

SUNI Review Complete  
Template=ADM-013  
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Richard Guzman,  
Mary Neely  
Comment (14) Doc. 0002  
Publication Date:  
7/15/2021  
Citation: 86 FR 37346

**As of:** 10/1/21 3:39 PM  
**Received:** September 22, 2021  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** ktv-jjw1-hfk3  
**Comments Due:** October 22, 2021  
**Submission Type:** Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2021-0125

Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3 Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report

**Comment On:** NRC-2021-0125-0002

Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC; Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3; Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report

**Document:** NRC-2021-0125-DRAFT-0016

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-15068

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Marilyn Mitchell

**Address:**

PAWLING, NY, 12564

**Email:** marilyn.marilynmitchell@gmail.com

**Phone:** 9145399325

---

## General Comment

It's imperative that there is oversight to the process of decommissioning the Indian Point power plant. There needs to be accurate offsite radiation monitoring during the entire transportation journey of the waste to New Mexico. The waste will be travelling through many highly populated areas putting millions of people at risk. Nuclear waste remains a danger well beyond the average person's lifespan so monitoring of radiation must also be planned to continue for generations. Any decommissioning plan must also include the safest possible long term solution to storage of nuclear waste and it appears the plan is to use interim storage. This entails moving the nuclear waste twice and all plans must include radiation monitoring during the second move to permanent storage.