Comparison Table Between NEI 20-07 and NRC RG's and Endorsed IEEE Standards

White SDO means comparable RG/endorsed IEEE std/NRC review guidance exists.

Yellow SDO means some aspect of the SDO is not met by comparable RG/endorsed IEEE std/NRC review guidance exists.

Red SDO means new criteria developed for NEI 20-07 because comparable RG/endorsed IEEE std/NRC review guidance does not exist.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.1.3.1	BTP 7-14 focuses on software tracing but not
Application software requirements are derived	tracing back to the affected plant system and
from, and backward traceable to, the functional	their design and licensing bases. This requires a
and performance requirements of the affected	level of tracing above software requirements. It
plant systems and their design and licensing	entails the System Requirements being traced
bases.	upward to affected plant system and their design
	and licensing bases.
	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1 Requirements V&V
	Traceability Analysis
	Trace the software requirements (SRS and IRS) to
	system requirements (concept documentation)
	and system requirements to the software
	requirements.
	Verify that all system requirements related to
	software are traceable to software requirements

NEI 20-07 SDO

10.1.3.2

A hazard analysis method is used to identify hazardous control actions that can lead to an accident or loss, and application software requirements and constraints are derived from the identified hazardous control actions.

NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard

IEEE Std 7-4.3.2-2016 Clause 5.5.1

A hazard analysis (see Annex D for guidance) shall be performed to identify and address potential hazards of the system. Note: The NRC will soon update RG 1.152 to endorse IEEE Std 7-4.3.2-2016.

IEEE Std 7-4.3.2-2016 Annex D Clause D.3.2.1.3

The following additional items should be considered as potential hazards for a PDD:

Sequences of actions that can cause the system to enter a hazard state.

In addition to the generic list in D.3.2, PDD-specific hazards might include:

- 1) Early or late outputs
- 2) No output on demand
- Inadvertent output without demand
- 4) Output duration too short
- 5) Output duration too long
- 6) Output intermittent
- Output oscillates or fluctuates rapidly
- 8) Incorrect response to sensor data (e.g., value too high/low, stuck at previous value, etc.)

Could not find explicit requirement for "application software requirements and constraints are derived from the identified hazardous control actions." IEEE 7-4.3.2 Annex D, Clause D.3.4.1, Hazard elimination, provides a guideline that states, "Potential problems include ambiguous statements, unspecified conditions, precision requirements not defined, response to hazards not defined, and requirements that are: incomplete, incorrect, conflicting, difficult to implement, illogical, unreasonable, not verifiable, or not achievable." This guideline does not specifically relate back to the derivation of identified hazards into software requirements.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
The application software requirements resulting from activities performed under SDOs 0 and 0 are sufficiently detailed to support an assessment of functional safety.	RG 1.172 The licensee or applicant should specify the software requirements for fault tolerance and failure modes, derived either from a consideration of system-level hazards analyses or from software internals, for each operating mode. The licensee or applicant should fully specify software behavior in the presence of unexpected, incorrect, anomalous, and improper (1) input, (2) hardware behavior, or (3) software behavior, and should provide software requirements necessary to respond to both hardware and software failures, including the requirements for analysis of, and recovery from, computer system failures.
10.1.3.4 Hardware constraints on the application software are specified and complete.	IEEE Std 830 Clause 4.1 requires the SRS to identify the documented hardware constraints. It does not ensure hardware constraints on the application software are specified and complete.
Application software functional and performance requirements are decomposed from I&C system requirements, the I&C system architecture, and any constraints imposed by the I&C system design.	IEEE Std 830 Clause 4.1 The basic issues that the SRS writer(s) shall address are the following: a) Functionality. What is the software supposed to do? b) c) Performance. What is the speed, availability, response time, recovery time of various software functions, etc.? d) e) Design constraints imposed on an implementation. Are there any required standards in effect, implementation language, policies for database integrity, resource limits, operating environment(s) etc.? BTP 7-14, B.3.3.2.1 states, "A review of the software architecture requires a concurrent review of the hardware architecture."
10.1.3.6 If application software requirements are expressed or implemented via configuration parameters, the specified parameters and their values are consistent and compatible with the I&C platform and the I&C system requirements.	No comparable criteria could be found.

