

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

SUNI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark, Bill
Rogers, Kevin Folk,
Stacey Imboden, Mary
Neely
Comment (156)
Publication Date:2/1/2021
Citation: 86 FR 7747

As of: 3/9/21 9:03 AM Received: March 03, 2021 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. klt-zx6t-ng68 Comments Due: March 03, 2021 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0001

Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0161

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02001

Submitter Information

Email: elizabeth.ward@sierraclub.org

Organization: Sierra Club Wisconsin

General Comment

See attached file(s)

Attachments

Sierra Club Comments on Point Beach Extension



Sierra Club – Wisconsin
754 Williamson St., Madison, Wisconsin 53703-3546
Telephone: (608) 256-0565 E-mail: wisconsin.chapter@sierraclub.org
Website: sierraclub.org/Wisconsin

March 3, 2021

**Comments related to the Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed License Extension
for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant in Two Rivers Wisconsin**

Thank you for accepting our comments on behalf of the Sierra Club Wisconsin and Sierra Club Michigan Chapters and our 20,000 members in Wisconsin and 24,000 members in Michigan. In addition to our comments, I have included comments from almost 1,200 Sierra Club members and supporters that have written additional comments.

First, we'd like to see some changes to the public participation process. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19, public participation is increasingly difficult. Therefore, we'd like to see an extension of the public comment period deadlines. As this process moves forward, we'd like to see multiple public hearing opportunities with different times.

We'd like to see the following items included in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that is created for the license extension proposal for the Point Beach nuclear plant:

Nuclear Waste:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed?
- What are the environmental impacts of this waste?
- Where will it be stored? Assuming it will continue to be stored on the shores of Lake Michigan, what are the environmental and social impacts of this?
- Spent nuclear fuel can also be used for nuclear weapons, a danger to humanity that only increases with continued nuclear plant operations. The risks associated with increased storage needs to be analyzed and included in the EIS.
- There is no safe, permanent waste storage solution. What is the impact of twenty more years of waste on the need for permanent waste storage?

Water use and impacts: Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned at a much warmer temperature.

- The fact that the Point Beach plant lacks cooling towers should be taken into account and the resulting thermal pollution needs to be part of the EIS.
- How much additional water will be used over the twenty years of this extension? What are the impacts on Lake Michigan?

Potential for an accident:

- The EIS should analyze the likelihood of a spill, taking into account the age of the plant and whether an extension would increase the likelihood of a spill.
- A nuclear accident at the Point Beach Nuclear Plant could result in radiation poisoning and thyroid cancer, and could make the area uninhabitable. This could severely impact wildlife. This should all be analyzed and considered as part of the EIS.
- The long-lasting impacts of the Chernobyl and the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster in Japan should be studied and modeling about what similar impacts could be seen if there were an accident at Point Beach should be included in the EIS.
- There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact to the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.

Mining and Environmental Justice:

- How much more uranium will be needed in this extension and where will it come from? Both of these things should be considered in the EIS. An extension of Point Beach will create this demand for the uranium. Therefore, this must be included in the EIS. It is directly related to the impacts of the extension.
- On average, a nuclear reactor requires 25 tons of uranium per year. This would require a lot of additional mining and produce a lot of waste. What happens with this waste and the environmental impacts of mining should be part of the EIS.
- Mining tends to take place near and disproportionately impact Native people. This should be studied and taken into consideration as part of the EIS. The Tribes that are impacted by the mining (as well as the storage of nuclear waste and operation of Point Beach on Lake Michigan) should be consulted throughout the entire process, including the creation of the environmental impact statement.

Climate change:

- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and shifts in the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- Nuclear energy is incredibly expensive. A full economic analysis should be done of the costs of extending the licenses and how much energy could be created/saved by investing that same amount of money in solar, wind, batteries, and energy efficiency and conservation.

In addition to these items, the EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc. and they should not be examined as one plant.

Thank you for taking our thoughts into consideration,
Elizabeth Ward, Chapter Director
Sierra Club Wisconsin

Attached: additional comments from Sierra Club members and supporters

Kathryn Dorn
1059 W Myrna Ln
Tempe AZ 85284-2837

Yes, if we had a good way to store nuclear waste AND if a nuclear power plant's disasters weren't potentially much more devastating than any other power plant's disasters, I would say that nuclear energy is better than fossil-fuel-derived energy...but neither is a good choice, given that both means of power production endanger human life. Please consider these plants' future carefully, and consider alternate and safer energy sources (e.g., wind, solar, and water) as well! Thank you!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian Wolfe
2020 Emerald Dr
Lawrence KS 66046-2650

Would you do the same with a pacemaker for your own heart? Shut this plant down before it's too late.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maynard Clark
650 Huntington Ave Apt 11J
Boston MA 02115-5908

