

From: (b) (6)
To: [FOIA Resource](#)
Cc: [Chairman Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Fwd: How I should formally proceed?
Date: Sunday, December 12, 2021 9:45:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Embedded274389793b45448bb00a6ba6551bb66f.png](#)

It appears that NRC will not provide the single page item 4a.

I am now expanding this FOIA to include items 4b and 4c.

4. Lanning went on to say that his only regret on this issue is that NSAC didn't call him when it found fault with AEOD's February 28, 1984 evaluation of upper head injection instead of writing a critical memorandum that was eventually leaked. In response to my questions Lanning outlined the following sequence of events:

- a. On November 17, 1984, an anonymous individual visited Keppler, Director of Region III, and gave him a memorandum dated October 3, 1984, the subject of which was "UHI-Ultra High Risk." The addressee, author, and copy lines had been obscured but Lanning understood from undisclosed "sources" that a Mr. Leyse of NSAC was the author.
- b. In a memo dated November 28, 1984, and received by AEOD on December 3, 1984, Keppler requested a response to the anonymous memo.
- c. Lanning has been "running around" for the last two weeks putting together a joint AEOD/NRR response to Keppler to demonstrate the basis for continued operation of plants with upper head injection.

Robert Leyse

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)
To: FOIA.Resource@nrc.gov
Sent: 11/29/2021 8:41:37 AM Mountain Standard Time
Subject: Re: How I should formally proceed?

OK, whatever works. I have an acute memory and I demand that one page document. I do not want to wait a long time. Tina, I recall you, but even with my acute memory I do not recall those details. Get me that one page fast, please.

Robert Leyse

In a message dated 11/29/2021 5:35:12 AM Mountain Standard Time,
FOIA.Resource@nrc.gov writes:

Good morning Mr. Leyse,

Your email was forwarded to the FOIA office. Would you like to request this document under FOIA?

Thank you,

Tina Ennis

FOIA Analyst (Pathfinder Consultants Contractor)

OCIO/GEMS/FLIC Branch

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Location: T-6A00 | Mailstop: T-6A60M

301-415-5616  Tina.Ennis@nrc.gov

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 9:27 PM

To: OPA Resource <OPA.Resource@nrc.gov>

Subject: [External_Sender] How I should formally proceed?

The following is copied from the attachment. I was looking for work and NRC paid for my trip to be interviewed by

Keppler. I want to know how I should formally proceed in demanding the document that is described in 4.a.

4. Lanning went on to say that his only regret on this issue is that NSAC didn't call him when it found fault with AEOD's February 28, 1984 evaluation of upper head injection instead of writing a critical memorandum that was eventually leaked. In response to my questions Lanning outlined the following sequence of events:

- a. On November 17, 1984, an anonymous individual visited Keppler, Director of Region III, and gave him a memorandum dated October 3, 1984, the subject of which was "UHI-Ultra High Risk." The addressee, author, and copy lines had been obscured but Lanning understood from undisclosed "sources" that a Mr. Leyse of NSAC was the author.
- b. In a memo dated November 28, 1984, and received by AEOD on December 3, 1984, Keppler requested a response to the anonymous memo.
- c. Lanning has been "running around" for the last two weeks putting together a joint AEOD/NRR response to Keppler to demonstrate the basis for continued operation of plants with upper head injection.

Robert Leyse

(b) (6)