10.1.3.7

If data communications are required between application software elements and/or between application software elements and external systems, data requirements are specified, including best- and worst-case performance requirements.

IEEE Std. 830

An SRS is complete if, and only if, it includes the following elements:

b. Definition of the responses of the software to all realizable classes of input data in all realizable classes of situations. Note that it is important to specify the responses to both valid and invalid input values.

BTP 7-14 Section B.3.3.3.1 states, "The design should specify the method for determining that the values of input variables are within the proper range, the method by which the software will detect that the values of input variables are not within their proper range, and the actions to be taken in the latter case."

BTP 7-14 refers to SRP Chapter 7.9, "Data Communication Systems". There it states, "The review should verify that the protocol selected for the DCS meets the performance requirements of all supported systems. The real-time performance should be reviewed with SRP BTP 7-21, "Guidance on Digital Computer Real-Time Performance." This should include verification that DCS safety system timing is deterministic or bounded. Time delays within the DCS and measurement inaccuracies introduced by the DCS should be considered when reviewing the instrumentation setpoints Data rates, data bandwidths, and data precision requirements for normal and off-normal operation, including the impact of environmental extremes, should be reviewed. There should be sufficient excess capacity margins to accommodate likely future increases in DCS demands or software or hardware changes to equipment attached to the DCS. The error performance should be specified. Vendor test data and in situ test results should be reviewed to verify the performance. Analytical justifications of DCS capacity should be reviewed for correctness. The interfaces with other DCSs or other parts of the I&C system should be reviewed to verify compatibility.

Note: No RG or IEEE standard could be found that requires, "best- and worst-case performance requirements".

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.2.3.1 When the application software can include or affect a number and/or variety of system elements, and responsibilities for application software design of such elements are split among two or more entities, then a clear division of responsibility (DOR) is developed and agreed upon by all entities, and the DOR is maintained throughout the course of application software development activities. 10.2.3.2 Abstraction and modularity are used to control	No comparable criteria could be found. BTP 7-14 Section B.3.3.2.1 The hardware and software architecture should
complexity in the application software design.	be reviewed to verify that the propagation of errors is controlled via a well-structured modular design. No comparable criteria on abstraction can be found.
The application software design method aids the expression of functions; information flow; time and sequencing information; timing constraints; data structures and properties; design assumptions and dependencies; exception handling; comments; ability to represent structural and behavioral views; comprehension by entities who need to understand the design; and verification and validation.	No comparable criteria could be found.
Testability and modifiability in the operations and maintenance phase of the system lifecycle is considered during application software design.	No comparable criteria could be found.
10.2.3.5 The application software design method has features that support software modification, such as modularity, information hiding, and encapsulation.	No comparable criteria could be found.
10.2.3.6 Application software design notations are clearly and unambiguously defined.	BTP 7-14 Section B.3.3.4.3 In addition to the above, the CL should have sufficient comments and annotations that the intent of the code developer is clear. This is not only so the reviewer can understand and follow the code, but also so future modifications of the code are facilitated.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.2.3.7	No comparable criteria could be found.
The application software design elements are	
simple to the extent practicable.	
10.2.3.8	No comparable criteria could be found.
If a full variability language is used for	
implementing the application software design,	
the design includes self-monitoring of control	
flow and data flow, and on failure detection,	
appropriate actions are taken.	1555 C. 1.7. 4.2.2 Cl 5. C.
10.2.3.9	IEEE Std 7-4.3.2 Clause 5.6
Application software elements of varying safety	IEEE Std 603-2009 requires that safety functions
classifications shall all be treated as the highest safety classification unless adequate	be separated from non-safety functions such that the non-safety functions cannot prevent the
independence between elements of different	safety system from performing its intended
safety classifications is justified.	functions. In PDD systems, software performing
	safety functions and software performing non-
	safety functions may reside on the same PDD and
	use the same PDD resources. The non-safety
	software functions shall be developed in
	accordance with the safety-related requirements
	of this standard.
10.2.3.10	No comparable criteria could be found.
When a pre-existing application software	
element is used to implement a system function,	
it meets the SDOs in Section Error! Reference source not found.	
10.2.3.11	No comparable criteria could be found.
When the digital equipment consists of pre-	No comparable criteria codid be round.
existing functionality that is configured via data	
to meet application-specific requirements, the	
applied configuration design is consistent with	
the design of the equipment. Methods are used	
to prevent errors during design and	
implementation of the configuration data using	
specified configuration data structures.	
10.3.3.1	No comparable criteria could be found.
The application software architecture design uses	
an integrated set of techniques necessary to	
meet the functional and performance	
requirements developed via the SDOs in Section Error! Reference source not found	
error: Nerelence source not round	

