	1
	I/C			5					3				N+2	8	4	4	2
	MAJ			1					1				N	2	2	2	1
	TS			0					0					0	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX														1	1	0
	NOR														1	1	1
	I/C														4	4	2
	MAJ														2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the at-the-controls (ATC) and balance-of-plant (BOP) positions. Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional I/C malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For new reactor facility licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>				Date of Exam: <b>November 2021</b>				Operating Test No.: <b>Spare</b>									
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios															
		1			2			3			4			T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		CREW P O S I T I O N			CREW P O S I T I O N			CREW P O S I T I O N			CREW P O S I T I O N						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RX				0									0	1	1	0
	NOR				0									0	1	1	1
	I/C				6									6	4	4	2
	MAJ				1									1	2	2	1
	TS				2									2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX				0									0	1	1	0
	NOR				0									0	1	1	1
	I/C				6									6	4	4	2
	MAJ				1									1	2	2	1
	TS				2									2	0	2	2
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX					0								0	1	1	0
	NOR					0								0	1	1	1
	I/C					5								5	4	4	2
	MAJ					1								1	2	2	1
	TS					0								0	0	2	2
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX						0							0	1	1	0
	NOR						0							0	1	1	1
	I/C						5							5	4	4	2
	MAJ						1							1	2	2	1
	TS						0							0	0	2	2

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the at-the-controls (ATC) and balance-of-plant (BOP) positions. Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional I/C malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For new reactor facility licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Examination: <b>November 2021</b> Operating Test No.: <b>Crew A</b>															
Competencies	APPLICANTS																
	RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SRO-U1</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SRO-U4</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<b>RO1</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>RO2</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
	1-8						1-7		1-8		1-2 4-6		2,4-8		3-5 7		
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1-8						1-7		1-8		1-2 4-6		2,4-8		3-5 7		
Comply with and Use Procedures (1)	1-8						1-7		1-8		1-2 4-6		2,4-8		3-5 7		
Operate Control Boards (2)	CRS						CRS		1-8		1-2 4-6		2, 4-8		3-5 7		
Communicate and Interact	1-8						1-7		1-8		1-7		1-8		1-7		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-8						1-7		ATC		BOP		BOP		ATC		
Comply with and Use TS (3)	2, 3						1,4		ATC		BOP		BOP		ATC		
Notes: (1) Includes TS compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																	

**Instructions:**

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant. (This includes all rating factors for each competency.) (Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3 describe the competency rating factors)

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Examination: <b>November 2021</b> Operating Test No.: <b>Crew B</b>															
Competencies	APPLICANTS																
	RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SRO-U2</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<b>RO3</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>RO4</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1-8		1-7		1-8		1-2 4-6		2, 4- 8		3-5 7						
Comply with and Use Procedures (1)	1-8		1-7		1-8		1-2 4-6		2, 4- 8		3-5 7						
Operate Control Boards (2)	CRS		CRS		1-8		1-2 4-6		2, 4- 8		3-5 7						
Communicate and Interact	1-8		1-7		1-8		1-7		1-8		1-7						
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-8		1-7		ATC		BOP		BOP		ATC						
Comply with and Use TS (3)	2,3		1,4		ATC		BOP		BOP		ATC						
Notes: (1) Includes TS compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																	

**Instructions:**

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant. (This includes all rating factors for each competency.) (Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3 describe the competency rating factors)

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Examination: <b>November 2021</b> Operating Test No.: <b>Crew C</b>															
Competencies	APPLICANTS																
	RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SRO-U3</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SRO-I1</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>RO5</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1-8		1-2 4-6		1-8		1-7		2, 4-8		3-5 7						
Comply with and Use Procedures (1)	1-8		1-2 4-6		1-8		1-7		2, 4-8		3-5 7						
Operate Control Boards (2)	CRS		1-2 4-6		1-8		CRS		2, 4-8		3-5 7						
Communicate and Interact	1-8		1-7		1-8		1-7		1-8		1-7						
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-8		BOP		ATC		1-7		BOP		ATC						
Comply with and Use TS (3)	2,3		BOP		ATC		1,4		BOP		ATC						
Notes: (1) Includes TS compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																	

**Instructions:**

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant. (This includes all rating factors for each competency.) (Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3 describe the competency rating factors)

Facility: <b>Wolf Creek</b>		Date of Examination: <b>November 2021</b> Operating Test No.: <b>Spare</b>															
Competencies	APPLICANTS																
	RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SRO-U</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> <b>SRO-I</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>RO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>RO</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions		1-7				1-7				1-6				1,2 4-7			
Comply with and Use Procedures (1)		1-7				1-7				1-6				1,2 4-7			
Operate Control Boards (2)		CRS				CRS				1-6				1,2 4-7			
Communicate and Interact		1-7				1-7				1-7				1-7			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)		1-7				1-7				ATC				BOP			
Comply with and Use TS (3)		2,3				2,3				ATC				BOP			
Notes: (1) Includes TS compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																	

**Instructions:**

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant. (This includes all rating factors for each competency.) (Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3 describe the competency rating factors)