Where could spent fuel possibly be stored safely?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Baggentos
530 N Fitzmaurice Dr
Prescott AZ 86303-6235

What do we do with Nuclear waste for the next 1000 years?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Warren
3653 N Prince Village Pl
Tucson AZ 85719-2028

We urgently need not just a nuclear free Wisconsin, but a nuclear free WORLD! We face extinction if there were just one nuclear weapons attack.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda Painter
6269 Van Zandt Rd
Constableville NY 13325-2410

We need to transform to reusable non-polluting sources of energy before it is too late for all of us.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kimberly McCullough
1032 Durness Pl
San Jose CA 95122-3706

WE NEED TO FIGHT CLIMATE CHANGE.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alisa Eilenberg
3006 Arlington Ave
Bronx NY 10463-3311

We need safe, clean energy, not more nuclear.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barry Jacoby
1424 W Canyon Shadows Ln
Tucson AZ 85737-7711

we need a clean safe environment for us and our children and their children

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sissy Aron
10 Brookview Ln
New Milford CT 06776-2532

We must move very quickly to clean energy and nuclear energy is very dangerously not clean. Please shut down the Point Beach Nuclear Plant for the best result but at least do not extend its life. Just because there has been no major accident there does not mean there will not be a major disaster there.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Alberts
3566 Atkins Rd
Petoskey MI 49770-9531

We live in Michigan, and what happens in Wisconsin affects us. We share Lake Michigan.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dorothy Poppe
3009 Graystone Manor Pkwy
Louisville KY 40241-3100

We have abused the earth and our environment for far too long. Nuclear power needs to be phased out as we move toward care for the earth, our common home.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Gaul
25907 272nd Ave SE
Ravensdale WA 98051-8223

We have a waste issue. In the case of fossil fuels, it is carbon dioxide and a multitude of other greenhouse gases. In the case of nuclear fuels, it is toxic, harmful, dangerous and destructive wastes that last for 10s of thousands of years. Expediency is no reason for pursuing such a dangerous energy source with such untreatable, un-storable and everlasting waste. Do the right thing and pursue energy resources with a legacy you can be proud of, and don't concerned about for ever after.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Dittrich
918 NE 10th St
Grants Pass OR 97526-1613

We don't need our own Chernobyl disaster.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rita Gehrke
236 Greenway Cir
Deerfield WI 53531-9360

We do NOT want nuclear plants in Wisc. It's not safe and creates too much nuclear waste material. Clean energy only!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Tedtmann
869 NW 8th Ave
Boynton Beach FL 33426-2951

We are violating our supportive relationship with the creation. There is a spiritual relationship with all living things, on this earth. Spend time in the natural environment and you will be reminded.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Wery
4042 N 88th St
Milwaukee WI 53222-1715

Water and air safety depend on your help.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aleks Kosowicz
1745 Roberts Ln
Abrams WI 54101-9422

We are uniquely fortunate to call this region, our Great Lakes, home. To risk these precious waters, our vital natural resources, and veritably all life dependent upon them (myself included--I live near the Point Beach Plant) is unthinkable. Please do all you can to safeguard our waters and help us step into a renewable future by moving us beyond this a project that is dangerously past its prime. Thank you.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marie Wheatley
6208 Tilden Ave
Saint Louis MO 63116-2219

Use other energy sources besides nuclear. It creates waste the sticks around for many years.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marian Wineman
3611 45th Ave W
Seattle WA 98199-1808

Until we have a clue about what to do with nuclear waste, we should not be generating any more. We can't clean up or safely store what we have! We need to use safe, green sources of energy that are healthy for all people!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Bailey
3964 E Monte Vista Dr
Tucson AZ 85712-5514

UAS is wearying of greed and destruction of us. Nuclear power is like steam power, it's time has ended. You can help, please do.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Raye Chennault
1602 Dean Forest Rd Lot C18
Savannah GA 31408-9524

Too dangerous.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cecile Mochnek
1231 Henry St
Berkeley CA 94709-1927

too dangerous for all life

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dirk Durant
214 Pine Ct
Lindsborg KS 67456-2115

This matters to me because we spend half of our time in the neighboring state of Minnesota.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

c Houtakker
585 County Road Z
Sinsinawa WI 53824-9701

This just spreads poisons.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elaine Becker
2514 Sharmar Rd
Roanoke VA 24018-2625

This is NOT safe! Go rooftop Solar!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nadine Ancel
358 Los Ranchitos Dr
Durango CO 81301-8333

This is a serious matter effecting human lives and the environment and an extensive review must be done to protect all of us.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carolyn Wheeler
40452 Ditmus Ct
Fremont CA 94538-3558

They were able to contain the nuclear spill from Chernobyl, from 10 Mile Island or from Fukushima! Nuclear energy is not safe. Please do not risk all life on the planet by leaving this facility open.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Phil Immerfall
901 N Bennett St
Appleton WI 54914-3602