h a ll
hall
ftware
rdware,
)
se in a
t, the ools use
selected
aking
imizing
he
e cycle
oth of the
its of that
ety-
nanner
e software
!S.
uality
the ormed by
office by

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.4.3.3	No comparable criteria could be found.
Application software off-line support tools are an	P 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
element of development activities and are used	
to reduce the likelihood of errors, and to reduce	
the likelihood of not detecting errors. When off-	
line tools can be integrated, the outputs from	
one tool are suitable for automatic input to a	
subsequent tool to minimize the likelihood of	
human error.	
10.4.3.4	See 10.4.3.2
Offline tools have specified behaviors,	
instructions, and any specified constraints when	
1) they can directly or indirectly contribute to the	
executable code, or 2) they are used to support	
the test or verification of the design or	
executable code where errors in the tool can fail	
to reveal defects.	
10.4.3.5	See 10.4.3.1 and 10.4.3.2
Offline tools are assessed for the reliance placed	
on them and their potential failure mechanisms	
that may affect the executable application	
software when 1) they directly or indirectly	
contribute to the executable code, or 2) they are	
used to support the test or verification of the	
design or executable code where errors in the	
tool can fail to reveal defects.	
10.4.3.6	In addition to the response in 10.4.3.2, the
Offline tool conformance to its documentation	following is stated in IEEE Std 7-4.3.2 Clause 5.3:
may be based on a combination of history of	The assessment process for software tools should
successful use (in similar environments and for	take into account experience from prior use. The
similar applications) and its validation.	experience should include the experience of the
	developers as well as experience gained from the
	processes in which the tools are used.
<mark>10.4.3.7</mark>	No comparable criteria could be found.
Tools are validated with a record of their	
versions, validation activities, test cases, results,	
and any anomalies.	
10.4.3.8	No comparable criteria could be found.
When a set of tools can function by using the	
output from one tool as input to another tool	
then the set is regarded as integrated and they	
are verified to ensure compatibility.	

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.4.3.9	No comparable criteria could be found.
The application software design representation	•
or programming language uses a translator that is	
assessed for suitability at the point when	
development support tools are selected, uses	
defined language features, supports detection of	
mistakes, and supports the design method.	
10.4.3.10	No comparable criteria could be found.
If SDO 0 is not fully demonstrated, then the	
fitness of the language and any measures to	
address identified shortcomings is justified.	
10.4.3.11	No comparable criteria could be found.
Programming languages for developing	
application software are used per a suitable set	
of rules which specify good practice, prohibit	
unsafe features, promote understandability,	
facilitate verification and validation, and specify	
code documentation requirements.	
10.4.3.12	IEEE Std 828
When offline tools are used, the application	Configuration identification activities shall
software configuration baseline information	identify, name, and describe the documented
includes tool identification and version,	physical and functional characteristics of the
traceability to the application software	code, specifications, design, and data elements to
configuration items produced or affected by the	be controlled for the project. The documents are
tool, and the manner in which the tool was used,	acquired for configuration control. Controlled
when 1) the tool can directly or indirectly	items may be intermediate and final outputs.
contribute to the executable code, or 2) the tool	These items include outputs of the development
is used to support the test or verification of the	process (see IEEE Std 730 [B3]) (such as
design or executable code where errors in the	requirements, design, executable code, source
tool can fail to reveal defects.	code, user documentation, program listings,
	databases, test cases, test plans, specifications,
	and management plans) and elements of the
	support environment (such as compilers,
	operating systems, programming tools,
	maintenance and support items, and test beds).
	Ear each coftware tool, whether developed
	For each software tool, whether developed
	within the project or brought in from outside the project, the Plan shall describe or reference its
	functions and shall identify the configuration
	controls to be placed on the tool.
	controls to be placed on the tool.
	Note: Not covered is "traceability to the
	application software configuration items
	produced or affected by the tool, and the
	manner in which the tool was used"

