

**From:** [Ben Rall](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 11:13:56 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Ben Rall

Spokane, WA 99205

**From:** [Carl Tyndall](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 11:04:03 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Carl Tyndall

251 E 28th St  
Brooklyn, NY 11226

**From:** [Ed Atkins](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 10:13:05 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Ed Atkins

1820 Panther Ridge Rd  
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

**From:** [Christopher Lish](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 10:12:37 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Lish

San Rafael, CA



**From:** [James Mulder](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 10:00:21 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
James Mulder

28 Sachson Pl  
Wappingers Falls, NY 12590

**From:** [Melba Dlugonski](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 9:55:25 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Melba Dlugonski

6735 SE 78th Ave  
Portland, OR 97206

**From:** [Timothy Alstrum](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 9:51:22 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Alstrum

34 Jefferson Ln  
East Hartford, CT 06118

**From:** [Bronwen Evans](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 9:39:04 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Bronwen Evans

219 James Street  
Seattle, WA 98101



**From:** [Melvin Bautista](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 9:31:55 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Melvin Bautista

PO Box 1038  
Saint Michaels, AZ 86511

**From:** [Michael Sarabia](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 9:23:50 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Michael Sarabia

407 W Longview Ave  
Stockton, CA 95207

**From:** [Dianora Niccolini](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 8:57:06 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Dianora Niccolini

435 E 79th St Apt 2M  
New York, NY 10075

**From:** [Bo Svensson](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 8:48:03 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Bo Svensson

63 Westgate Circle  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401



**From:** [Dona LaSchiava](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 7:43:06 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Dona LaSchiava

556 W. Paseo Solana  
Green Valley, AZ 85614

**From:** [Michael Kast](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 7:27:39 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Michael Kast

9158 Van Nuys Blvd. #4  
Panorama City, CA 91402

**From:** [Laura M. Ohanian](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 7:23:16 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Laura M. Ohanian

Eugene, OR 97440

**From:** [Rick St John](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 6:39:55 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Rick St John

1 Daniel Burnham Ct  
San Francisco, CA 94109



**From:** [Randy Harrison](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 6:29:35 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Randy Harrison

4051 Wagner St  
Eugene, OR 97402

**From:** [Debra Oliver](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 6:16:38 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Debra Oliver

814 Camino de Monte Rey Apt 322  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

**From:** [Jennifer Smith](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 6:09:12 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Smith

17234 Vanowen Street  
Lake Balboa, CA 91406

**From:** [Julie Squire](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 6:01:11 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Julie Squire

6605 Claremont Ave  
Raytown, MO 64133



**From:** [Phyllis Arist](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:57:23 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Phyllis Arist

945 Ridge Ave.  
Evanston, IL, IL 60202

**From:** [Joann Koch](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:54:15 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Joann Koch

134 Olenick Rd  
Lebanon, CT 06249

**From:** [Martin Melkonian](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:46:43 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Martin Melkonian

763 Goodrich St.  
Uniondale, NY 11553

**From:** [Alyse Rome](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:37:37 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Alyse Rome

Mill Creek, WA



**From:** [Cheryl Fergeson](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:34:53 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Fergeson

3330 S Midland Dr  
West Haven, UT 84401

**From:** [Mary Able](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:25:31 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Mary Able

535 -000 Little Valley Rd  
McArthur, CA 96056

**From:** [carl burak](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:19:05 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
carl burak

109 breeze ave., apt. 15  
Venice, CA 90291

**From:** [Lee Winslow](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:07:33 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Lee Winslow

210 Kiwanis Dr Apt B2  
Mason, MI 48854



**From:** [Debbie Slack](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:05:27 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Debbie Slack

418 Jefferson Dr  
Lynchburg, VA 24502

**From:** [Kathryn Lemoine](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:04:19 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Lemoine

106 Parkwest Dr Apt 3C  
West Monroe, LA 71291

**From:** [Keith Kaback](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:59:04 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Keith Kaback