They are too old, they need to be retired.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Allekotte
1142 Brighton Ave
Albany CA 94706-1229

There is still no solution to the nuclear waste from these plants that the next generations will inherit and wonder why we burdened them with such poisonous and waste sites which need costly oversight. Let's find green solutions to the energy that we need.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Juliana Sullivan
22075 Watts Valley Rd
Sanger CA 93657-9216

There are so many safer ways to generate energy. Let us invest in a cleaner, safer future for many generations to come.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ingrid Becerril
617 Speith Dr
Grovetown GA 30813-8333

The things we do affect everyone else in the planet.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Hardesty
5000 Lakeridge Ter E
Reno NV 89509-5853

The price of developing wind and solar energy sources is miniscule compared to the cost of nuclear. The danger to life does not exist when reliable renewable energy is available, unlike the fear and dread which nuclear power presents.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lewis Murdock
3541 Kent Creek Rd
Winston OR 97496-9539

The nuclear plants aren't safe in the first place, and extensions make them more unsafe: all this to save money?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Glatter-Judy
2 W 70th St
Kansas City MO 64113-2565

The license for Point Beach Nuclear Plant should not be renewed. The wave of the future is clean renewable sources for energy. If we wish to promote the well being of our planet it is high time to get off of nonrenewable, polluting, and destructive energy! Science is real and will help us move forward.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edith Smith
6250 Woodwinds Ct
Mount Airy MD 21771-7487

The emissions from the Point Beach nuclear plant reminds of a similar situation in Maryland.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Stuckey
1931 N Fremont St
Chicago IL 60614-5016

The EIS MUST take into account the full cost of the climate change that this nuclear reactor will create, and compare it to the environmental impact if the same amount of electricity were to be produced from renewable sources.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wendy Lichtenwalter
6300 Andador St NW
Canton OH 44708-5904

The cost of solar power, wind turbines, and the battery storage that they employ have all gone down--as much as 80% in the past decade. Without a rational and permanent storage system for the waste produced by nuclear plants, it is irresponsible to keep them running and producing more of the waste that will be dangerously toxic for thousands of years.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bill Rither
2975A S Superior St
Milwaukee WI 53207-2543

thank you for reading my email

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Brexel Sr.
623 Harbor Creek Pkwy
Canton GA 30115-9556

Thank you for including these items in the EIS.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arthur Keller

Study the issues below.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Ward
16980 Laramie Ave
Oak Forest IL 60452-4430

Study Fukushima and the other "nuclear errors" that have occurred, and ask yourself what you want for your children. A deadly enemy they can't see. I don't think so.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ed Werda
841 E 7th St
Tucson AZ 85719-5304

STOP! killing our planet for corporate GREED

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cassandra Lemmon
34834 Shoreview Dr Spc 1
Cottage Grove OR 97424-9409

Stop killing the planet for profit!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lecil McGlocklin
116 Hillview St Lot 14
Bluff City TN 37618-1943

STOP Killing EARTH

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rich English
1046 Beau Brummel Ct
Sleepy Hollow IL 60118-1811

Renewable energy is clearly the future and the sooner we get on board with that reality, the better. No one wants nuclear waste stored in their backyard.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Kemp
615 Monroe St
Neenah WI 54956-2453

Point Beach Nuke Plant sits on the greatest supply of fresh water in the world. The risk of extending a permit is too great. These plants should be shut down after reaching their half life as a precaution. I remember being told in 1980 that scientists are smart enough to eventually find a way to dispose of the waste and no need to wait until then to produce nuclear power. Perhaps when safer nuclear power is invented, nuke plants benefits may outweigh the risks, but not at this time. Renewables have less long term costs and less potential hidden long term costs. Time to retire Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shirley Black
1101 Prospect Ave Apt 30
Santa Rosa CA 95409-4190

Please, we must do all we can to protect our communities and our planet from the dangers and forever damage done by nuclear plants. There is simply no long term safe way to use and dispose of nuclear materials. The brightest minds must come up with truly safe ways to provide the needed energy required by people and businesses. We owe it to people now and far into the future to stop using nuclear power generating plants. We know from experience there is no truly safe way to use that method of producing power and for sure no truly safe way to store the nuclear waste. Also, we need ways to provide energy that does not use absurd quantities of water so needed for other human needs. Like safe drinking water and water safe to use on food production.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Keeley
7300 NE Vancouver Mall Dr Apt 68
Vancouver WA 98662-6498

please vote no, it is dirty fuel.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lori Frueauf
533 Canterbury Dr
Dayton OH 45429-1443

Please take extreme care and allow the public comment period deadline to be extended.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tyler Horton
2328 S 65th St
West Allis WI 53219-2018

