

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

SUNI Review
Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark,
Stacey Imboden, Mary
Neely
Comment (33)
Publication Date:
11/9/2021
Citation: 86 FR 62220

As of: 1/4/22 3:28 PM
Received: January 01, 2022
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. kxw-65or-q32u
Comments Due: January 03, 2022
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0194

NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0229

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-24407

Submitter Information

Name: Virginia Davis

Address:

Woodinville, WA, 98072

Email: ginny1218@yahoo.com

General Comment

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Point Beach Nuclear Reactors (PBNP) and the draft generic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

The section on "Alternatives Actions" to be considered is completely inadequate for two reasons: if the license at PBNP is not renewed, the alternatives considered do not include the use of wind power, either onshore or offshore, or energy conservation.

* Two of the three proposed alternatives require implementation of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs), reactors which do not exist and are not currently available.

* In fact, SMRs do not exist as a viable source of electricity, as they require decades of research and development to find out if the new designs will even work and then even more time to scale up mass production of SMRs. Safety concerns of SMRs would also need to be considered.

* There must be at least one Alternative Action plan based on 100% renewable energy sources. As with climate change science and knowledge, many developments in solar, wind, storage and energy conservation have taken place and proven themselves in recent years; this knowledge is available and must be considered as reasonable replacement alternatives.