13321 E Scout Rest Rd  
Tucson, AZ 85749

**From:** [Paige Harrison RN BSN OCN](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:53:46 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Paige Harrison RN BSN OCN

215 W 90th St  
New York, NY 10024



**From:** [Bryna Pizzo](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:49:32 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Bryna Pizzo

4414 Gemini Dr  
Saint Louis, MO 63128

**From:** [Susan Kelech](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:45:28 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Susan Kelech

14526 Broadhaven Blvd  
Orlando, FL 32828

**From:** [Victor Ahern](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:44:05 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Victor Ahern

PO Box 4033  
Nederland, CO 80466

**From:** [Ted Fishman](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:40:27 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Ted Fishman

790 Villa Teresa Way  
San Jose, CA 95123



**From:** [Violet Young](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:35:44 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Violet Young

PO Box 1023  
Yachats, OR 97498

**From:** [Lana Henson's](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:31:53 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Lana Henson's

2009 N Gatewood  
Oklahoma City, OK 73106

**From:** [Nancy Chismar](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:26:43 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Chismar

6 York Dr Apt 6A  
Edison, NJ 08817

**From:** [Jill ZamEk](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:24:29 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Jill ZamEk

1123 Flora Rd  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420



**From:** [Heather Holly](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:22:44 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Heather Holly

9625 S. Scout Cabin Road  
Warren, IN 46792

**From:** [MaryAnne Glazar](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:15:15 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
MaryAnne Glazar

968 Addison St  
Berkeley, CA 94710

**From:** [Gail Camhi](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:12:34 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Gail Camhi

4 Fallen Leaf Way  
Novato, CA 94949

**From:** [Laura Matushak](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:12:21 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Laura Matushak

10 Exchange Street West  
Saint Paul, MN 55102



**From:** [Elizabeth Bryant](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:08:51 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Bryant

632 W Elias St  
Meridian, ID 83643

**From:** [Elizabeth Bryant](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:08:02 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Bryant

632 W Elias St  
Meridian, ID 83643

**From:** [Lynell Withers](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:00:12 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Lynell Withers

2108 E 15th St  
Russellville, AR, AR 72802

**From:** [caroline.schaffer](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:56:14 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
caroline schaffer

50 partridge lane  
fairfield, CT 06824



**From:** [sander shipper](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:55:35 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
sander shipper

448 23rd street  
manhattan beach, CA 90266

**From:** [Lindsay Mugglestone](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:55:31 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Lindsay Mugglestone

3023 Deakin St  
Berkeley, CA 94705

**From:** [Dennis Allen](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:54:54 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Dennis Allen

320 E Victoria St  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

**From:** [Eric Zinn](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:53:19 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Eric Zinn

629 East 24th Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11210



**From:** [Ruth Agius](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:51:50 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Agius

509 Camino Lejo  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

**From:** [Robert Janusko](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:50:20 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Robert Janusko

1329 Eaton Ave  
Bethlehem, PA 18018

**From:** [Joan Breiding](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:47:15 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Joan Breiding

PO Box 170625  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [Rebecca Mosher](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 3:46:49 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Rebecca Mosher

2769 McGuffey Rd  
Columbus, OH 43211



**From:** [Martina Patterson](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 1:56:27 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Martina Patterson

In der Otterkaul, 8  
san rafael, CA 94903

**From:** [Adrienne Fong](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 12:42:01 PM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Adrienne Fong

750 Presidio Ave Apt 207  
San Francisco, CA 94115

**From:** [Rena Jackson](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 9:46:48 AM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Rena Jackson

5309 Mountain Road NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110

**From:** [KARL KOESSEL](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 5:31:39 AM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
KARL KOESSEL

93 Lyman Rd  
McKinleyville, CA 95519



**From:** [Lauren Murdock](#)  
**To:** [Docket, Hearing](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application  
**Date:** Sunday, June 13, 2021 4:18:32 AM

---

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,  
Lauren Murdock

3940 Via Lucero Apt 16  
Santa Barbara, CA 93110