Please start protecting and preserving our environment for our future generations to come.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joy Rosenberry Chase
6521 Westin Dr
Madison WI 53719-4823

Please don't renew this nuclear power plant. it is too close to Lake Michigan. If anything goes wrong, it will destroy and poison the entire Great Lakes region, including significant portions of two countries and at least six states. There is too much at risk. We have truly clean energy alternatives now that don't produce waste that there is NO safe way to dispose of. Stop funding nuclear power and put that money towards other renewable energy sources that will provide safe, living-wage jobs to their communities from now into the far future.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jayne Zabrowski
212 Whitetail Run Ln
Sheboygan WI 53081-8237

Please do not approve the extension of the licenses for the Point Beach plant. We want Wisconsin to be NUCLEAR FREE.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Peltekian
527 Windmill Rd
Brooklyn WI 53521-9418

Please decommission this site and fully develop renewable energy sources instead. From an environmental and economic position, this is the only option.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jackie Thiry
1600 Rustic Oaks Ct Unit 8
Green Bay WI 54301-2465

Please consider the negative impacts of continued use of nuclear energy.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Schleifer
1814 Fulmar Ave
Ventura CA 93003-7120

Our Earth Mother, and by extension all living creatures are too precious to rape, endanger and despoil.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Eggers
2353B Addy Gifford Rd
Addy WA 99101-5105

Nukes are as outdated, and even More Dangerous than the oil industry!!! We now have CLEAN Energy that will Save Our Planet, and Humans!!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Gylden
207 7th St NE
Valley City ND 58072-2625

Nuclear waste is dangerous and we need more Green Energy instead of deadly energy. It is time to retire all of our Nuclear Plants, and make sure the waste products from them is completely secure.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug Goodall
191 Starcrest Dr
Orem UT 84058-6985

Nuclear power may seem clean when things are working properly but as my late father found out there's little or no margin for error. Angiosarcoma is a terrible way to die.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa Readance
25450 Euclid Ave Apt 215
Euclid OH 44117-2637

Nuclear power isn't clean power!!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Lippman
HC 64 Box 3208
Castle Valley UT 84532-9615

Nuclear power is proven unmanageable and unsafe, and presents a clear and present danger to life on Earth. It's time that all N-plants be decommissioned and replaced with cleaner (uranium ore and nuclear waste are not clean...) and safer, renewable energy sources and technologies

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Holm
2015 E Yukon St
Tampa FL 33604-2053

Nuclear power is obsolete and is literally killing us humans and our planet. Solar and wind power is the key to our clean energy future.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dale Le Fevre
N5310 3rd Rd
Crivitz WI 54114-7349

Nuclear power is NOT the way to go. Has anyone EVER found a way to avoid accidents or deal with nuclear waste?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin King
N59W17788 Meadow Ct
Menomonee Falls WI 53051-5575

Nuclear power is not safe. This has been proven with repeated serious accidents at nuclear plants. More importantly, there is no viable solution for disposing of the waste.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Cassebaum
3469 Amick Rd
Elon NC 27244-8111

Nuclear Power is far too risky to be a fuel of the future. Fukushima is the most recent disaster that keeps getting worse.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Lauer
1857 Stanley Ave
Signal Hill CA 90755-6013

Nuclear plants are dangerous.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meghan Pierce
300 Jefferson St
Sauk City WI 53583-1107

Nuclear is not the way to go. It is a dangerous energy producer, and creates toxic waste, which is the opposite of what we need for our environment. Say no to this extension.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peggy S. Collins
21310 Lathrup St
Southfield MI 48075-4216

Nuclear is not an option - rods remain radioactive for hundreds of years and cannot be safely contained.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Weeden
1112 Pleasant St
Lake Geneva WI 53147-1104

Nuclear is neither sustainable nor eco-friendly. We need alternatives that are sustainable and do not contribute to rising earth temperatures. Alliant Energy recently announced the closure of their Portage plant and will work with employees there in finding employment after the plant closure. This is not "rocket science" it's about saving the planet.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chrisanthos Vlasiadis
1599 Route 112 Apt 10
Port Jefferson Station NY 11776-3501

nuclear is disgusting

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Rushman
20651 W Warren St
Dearborn Heights MI 48127-2622

Nuclear energy plants ARE a danger to our environment and to our people.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Jacques
1209 T St
Sacramento CA 95811-7105

Nuclear energy is unacceptably dangerous. Extracting uranium and other radioactive materials causes terrible pollution that cannot be satisfactorily cleaned up. Nuclear reactors pose huge risks to the communities in which they are located. They are ridiculously expensive to build. There is no way to safely dispose of the waste they create which remains radioactive and dangerous for thousands of years. Do not approve yet another nuclear reactor. We can't deal with the ones we already have.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary McClintock
2132 Pleasantville Rd
Fallston MD 21047-1439

Nuclear energy is not what we believed in the 1970's; it's not renewable. It leaves a deadly legacy to my future family.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louise Gregg
5701 Chinquapin Pkwy Apt D
Baltimore MD 21239-2554

Nuclear energy is not what was promised: clean, safe for the environment, etc. EIS has a big job to do. Make sure it is done completely. Transparency!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bonnie Macraith
2592 Maple Ln
Arcata CA 95521-5119

Nuclear energy is not safe despite stories to the opposite. Please do not renew!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

MaryAnn Powers
15804 197th PI NE
Woodinville WA 98077-9431

Nuclear Energy is not climate friendly, safe or clean for our environment. We are ruining our oceans and now clean air is at risk. What are we doing to our world?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Valihura
1 Summit Dr Apt 51
Reading MA 01867-4038

Nuclear energy is harmful in the long run. It's byproducts are toxic and continue to seep into and ruin the ground water. Please, choose a power source that doesn't have any side effects AND creates jobs! Solar power creates just as many jobs as nuclear and is MUCH safer, for now and for future generations. Wouldn't you like to be remembered as the one who brought this change about?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norda Gromoll
1717 Watersmeet Lake Rd
Eagle River WI 54521-9753

NUCLEAR ENERGY IS DANGEROUS AND UNPROFITABLE; please do not renew any licenses or license any new plants

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Greta Aul
917 Columbia Ave Ste 622
Lancaster PA 17603-3169

No more nukes! Too dangerous.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Thirion
3697 Poco Loco Dr SW
Albuquerque NM 87105-0311

no more nuclear- are you kidding? it won't work out in the future

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jonathan Hancock
1947 W Superior St
Chicago IL 60622-5532

Long term clean renewable energy is the way forward. Work towards that end.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Will Agee
497 S Lincoln Ave
El Cajon CA 92020-4768

Let us be good stewards of God's unending grace!! There are safer ways to get sustainable energy!! Wind , water and the sun come to mind. Blessings!! :)

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug White
5526 Marconi St
Madison WI 53705-2635

It's critical that we provide clean energy for WI residents, to help avoid further climate chaos. The Point Beach power plant is too old to do this safely. It should not run for 80 years. Please cancel the extension for my grandchildren's sake.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nanette Gordon
4511 Silverthorn Dr
Mesquite TX 75150-2924

It is too close to people. If the powers that be thinks it is safe, then put it on the lawn of the White House. That will prove it is safe elsewhere.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Hansen
25999 Glen Eden Rd # 102
Corona CA 92883-5223

It is time to move beyond nuclear energy and toward solar, wind, and hydropower.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rick Fahrenkrug
802 Grant Pl
Neenah WI 54956-2924

Instead of extending the license on these plants, Wisconsin should be aggressively building clean energy and funding energy efficiency improvements so that we can get to 100% clean energy by 2030.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lee McClure
105 Duane St Apt 14C
New York NY 10007-3604

In the long run nuclear is more polluting and Way more expensive than gas and coal.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Carney
1124 W Gramercy Pl
San Antonio TX 78201-5216

In 1978 when I was a formation 16 years of age I attended the Abalone Alliances "Stop Diablo Canyon" Rally on 6-30-1979 in San Luis Obispo, Ca. It was my first rally and far from my last. Now 50 years later we can breathe a sigh of relief that this plant in active earthquake county is now closed. California is on track to close its last plant & go Nuclear free by 2025. Nuke power plants are finite. They only last so long, but the clean up and decomposition is decades and disasters like Chernobyl will contaminate for centuries. You can never get these beaches back and the risk just isn't worth these precious beaches. Stop this expansion. Support new green energies, more green energy jobs and the accountability of proper drawdown , & safe storage of these facilities. What is here now we already will be storing its poisonous contact for centuries. Probably buried in a desert near me in the South U.S. :(

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Giordano
67 Arnold St # PO663
Williamstown MA 01267-2540

If you are reading this, you have my gratitude. There is so overwhelmingly much to clean up on this planet and this looks to me like one of the big ones. I feel sick, trying to read the whole letter. I'm here to add my name to this list. I stand with the Sierra Club. Thank you for your attention

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Hughes
777 Court St Apt 304
Reading PA 19601-3531

If we don't immediately do something now we all die if animals die we die if plants/trees die we die because trees help with breathing healthy air in the world by preventing toxic carbon monoxide pollution spread over the planet! Signed, Jan Hughes No Titles

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karee Joedeman
215 Oak Grove St Apt 1301
Minneapolis MN 55403-3354

If it is not green, we do not want it.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan & Darren Blankenship
5511 McGann Ln Unit 209
Fitchburg WI 53711-4953

I think that much more study needs to be done for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. As we transition to safer renewable energy, I am not sure it makes sense to extend this plant's life past 2030.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Art Hanson
1815 Briarwood Dr
Lansing MI 48917-1773