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2021 to 09/30/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Exam Week	Site / Docket # / Insp Rpt #	# Candidates				Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
		RO	LSRO	SROI	SROU				
09/19/2021	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / 2021301 CAC #: 000956					FB-OR- ONSITE VALIDATI ON OF INITIAL LICENSE EXAMIN ATION (OV)		Osterholtz, Clyde	Doyle,Matthew Farina,Thomas Kirkland,John Osterholtz,Clyde
10/31/2021	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / 2021301 CAC #: 000500	5	0	1	4	FB-OR- INITIAL LICENSE EXAM ADMINIS TRATION (EXAD)	NFF	Osterholtz, Clyde	Doyle,Matthew Farina,Thomas Kirkland,John Osterholtz,Clyde

Sites: WC

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

12/6/2021 10:13:37 AM



# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2021 to 09/30/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Date	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
10/2021	5	1	4	0	10

Sites: WC

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

12/6/2021 10:13:37 AM

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2021 to 09/30/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Site	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
Wolf Creek	5	1	4	0	10

Sites: WC

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

12/6/2021 10:13:37 AM

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 09/01/2021 to 09/30/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Region	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
Region 4	5	1	4	0	10

Sites: WC

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL
























Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/27/21 - 11/5/21 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) 10/27/21 - 11/5/21. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. *The Event documented on CR10007882 was previously discussed with NRC Chief Examiner.*

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Andrew Servaes	Exam Author		2/25/21		11/4/21	
2. James Knapp	Facility Representative		2/25/21		11/4/21	
3. John Rodgers	Industry Peer		3/3/21		11/4/21	
4. Joshua Bousum	Exam Author		4/26/21		11/4/21	
5. <del>John Vannacker</del>	<del>Admin Assistant</del>		5/13/21		11-4-21	
6. Jacob Starr	Sim Specialist Lead		5/14/21		N/A/21	
7. <del>Troy Lutz</del>	<del>Instructor</del>		5-11-21		11/4/21	1250
8. <del>Bob Wolfe</del>	<del>Exam Specialist</del>		5-11-21		11/4/21	
9. <del>William Gern</del>	<del>Exam Supervisor</del>		5-18-21		11/4/21	
10. <del>Bob Vexel</del>	<del>Facility Reviewer</del>		6-1-21		11/4/21	
11. <del>Tavis Tillman</del>	<del>Validator</del>		6/7/21		11/4/21	1118
12. <del>Michael Mink</del>	<del>Validator</del>		6/7/21		11/4/21	
13. <del>Ethan Graham</del>	<del>Validator</del>		7-1-21		11/8/21	2036
14. <del>David Christian</del>	<del>Validator</del>		7-7-21		11/4/21	1000
15. <del>Gloria Jeremiah</del>	<del>Validator</del>		7/15/21		11/8/21	1940

NOTES: \* Confirmed Electronically

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/27/21 - 11/5/21 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) 10/27/21 - 11/5/21. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. *The event documented on 10/27/21 was previously discussed with NRC Chief Examiner.*

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>MARCUS STICE</u>	<u>VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>7/21/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	
2. <u>Lucas Allen</u>	<u>VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>7/28/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/18/21</u>	<u>1108</u>
3. <u>Michael J Payne</u>	<u>VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/4/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/18/21</u>	<u>1152</u>
4. <u>Chad Woods</u>	<u>Simulator scheduling for</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/5/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/18/21</u>	
5. <u>Tanner Leake</u>	<u>VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/5/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/18/21</u>	
6. <u>KELVIN CHEISTESEN</u>	<u>VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-10-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/18/21</u>	<u>2253</u>
7. <u>Danell Floyd</u>	<u>Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-10-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/18/21</u>	<u>2303</u>
8. <u>Tim Duntap</u>	<u>Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/10/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	
9. <u>Robert Abel</u>	<u>Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/28/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	<u>1143</u>
10. <u>BESS Allen</u>	<u>Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-28-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	<u>1933</u>
11. <u>Jason Cameron</u>	<u>Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-28-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	<u>1007</u>
12. <u>ORIS GARGES</u>	<u>VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9-28-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	<u>1042</u>
13. <u>DUSTIN HAMMAN</u>	<u>SM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	<u>1933</u>
14. <u>Shelley Duffy</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/27/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	
15. <u>Tony Farrow</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/27/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/17/21</u>	

NOTES:

\* Confirmed Electronically



## 1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/27/21 - 11/5/21 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

## 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) 10/27/21 - 11/5/21. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. The event documented on 10/27/21 was previously discussed with NRC chief examiner.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>Clayton Bulker</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/27/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
2. <u>Brendon Palmer</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-27-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
3. <u>Robert Palmer</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-27-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
4. <u>John Hanson</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-27-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
5. <u>Clint Guchard</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-27-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
6. <u>Brad Dunlap</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/1/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
7. <u>Ed Linzer</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/1/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
8. <u>Math Hendershot</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/1/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
9. <u>Daniel Bowers</u>	<u>Dir Training</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/2/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/21</u>	
10.						
11.						
12.		<u>N/A</u>		<u>N/A</u>		
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: