

---

**From:** (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2011 3:41 PM  
**To:** (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** Armstrong, Aaron; NRC Allegation; Crutchley, Julie; Petrosino, Joseph; Crane, Samantha; Rasmussen, Richard  
**Subject:** Fw: Inspection Issues  
**Attachments:** DWG043203\_SHT\_1\_REV\_A\_FPD\_Eng\_326E.tif

1-page attachment is being withheld in its entirety under FOIA exemption 4/proprietary information

Hello (b)(7)(C)  
Third email

(b)(7)(C)

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** (b)(7)(C)  
**To:** (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 14, 2011 6:47 PM  
**Subject:** Fw: Inspection Issues

This part is a good example of the failure of Flowserve's QA/QC system. This part was inspected and accepted as meeting print. Some issues were written up on NCR 12384.

The truth of the matter per NRC rules the part should have had an NCR written against the drawing because MANY of the engineering requirements CANNOT be inspected and/or verified. This condition REQUIRES rejection. Yet Flowserve accepted the part without identifying the engineering requirements that were not met or verified. This is not allowed.