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.4.3.13	See 10.4.3.12
Offline tools are under configuration	
management to ensure compatibility with each	
other and the system under design, and only	
qualified versions are used, when 1) the tool can	
directly or indirectly contribute to the executable	
code, or 2) the tool is used to support the test or	
verification of the design or executable code	
where errors in the tool can fail to reveal defects.	
10.4.3.14	No comparable criteria could be found.
Qualification of each new version of an offline	
tool may be demonstrated by qualification of an	
earlier version if the functional differences will	
not affect compatibility with other tools, and	
evidence shows that the new version is unlikely	
to contain significant faults.	
10.5.3.1	No comparable criteria could be found.
Information items that describe application	
software requirements, architecture design, and	
validation planning are completed prior to	
application software detailed design and	
implementation activities and are used to inform	
the detailed design and its implementation.	
10.5.3.2	No comparable criteria could be found.
The application software is modular, testable,	
and modifiable.	
10.5.3.3	No comparable criteria could be found.
For each major element or subsystem identified	
in the application software architecture design	
produced via the SDOs provided in Section Error!	
Reference source not found., further refinement	
into application software modules is based on	
partitioning, and modules are designed in sets	
suitable for integration and integration testing at	
the software and system levels	1

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.5.3.4 Application software integration tests and software/hardware integration tests ensure conformance to the requirements produced under the SDOs in Section Error! Reference source not found	RG 1.171 [GDC] Criterion XI also requires that test results be documented and evaluated to ensure that test requirements have been satisfied.
source not round	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1 5.4.3 (6) Integration V&V test plan generation 1. Plan integration testing to validate that the software correctly implements the software requirements and design as each software component (e.g., units or modules) is incrementally integrated with each other. 2. Plan tracing of requirements to test design, cases, procedures, and results.
10.6.3.1 Each application software module is reviewed against the goals listed above.	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1 Component V&V test plan generation
 Completeness of module testing with respect to the application software design 	No comparable criteria could be found for configuration precisely defined.
 Correctness of module testing with respect to the application software design specification 	
 Module testing is repeatable The module testing configuration is precisely defined 	
10.6.3.2 When an application software module is produced by an automatic tool, the SDOs provided in Section Error! Reference source not found. are demonstrated.	No comparable criteria could be found.
10.6.3.3 When an application software module consists of reused pre-existing software, SDO Error! Reference source not found. is demonstrated.	No comparable criteria could be found.
10.7.3.1 Each application software module is verified (as specified via SDO 0) to perform its intended function and does not perform unintended functions.	IEEE 1012 Clause 5.4.3 The objective of Design V&V is to demonstrate that the design is a correct, accurate, and complete transformation of the software requirements and that no unintended features are introduced.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.7.3.2 Application software module testing results are documented.	IEEE Std 829 Clause 11. Test Summary Report
10.7.3.3 If an application software module test is not successful, corrective actions are specified.	BTP 7-19 B.3.1.12.2 The STP should specify procedures for tracking problem reports, and for ensuring that each problem reported has been correctly resolved.
10.8.3.1 Using the results of activities performed under SDO 0, application software integration testing is performed using specified test cases, and test data; in a specified and suitable environment; with specified acceptance criteria.	IEEE Std 829 Clause 6 and 11.2.6
10.8.3.2 Application software integration tests demonstrate correct interaction between all application software modules and/or application software elements/subsystems.	IEEE Std 1012 Clause 3.1.14 integration testing: Testing in which software components, hardware components, or both are combined and tested to evaluate the interaction between them.
10.8.3.3 Application software integration testing information includes whether test acceptance criteria have been met, and if not, the reasons why such that corrective actions are specified.	BTP 7-14 B.3.2.2 Final integration tests should be completed and documented. Reports should be written for each test run. These reports should include any anomalies found and actions recommended.
10.8.3.4 During application software integration, any module changes are analyzed for extent of 1) impact to other modules and 2) rework of activities performed under prior SDOs.	Regression analysis and testing. Determine the extent of V&V analyses and tests that must be repeated when changes are made to any previously examined software products. Assess the nature of the change to determine potential ripple or side effects and impacts on other aspects of the system. Rerun test cases based on changes, error corrections, and impact assessment, to detect errors spawned by software modifications.
