

**From:** [Hood, Tanya](#)  
**To:** [Ernie.Kapopoulos@duke-energy.com](mailto:Ernie.Kapopoulos@duke-energy.com)  
**Cc:** [Duc, Joshua Brian](#); [Grzeck, Lee](#)  
**Subject:** ACCEPTANCE REVIEW: H.B. Robinson - Relief Request for the Third 10-Year Inservice Inspection Interval (EPID: L-2021-LLR-0064)  
**Date:** Wednesday, October 13, 2021 1:21:00 PM

---

**SUBJECT:** H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT - ACCEPTANCE OF RELIEF REQUEST FOR THE THIRD 10-YEAR INSERVICE INSPECTION INTERVAL (EPID: L-2021-LLR-0064)

By letter dated September 7, 2021 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML21250A398), Duke Energy Progress, LLC (Duke Energy, the licensee), submitted a relief request for the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2. The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this relief request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Pursuant to Sections 50.55a(z)(1) and 50.55a(z)(2) of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), the applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed alternatives would provide an acceptable level of quality and safety, or that compliance with the specified requirements of Section 50.55a would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality or safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed your submittal and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed alternative request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal, the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 190 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review in approximately 12 months, which is by September 2022. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager. These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they could change, due to several factors including requests for additional information, and unanticipated addition of scope to the review. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1387.

Tanya E. Hood  
Project Manager  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738  
301-415-1387  
[Tanya.Hood@nrc.gov](mailto:Tanya.Hood@nrc.gov)