I strongly oppose nuclear energy because the short-run and the long-run dangers are far too great.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Dreessen
5405 S Ingleside Ave
Chicago IL 60615-5013

I live in Chicago where we draw our drinking water from Lake Michigan. Having a nuclear power plant on the shores of Lake Michigan, even to the north of us in Wisconsin is a clear and present long term danger to me and my family, when we should be investing in alternative forms of energy that are non-polluting. Nuclear energy is quite polluting when all factors are considered. Please deny this application and safely shut down this and any other nuclear plants. Thank you. Mark Dreessen, Ph.D. 5405 South Ingleside Avenue Chicago, IL 60615

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Pomeday
N133 Surfside Dr
Cedar Grove WI 53013-1438

I live along Lake Michigan and fear what could happen if something happens at the nuclear plant. Until we find a way to safely reuse nuclear waste, this is a type of energy source we should not use. Efforts are better spent on renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, thermal and others. I, my children and grandchildren, friends and neighbors play in Lake Michigan, swimming, kayaking, canoeing. We also get our water from this great lake and have watched invasive species change the ecosystem. We must clean up this wonderful resource, not damage it further. Thank you for hearing my concerns.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Remy
38 Miller Ave
Mill Valley CA 94941-1927

I grew up watching atomic bombs explode outside of Las Vegas, NV. I met the atomic vets and watched their health. This energy is dangerous to our health and well being. We MUST stop using it.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Scheer
2715 Cody Cir Apt 102
Bellingham WA 98225-8280

I grew up in Wisconsin...and when I was young, we'd drive north along Lake Michigan from West Bend to Two Rivers to visit college friends of my parents. Lovely drive----lovely area! I vote that the nuclear plant there gets discontinued; 'maybe' was necessary at some point, but no longer is! NOW, it's a "dangerous" entity to have in your town and area, both literally and from a disease standpoint! So shut it down and remove it!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lore Weber
52051 Farmington Square Rd
Granger IN 46530-9263

I grew up in Wisconsin and still love the state. Nuclear energy is the past and is dangerous. Please look to the future and new ways of creating energy and also renewables. Please phase out nuclear energy and do not extend this license.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nora Eiesland
127 280th St
Osceola WI 54020-4118

I got thyroid cancer after exposure to Chernobyl's meltdown, Nuclear is not safe and it is not disposable!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Gardiner
35 Woodland Rd
Lexington MA 02420-2015

I do want to lessen the amount of carbon this spewed into the air and I know that nuclear will do that . HOWEVER, there are safer ways of doing this. Nuclear waste is not a safe thing to have to dispose of. Let's avoid producing it in the first place.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gigi Taylor
6240 N Blue Blvd
Tucson AZ 85743-8452

I am very concerned about increasing the proliferation of dangerous nuclear power plants in the USA.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Fussell
402 Village Commons Blvd
Georgetown TX 78633-5248

I am asking for an extension to the EIS public comment period deadline.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Megan Walhood
6705 Village Park Dr
Madison WI 53718-1805

I am a voter, and I am extremely concerned about climate change and the environment. It is very important to me that we are pursuing and investing in renewable energy resources like wind and solar. I feel strongly that we should be investing in these resources versus continuing to contribute to energy sources that create additional risks and hazards to the environment.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marsha Barr
1939 Adams St
Eugene OR 97405-2130

I am a retired teacher, mother of 2 and grandmother of 4. I have grave concerns for the state of the environment that we are leaving to future generations. We can't just keep perpetuating systems that leave behind toxic waste. Nor can we ignore the threats that a changing climate will exert on those systems and all of the people downstream.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Songalia
649 Waseca St
Saint Paul MN 55107-2721

I am a Registered Nurse in Minnesota. Nurses know that damage to the environment - including damage caused by nuclear waste - harms the health of individuals, families, communities, and populations.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Hurd
1325 NE Flicker Hill Ln
Poulsbo WA 98370-4549

Human beings are contributing so much toxic waste to our environment that it will soon overwhelm our ability to live safely anywhere near power production.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ilassic Wilkening
270 Chatsworth Ave
Sugar Grove IL 60554-9475

Green energy besides nuclear needs to exist, if an accident happens, it will destroy our Earth even more so.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jonathan Hartman
3150 N Course Ln
Pompano Beach FL 33069-5423

good chance of an accident. you'll have blood on your hands.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Doran
2516 SE Gosling Ln
Waldport OR 97394-9779

Go solar or wind now!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian K Sutton
8707 Best Ct
Louisville KY 40242-3421

Go GREEN! Not nuclear!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda McBride
26 Sonia Pl
Jefferson LA 70121-2228

Frankly, I am concerned about storing nuclear waste and the impact it will have on our planet and its citizens. Climate change will have an impact on that storage in the future.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Hormel
4605 Prospect St
Vassar MI 48768-9728