	RG 1.168 7b. Criterion III requires that design changes be subject to design control measures commensurate with those applied to the original design. Regression analysis and testing following the implementation of software modifications is an element of the V&V of software changes and should be part of the minimum set of software V&V activities for safety system software.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.9.3.1	See 10.9.3.2
Application software is integrated with the	
system hardware in accordance with SDO 0.	
10.9.3.2	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1
Using the results of activities performed under	Integration V&V test plan generation
SDO 0, system integration testing is performed	Integration V&V test design generation
using specified test types, test cases, and test	Integration V&V test case generation
data; in a specified facility with a suitable	Integration V&V test procedure generation
environment; using specified software and	
hardware integration instructions; and with	IEEE Std 829 Clause 6.2.5.3
specified acceptance criteria.	IEEE Std 829 Clause 4.2.11
10.9.3.3	IEEE 1012 Table 1
System integration testing information includes	System V&V test execution
whether test acceptance criteria have been met,	Use the V&V system test results to
and if not, the reasons why such that corrective	validate that the software satisfies the
actions are specified. During application	V&V test acceptance criteria.
software integration, any module changes are	
analyzed for extent of 1) impact to other modules	BTP 7-14 B.3.2.2
and 2) rework of activities performed under prior	Final integration tests should be completed and
SDOs.	documented. Reports should be written for each
	test run. These reports should include any
	anomalies found and actions recommended.
	IEEE Std 1012
	Regression analysis and testing. Determine the
	extent of V&V analyses and tests that must be
	repeated when changes are made to any
	previously examined software products. Assess
	the nature of the change to determine potential
	ripple or side effects and impacts on other
	aspects of the system. Rerun test cases based
	on changes, error corrections, and impact
	assessment, to detect errors spawned by
	software modifications.
	DO 4 450 71
	RG 1.168 7b.
	Criterion III requires that design changes be
	subject to design control measures
	commensurate with those applied to the original
	design. Regression analysis and testing following
	the implementation of software modifications is
	an element of the V&V of software changes and
	should be part of the minimum set of software
	V&V activities for safety system software.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.10.3.1	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1
System validation procedural and technical steps	System V&V test execution
are specified in order to demonstrate the	Analyze test results to validate that the
application software meets the requirements	software satisfies the system
produced via activities performed under the	requirements.
SDOs in Section Error! Reference source not	•
found	
10.10.3.2	IEEE Std 829 Clause 3.12
System validation information includes a	test log: A chronological record of relevant details
chronological record of activities; the validated	about the execution of tests.
functions; tools and equipment used; results; and	
any anomalies - including the reasons why so that	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1
corrective actions are specified.	System V&V test execution
	Analyze test results to validate that the
	software satisfies the system
	requirements.
	1555 Ct-1 020 Claves 4.2 C
	IEEE Std 829 Clause 4.2.6
	Specify the major activities, techniques, and tools
	that are used to test the designated groups of
	features.
	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1
	System V&V test execution
	Document the results as required by the
	System V&V test plan.
	Document discrepancies between actual
	and expected test results.
	BTP 7-14 B.3.2.2
	Final integration tests should be completed and
	documented. Reports should be written for each
	test run. These reports should include any
	anomalies found and actions recommended.
10.10.3.3	IEEE Std 1012 Clause 3.1.31
For application software, system testing is the	test case: (A) A set of test inputs, execution
primary method of validation, and the system is	conditions, and expected results developed for a
tested by exercising inputs; exercising expected	particular objective, such as to exercise a
conditions (both normal and abnormal); and	particular program path or to verify compliance
exercising hazards that require system action (as	with a specific requirement. (B) Documentation
identified via activities performed under SDO 0).	specifying inputs, predicted results, and a set of
Analysis, modeling, and simulation may	execution conditions for a test item.
supplement system testing.	IEEE Ctd 1012 Table 1
	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1
	System V&V test plan generation Performance at boundaries (e.g., data,
	interfaces) and under stress conditions
	interfaces) and under stress conditions

