

Turkey Point Unit 3 and Unit 4

Reactor Protection System Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Nuclear Instrumentation System Replacements

Safety System Replacement Project LAR NRC 6th Pre-submittal Meeting Telecon

July 22, 2021

OPEN MEETING



Agenda – Open and Closed Sessions

Open Session

- FPL License Amendment Request (LAR) Submittals
- Vendor Oversight Plan Update and Detail Discussion
- Next Pre-submittal Meeting Agenda Items

Closed Session

- Diverse Actuation System (DAS) Architecture and Reliability
- Leak-Before-Break Discussion on D3
- Modification Code Year Discussion for LAR



Introductions – Project Team

FPL Nuclear Licensing

- Steve Catron Licensing Fleet Manager
- Jarrett Mack Fleet Licensing Lead
- David Stoia Turkey Point Site Licensing Lead
- Bill Maher Improved Technical Specification Project Director

FPL Nuclear Engineering

- Brian Dunn Fleet Design Director
- Phil Barnes Design Engineering Manager
- Wes Frewin Fleet Digital Design Supervisor
- Warren Busch Project Engineer
- Phillip Tiemann Fuels / Safety Analysis

Framatome

- Ron Legrand Project Manager
- Brian Haynes Licensing Lead
- Taha Abdelnaeem Engineering Lead
- Ted Quinn Licensing Engineer
- Jerry Mauck Licensing Engineer
- Ron Jarrett Licensing Engineer
- Bill Hannaman Licensing Engineer
- Michael Howard Safety Analysis
- Tom Geary Design Engineering

Sargent & Lundy -Third Party Review

Pareez Golub



FPL LAR Submittals

Follow-up to remarks on resource and schedule challenges

 3 submittals under NRC review concurrently for PTN; two apply to PTN for ITS and RPS/ESFAS System Replacements

Improved Standard Technical Specification (ITS)

- Changes within scope of NUREG 1431, Rev. 5
- Regular communications with NRC TS Branch on scope control

RPS/ESFAS System Replacements

- LAR approval Fall 2022 provides confidence in planning for PTN Unit 3 Spring 2023 outage. FPL supports:
 - -- Minimize applications that are first time NRC reviews
 - -- Frequent interaction before submittal and during reviews
 - -- Quick turnaround on requests for information
 - -- Open to discuss other time-savings measures



Vendor Oversight Plan Status Update

- Plan issued, currently Revision 0, LAR summary in draft
- Oversight of Framatome issued documents in progress
 - Documented in comment resolution forms
 - Traceable transmittals between Framatome and FPL
 - Review task reconciling with production schedule activities
- Audits and Inspections performed
 - Performed at Lynchburg and Mansfield facilities
 - Quality Assurance initial program audits
 - Cyber Security inspection of Secure Development Environment
 - Documented in Quality Assurance Reports



Vendor Oversight Plan and Summary Level of Detail

Document Type	Intended Process	Level of detail intended to support
LAR Summary	Docketed, revised only upon deviation	 Basis for safety evaluation determinations Threshold for NRC notification of changes
Vendor Oversight Plan (VOP)	Available, updated during project	 Basis for VOP LAR summary Planning of oversight activities, schedule Identifiers Document performed activities, roadmap
Implementation	Inspection, FPL maintained documents	 Specific document number and revision Specific reviewers Comments and resolutions/acceptance Corrective Action Program (not affecting LAR summary)



Vendor Oversight Plan Summary Detail (1 of 2)

Criteria/Content	Evaluated for LAR Change (examples)	Not impacting LAR
		summary
Organization	 Changes of oversight responsibility to 	 Changes to personnel
Procedure	different organization	in defined roles
structure	 Oversight required outside the 	Delegation of activities
	procedure structure	
Scope of	 Document or task of a type listed in the 	Adding documents or
Activities	summary is removed from scope of	tasks of a type listed
	review	 Document revisions
	 Addition of a significant document or 	Changes to schedule
	task of a type not in summary	Combination of
	 Changes to the LAR that warrant 	documents into fewer
	update of VOP Summary	
Methods	 Use of other than QA audits, comment 	Transmittal of oversight
	resolution forms, reports with FPL	related information or
	approval, or the corrective action	results to vendor
	program to document oversight	Procedure revisions
	Change to a procedure that effects	that do not affect scope
	responsibility or relaxes acceptance	or acceptance criteria
	criteria for an oversight task	



Vendor Oversight Plan Summary Detail (2 of 2)

Criteria/Content	Evaluated for LAR Change	Not impacting LAR
	(examples)	summary
Acceptance criteria	 If oversight activities cannot be performed to completion or comprehensive of scope for software development activities If vendor conformance to LAR stated implementation cannot be achieved. 	 Justified changes to code and standards applicable to a document Changes to document specific acceptance criteria
Corrective Action	Corrective Action Program used to determine what actions necessary.	 CR for defect in a document found after document issued final CR to document the performance/results of an oversight activity

end of topic



Future Pre-submittal Meeting Recommended Agenda Items

- Open items
- Equipment replacement scope outside Tricon SER
- Command Prioritization
- Commercial grade dedication/qualification status
- Human Factors Engineering and simulator schedule
- Implementation Items
- Attachments to the LAR



Thank you!

Questions/Comments?

