

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

SUNI Review Complete  
Template=ADM-013  
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark,  
Stacey Imboden, Mary  
Neely  
Comment (44)  
Publication Date:  
11/9/2021  
Citation: 86 FR 62220

**As of:** 1/4/22 4:22 PM  
**Received:** January 03, 2022  
**Status:** Pending Post  
**Tracking No.** kxz-b7lk-tbj3  
**Comments Due:** January 03, 2022  
**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

**Comment On:** NRC-2020-0277-0194

NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

**Document:** NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0240

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-24407

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Wendy Fast

**Address:**

Dansville, NY, 14437

**Email:** ttouch22@me.com

**Phone:** 5859199127

---

## General Comment

A true review of the facts should surely use the most up-to-date data available, not decades old data on climate change.

It seems totally irresponsible to ignore the fact that nuclear pollution persists for centuries, and Millenia. It is totally irresponsible to dismiss toxic problems for future generations to solve.

Why we we not consider environmentally sound solutions such as wind power and solar power?

Nuclear technology is among the most expensive power sources, even before considering the astronomical expense involved in the cost of nuclear accidents.

The probability of nuclear accidents greatly increase with the age of the reactor. These risks need to be factored in to any projections. The only power sources considered are large, or small nuclear projects. This is an error, when there are much safer sources available. It's also anti-environmental, since you are not considering environmentally friendly power sources.