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.10.3.4	See responses to 10.4.3.1 – 10.4.3.14 where
Tools used for system validation meet the SDOs	applicable for test tools.
provided in Section Error! Reference source not	
found	
10.10.3.5	IEEE 1012 Clause 5.4.3
System validation results demonstrate 1) all	The objective of Design V&V is to demonstrate
application software functions required via	that the design is a correct, accurate, and
activities performed under the SDOS in Section	complete transformation of the software
10.1.3 are met correctly, 2) the application	requirements and that no unintended features
software does not perform unintended functions,	are introduced.
3) test case results information for later analysis	
or assessment, and 4) successful validation, or if	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1
not, the reasons why.	System V&V test execution
	Analyze test results to validate that the
	software satisfies the system
	requirements.
	BTP 7-14 B.3.2.2
	Final integration tests should be completed and
	documented. Reports should be written for each
	test run. These reports should include any
	anomalies found and actions recommended.

NEI 20-07 SDO NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard 10.11.3.1 IEEE Std 1012 Clause 1.2 Application software verification activities are The purpose of this standard is to specified: selection of strategies and techniques; Establish a common framework for V&V selection and utilization of tools; evaluation of processes, activities, and tasks in support of all results; and corrective action controls. software life cycle processes, including acquisition, supply, development, operation, and maintenance processes Define the V&V tasks, required inputs, and required outputs IEEE Std 1012 Clause 7.4 The SVVP shall describe the organization, schedule, software integrity level scheme, resources, responsibilities, tools, techniques, and methods necessary to perform the software V&V. IEEE Std 1012 Clause 7.4.6 The SVVP shall describe documents, hardware and software V&V tools, techniques, methods, and operating and test environment to be used in the V&V process. Acquisition, training, support, and qualification information for each tool, technology, and method shall be included. IEEE Std 1012 Clause 5 The results of V&V activities and tasks shall be documented in task reports, activity summary reports, anomaly reports, V&V test documents, and the V&V final report. IEEE Std 1012 Table 1 Proposed/baseline change assessment Evaluate proposed software changes (i.e., modifications, enhancements, and additions as a result of anomaly corrections or requirement changes) for effects on the systems and previously completed V&V tasks.

NEI 20-07 SDO NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard 10.11.3.2 IEEE Std 1012 Clause 6.1 Evidence of application software verification V&V task reports. The V&V effort shall document activities is recorded, including verified V&V task results and status. application software configuration items; information used during verification; and the No comparable criteria could be found for adequacy of results from activities conducted verifying application software configuration under prior SDOs, including compatibilities items. between prior activities. IEEE Std 1012 Clause 7.5.1 1) V&V tasks The SVVP shall identify the V&V tasks to be performed. Table 1 describes the minimum V&V tasks, task criteria, and required inputs and outputs. IEEE Std 1012 Clause 5 The results of V&V activities and tasks shall be documented in task reports, activity summary reports, anomaly reports, IEEE Std 1012 Clause 5.1 The management process comprises the following generic activities and tasks: Assessing evaluation results IEEE Std 1012 Clause 5.1.1 Whenever necessary, the V&V management determines whether a V&V task should be reperformed as a result of changes in the software program. IEEE Std 1012 Clause 7.4.2 V&V tasks should be scheduled to be reperformed according to the task iteration

policy.

NEI 20-07 SDO NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard 10.11.3.3 IEEE Std 1012 Clause 5.4.2 The Requirements V&V activity addresses Application software functional and performance requirements produced via activities under the software requirements analysis of the functional SDOs in Section Error! Reference source not and performance requirements... **found.** are verified against the I&C system IEEE Std 1012 Table 1 requirements that are identified via SDO Error! Reference source not found.. Concept documentation evaluation Analyze system requirements and validate that the following satisfy user needs: Feasibility and testability of the functional requirements Feasibility and testability of the functional requirements Evaluate the requirements (e.g., functional, capability, interface,... The task criteria Are Correctness Verify and validate that the software requirements satisfy the system requirements allocated to software within the assumptions, constraints, and operating environment for the system. Validate that the flow of data and control satisfy functionality and performance requirements.