First, I am not an opponent of nuclear power, when it is done right. However, this plant, sitting on the shores of Lake Michigan, & storing spent fuel on site, is NOT doing it right. This is a clear & present danger to the surrounding area & the waters of Lake Michigan. The U.S. should be investing in research, perhaps direct, support of the efforts in France to develop thorium reactors. Nuclear can be an efficient power source, but the U.S. needs to abandon the outdated types of reactors that are being used here. It is expensive up front, but thorium reactors can open the door to power than runs on pennies. Rethink how we are using nuclear power, & the large reactors used here as opposed to the the reactors in Germany, France, etc., which (although not thorium) are safer & have a good record of being so.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Champkin
400 Irma Dr
Austin TX 78752-4130

Despite the greenwashing, nuclear energy is not safe, clean, or climate-friendly.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lana Touchstone
252 Grapewood St
Vallejo CA 94591-5738

Constant exposure to radiation degrades the containment metals used. That is why the 40 year life span was set for nuclear plants. To extend this is to further risk a catastrophic failure and release of radiation that could exceed the damage of the Fukushima or, even worse, the Chernobyl melt-downs.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cherryl Clark
513 Clark St
Lakota IA 50451-7066

Common (which is NOT common)sense tells you that anything that creates waste that cannot be disposed of safely is not a good thing and not earth friendly.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Bernstein
339 Lakeside Pl
Highland Park IL 60035-5371

Clean energy matters to me, and nuclear energy is anything but clean. No more greenwashing, please! Let's put our efforts into renewable energy that doesn't leave hazardous waste and contribute to our problems.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julia Devrell
13226 W Serenade Cir
Sun City West AZ 85375-1721

Can we please have green energy asap???? Nuclear melt downs are forever.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debbie Lane
3255 College Dr
Carson City NV 89703-7307

As we have seen with so much of our environmental degradation, thinking ahead to the impacts of any regulatory actions is critical for protecting our environment. Please support the recommendations below.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Ann and Frank Graffagnino
1467 Marvin Gardens Ln
Prescott AZ 86301-5520

AS TWO CARING AND CONCERNED PEOPLE, FOR THE HEALTH AND WELL-BEING OF ALL CURRENT AND FUTURE GENERATIONS, wildlife and the environment, create a Nuclear-free Wisconsin THIS IS THE HEALTHY, RIGHT, FAIR, JUST, AND HUMANE ACTION TO TAKE!!!!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angel Garcia
721 Tinton Ave Apt 10F
Bronx NY 10455-2228

As an American desperate for a healthy environment in our country, and for a country free of the risks of nuclear waste, nuclear accidents, and nuclear disasters, I want this country to be nuclear-free as well as fossil-free. We must commit now to convert to a truly renewable, danger-free economy now. No more nuclear power plant authorization, extension or operation.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Amy Morrison
6613 21st Dr NE
Tulalip WA 98271-9104

As a stockholder for Nextera I think we can do better. Clean it up, decommission these nuclear sites: this is an archaic form of power and socially we have moved passed it.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Huddy
5233 E Woodspring Dr
Tucson AZ 85712-1364

As a scientist, I am aware that the costs of a nuclear power plant are far beyond what proposals monetize. Each one costs our future far more than it costs to build it. These were a terrible mistake. We must stop building nuclear power plants.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

D Bryan
33 Capay Cir
South San Francisco CA 94080-4117

Are you the next Three Mile Island ?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mickey Perkins
18995 Wilderness Ct Unit B
Brookfield WI 53045-3346

All that any intelligent person needs to hear is that nuclear power generates waste that is radioactive for thousands of years. Nuclear power is a nightmare that has come true. These horrible power sources need to be phased out in favor of sustainable energies like solar, wind and geothermal. NO NUKES!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Nadreau
24191 Dial Ave
Tomah WI 54660-4328

All nuclear plants need to be gone

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Sibley
6479 Clear Springs Rd
Simi Valley CA 93063-4839

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

B Kern
672 Barg Salt Run Rd
Cincinnati OH 45244-1138

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Blake Wu
3600 Mt Diablo Blvd
Lafayette CA 94549-3712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kay Randall
520 32nd Ave S
Moorhead MN 56560-4943

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tom Dunlea
160 Daniel Webster Hwy Apt 311
Nashua NH 03060-5247

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Ireland
PO Box 1048
Groveland CA 95321-1048

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Freitag
5733 Lawsons Hill Ct
Alexandria VA 22310-2332

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Mcgrath
924 Chestnut Cove Rd
Sylva NC 28779-7244

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bernadette Belcastro
68 Crocus Ave
Floral Park NY 11001-2640

