

Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

☒ President of the Senate ☐ Speaker of the House of Representatives ☐ GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency

2. Subdivision or Office

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NMSS

3. Rule Title

Extending the Duration of the AP1000 Design Certification

4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if applicable) 3150-AK56

5. Major Rule ☐ Non-major Rule ☒

6. Final Rule ☐ Other ☒ Direct Final Rule

7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comments? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)

☒ Economically Significant; or
Significant; or
Substantive, Nonsignificant

☐ Routine and Frequent or
Informational/Administrative/Other
(Do not complete the other side
of this form if filled in above.)

9. Effective Date (if applicable) 75 days after publication

10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided? Yes ☒ No ☐

Submitted by: Eugene Dacus (signature)

Name: Eugene Dacus

Title: Director

Office of Congressional Affairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received: _____

Committee of Jurisdiction: _____



41217



	Yes	No	N/A
A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
● E.O. 13132, Federalism	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify)			
<div></div>			



Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

☐ President of the Senate ☒ Speaker of the House of Representatives ☐ GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency

2. Subdivision or Office

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NMSS

3. Rule Title

Extending the Duration of the AP1000 Design Certification

4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if applicable) 3150-AK56

5. Major Rule ☐ Non-major Rule ☒

6. Final Rule ☐ Other ☒ Direct Final Rule

7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comments? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)

☒ Economically Significant; or
Significant; or
Substantive, Nonsignificant

☐ Routine and Frequent or
Informational/Administrative/Other
(Do not complete the other side
of this form if filled in above.)

9. Effective Date (if applicable) 75 days after publication

10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided? Yes ☒ No ☐

Submitted by: Eugene Dacus 9/23/21 (signature)

Name: Eugene Dacus

Title: Director

Office of Congressional Affairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received: _____

Committee of Jurisdiction: _____



41217



Yes No N/A

- A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
- B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency
1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
- C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
- D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
- E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
- F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?
- E.O. 13132, Federalism ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
 - E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
 - E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
 - E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
 - E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
 - Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify)





41217



Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

☐ President of the Senate ☐ Speaker of the House of Representatives ☒ GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency

2. Subdivision or Office

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NMSS

3. Rule Title

Extending the Duration of the AP1000 Design Certification

4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if applicable) 3150-AK56

5. Major Rule ☐ Non-major Rule ☒

6. Final Rule ☐ Other ☒ Direct Final Rule

7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comments? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)

☒ Economically Significant; or
Significant; or
Substantive, Nonsignificant

☐ Routine and Frequent or
Informational/Administrative/Other
*(Do not complete the other side
of this form if filled in above.)*

9. Effective Date (if applicable) 75 days after publication

10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided? Yes ☒ No ☐

Submitted by: David L. Decker Digitally signed by David L. Decker
Date: 2021.09.20 11:20:23 -04'00' (signature)

Name: Eugene Dacus

Title: Director

Office of Congressional Affairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received: _____

Committee of Jurisdiction: _____



41217



	Yes	No	N/A
A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
● E.O. 13132, Federalism	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
● Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify)			
<div></div>			