10.11.3.4

The results of activities performed under the SDOs in Sections Error! Reference source not found. through Error! Reference source not found. are verified to ensure conformance to the requirements produced via activities performed under the SDOs in Section Error! Reference source not found., as well as completeness, consistency, and compatibility between the results of the activities performed under the SDOs within each Section, and the feasibility, readability, and modifiability of the results produced under the activities of SDOs in each section.

SDO 10.2 Software Quality

No comparable criteria could be found. SDO 10.3 Software Architecture Design Quality IEEE Std 1012 Clause 5.4.3

The Design V&V activity addresses software architectural design and software detailed design.

SDO 10.4 Application Software Support Tool and Programming Language Quality

IEEE Std 1012 Clause 7.4.6

The SVVP shall describe documents, hardware and software V&V tools, techniques, methods, and operating and test environment to be used in the V&V process. Acquisition, training, support, and qualification information for each tool, technology, and method shall be included.

IEEE Std 1012 Table 1

Scoping the V&V effort

Determine the extent of V&V for tools that insert or translate code (e.g., optimizing compilers, auto-code generators).

SDO 10.5 Application Software Detailed Design and Development Quality

IEEE Std 1012 Clause 5.4.3

The Design V&V activity addresses software architectural design and software detailed design.

IEEE Std 1012 Table 1 Design V&V Process Validate the relationship between each design element and the software requirement(s).

Verify that the relationships between the design elements and the software requirements are specified to a consistent level of detail.

Verify that all design elements are traceable from the software requirements.

Verify that all software requirements are traceable to the design elements.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
	Validate that the flow of data and control satisfy functionality and performance requirements.
	Validate data usage and format.
	Verify that all terms and design concepts are documented consistently.
	Verify that there is internal consistency between the design elements and external consistency with architectural design.
	Validate that the logic, computational, and interface precision (e.g., truncation and rounding) satisfy the requirements in the system environment.
	Verify that there are objective acceptance criteria for validating each software design element and the system design.
	Verify that each software design element is testable to objective acceptance criteria.
	SDO 10.6 Application Software Implementation Quality
	IEEE Std 1012 Table 1
	Implementation V&V
	Traceability Analysis
	Source code and source
	code documentation
	evaluation.
	Interface analysis

NEI 20-07 SDO NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard 10.12.3.1 BTP 7-14 Section B.3.1.9.2 For each potentially hazardous control action A method should exist to identify hazards caused identified via activities performed under SDO 0, by software, and to identify hazards whose causal factor scenarios related to the application resolution will be under the control of software. software are identified and mitigated. BTP 7-14 Section B.3.1.9.3 It should describe a method for preventing the inadvertent introduction of hazards by the use of project tools. IEEE Std 7-4.3.2 Annex D Clause D.3 The hazards list should include hazards presented to the system from all possible sources including hardware failures, software errors... Clause D.3.2 Examples of techniques that can be used in the identification of hazards include: A multidiscipline team approach should be used for the identification of the hazards at each lifecycle phase... Analyses should then be performed to identify ways that these functions can be degraded; for example: 1) Function not executed, such as data sent on a communication bus is not delivered 2) Function executed when not needed 3) Incorrect state transition 4) Incorrect value provided... IEEE Std 1012 Table 1 10.12.3.2 Analysis demonstrates that untested Software requirements evaluation, Software combinations of external and internal I&C system design evaluation, and Source code and source code documentation evaluation require the states have no impact on achieving the application software functional and performance activity to: requirements resulting from the SDOs provided Validate the sequences of states and in Section Error! Reference source not found... state changes using logic and data flows coupled with domain expertise,

prototyping results, engineering

principles, or other basis.

NEI 20-07 SDO	NRC RG or Endorsed IEEE Standard
10.12.3.3	No comparable criteria could be found.
When equipment under the control of the I&C	
system is normally in the state needed to	
perform a safety function, the I&C system design	
has no inputs that will change state when the	
EUC is in its normal state, and non-normal states	
in the EUC are readily detectable via independent	
means. Administrative controls limit the duration	
of non-normal EUC states and limit the EUC in a	
non-normal state to one channel or division.	

