

Smith, James

From: Hauer, Lance M (GE Corporate) <lance.hauer@ge.com>
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2021 12:39 PM
To: Smith, James
Cc: Mandeville, Douglas
Subject: [External_Sender] [External_Sender] UNC Church Rock - Responses to DOE Comments and UNC Comments on SER
Attachments: 2021 10 01 UNC RTC attachment Part I.pdf

Jim,

Attached is Part I of III of the attachment. Parts II and III will be sent in separate emails.

From: Smith, James <James.Smith@nrc.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2021 10:46 AM
To: Hauer, Lance M (GE Corporate) <lance.hauer@ge.com>
Cc: Davis, Melanie <melanie.davis@stantec.com>; Mandeville, Douglas <Douglas.Mandeville@nrc.gov>
Subject: EXT: RE: RE: [External_Sender] UNC Church Rock - Responses to DOE Comments and UNC Comments on SER

I'd prefer you break it up. My knowledge of the policy du jour of the NRC, but in the past the NRC frowned on us tapping into share sites.

From: Hauer, Lance M (GE Corporate) <lance.hauer@ge.com>
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2021 10:03 AM
To: Smith, James <James.Smith@nrc.gov>
Cc: Davis, Melanie <melanie.davis@stantec.com>; Mandeville, Douglas <Douglas.Mandeville@nrc.gov>
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: [External_Sender] UNC Church Rock - Responses to DOE Comments and UNC Comments on SER

Thanks for confirming. I received a message that the follow up emails providing the attachment in two parts were not received (the files are 14 and 13 mB). I can either break it into 3 parts (each < 10 mB) or post a complete copy of the letter and attachment to a share site where you can download it. Please let me know which approach you prefer.

Lance

From: Smith, James <James.Smith@nrc.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2021 9:55 AM
To: Hauer, Lance M (GE Corporate) <lance.hauer@ge.com>
Cc: Davis, Melanie <melanie.davis@stantec.com>; Mandeville, Douglas <Douglas.Mandeville@nrc.gov>
Subject: EXT: RE: [External_Sender] UNC Church Rock - Responses to DOE Comments and UNC Comments on SER

Hey Lance-

I received the email, with the 2021 10 01 UNC RTC wp Attachment.pdf attached. I'll wait until I receive all of it and put them into ADAMS as a package.

Thanks

Jim

From: Hauer, Lance M (GE Corporate) <lance.hauer@ge.com>
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2021 9:46 AM
To: Smith, James <James.Smith@nrc.gov>
Cc: Davis, Melanie <melanie.davis@stantec.com>
Subject: [External_Sender] UNC Church Rock - Responses to DOE Comments and UNC Comments on SER

Hello Jim,

As discussed, UNC is providing the attached response to comments on the NRC's Safety Evaluation Report (SER) received from the US Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management (DOE) in a letter dated July 21, 2021, as well as UNC's additional comments proposing minor corrections to the SER for NRC's consideration.

The attached version does not include the attachment to response to DOE Comment 2 (MWH Pre-Design Study – Appendix B1.2) due to the file size. I will send this to you in two separate emails.

Please response to confirm receipt of this email and document.

Best regards,
Lance

Lance Hauer, P.E.
Legacy Site Team Leader – Environmental Remediation
Global Operations, Environment, Health & Safety
GE

T 484 213 0300
E Lance.Hauer@ge.com
www.ge.com

General Electric Company
c/o Angelica Todd
1 River Road
Bldg. 5, 7th Floor West
Schenectady, NY 12345-6000