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jo Pa
1730 Mulford Ave Apt 7R
Bronx NY 10461-4331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Clarence Harris
3610 192nd St
Lansing IL 60438-4204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Drena Lapointe
7839 E Keim Dr
Scottsdale AZ 85250-4799

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charmaine Villadonga
4420 Mayfair Dr
McHenry IL 60051-6123

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ina Pillar
236 Prairie View St
Oregon WI 53575-1417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marla Swanson
1025 Wakerobin Ln Apt F202
Fort Collins CO 80526-4175

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stu Farnsworth
1646 Donald Ct
Eagan MN 55121-1753

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Noah Hanmer
130 Sunrise Dr
Bristol RI 02809-5021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jes Laufenberg
5325 M St
Sacramento CA 95819-4130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angelica Aguilar
1357 Weybridge Rd
Columbus OH 43220-8108

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian Joslyn
PO Box 49
Edinboro PA 16412-0049

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jes Laufenberg
5325 M St
Sacramento CA 95819-4130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Mc Manus
1800 Spruce St Apt 304
Berkeley CA 94709-1837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Peterson
735 York Ct
Northbrook IL 60062-3954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daurie Pollitto
92 Idlewild Ln
Aberdeen NJ 07747-1506

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Gallanosa
1545 Rogers St
Richmond VA 23223-4358

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diana Koeck
1000 S Coast Dr Apt K107
Costa Mesa CA 92626-1759

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Rose
711 N 6th Ave Apt 308
Pocatello ID 83201-6236

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Greenberg
14 Turpentine Dr
Nevada City CA 95959-2856

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ryan Davis
250 N First St Unit 425
Burbank CA 91502-1873

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rose Greco
1140 S Lorraine Rd Apt 3C
Wheaton IL 60189-7020

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sharon Belson
1627 Powell Rd
Brookhaven PA 19015-1933

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Pruitt
1032 Randolph St
Oak Park IL 60302-3406

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ken Canty
30 Center Rd
Dudley MA 01571-6309

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Monica Brown
1751 W Summerdale Ave
Chicago IL 60640-2021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adrienne Cox
12240 N Copeland Ln
Flagstaff AZ 86004-5390

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penny Elia
30632 Marilyn Dr
Laguna Beach CA 92651-8125

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Andrew Hinz
1427 Park Ave
Baltimore MD 21217-4231

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Bates
6821 44th Ave NE
Seattle WA 98115-7543

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hyacen Shaktilove
7209 Old Clinton Pike Apt 110
Knoxville TN 37921-1040

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Covi Lopez
4930 30th Ave SE
Naples FL 34117-9381

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dat Tran
124 Academy Ln
Upper Darby PA 19082-1302

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tim Pokela
201 Cherry Creek Rd
Marquette MI 49855-8927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Theresa Lehman
1173 Ava Ct
Neenah WI 54956-1897

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judith Peter
2184 Pellam Blvd
Port Charlotte FL 33948-3300

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Green
4049 Stonehaven Rd
Kettering OH 45429-1745

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Brown
9377 River Oaks Ln
Orangevale CA 95662-4954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Murphy
3240 Whistler Dr
Pensacola FL 32503-5166

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sharon Longyear
2765 Hyatt St
Yorktown Heights NY 10598-3229

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aida Marina
710 Arroyo Dr Apt 2
South Pasadena CA 91030-2364

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Teague
180 E Court St
Marion NC 28752-4043

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Colleen Radbill
4986 Sawgrass Pl NW
Acworth GA 30102-6476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Murphy
4106 Quintana St
Hyattsville MD 20782-2116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathleen Corby
58 Poplar Ave
Pine Plains NY 12567-5531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robin Dumler
41 Bramblewood Dr
Berlin MD 21811-1624

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Irvine
PO Box 113
Buckfield ME 04220-0113

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Scudere
2450 Madison Dr
East Meadow NY 11554-2605

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Grace
40 Osborne Rd
Albany NY 12205-3444

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Keith Johnson
810 Buckner Springs Rd
Siler City NC 27344-8219

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

C M
1551 SW 17th St
Boca Raton FL 33486-6507

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tina Brenza
6012 Paseo Palmilla
Goleta CA 93117-1717

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Selquist
1616 Town Road K
Florence WI 54121-8378

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Silvey
9263 78th Pl
Seminole FL 33777-4013

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane Pierce
4668 Charolais Dr
Ames IA 50014-9405

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sabina Yates
242 W K St
Benicia CA 94510-3122

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Drake
38038 Dundee Cmn
Fremont CA 94536-5126

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

N B
247 Nassau Blvd
New Hyde Park NY 11040-4114

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Church
14275 Princedale Dr
Woodbridge VA 22193-3461

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Harbaugh
50 Sierra Dr
Arden NC 28704-8554

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristi Turner
2901 N Seeley Ave Apt 2
Chicago IL 60618-8308

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debra Wollesen
8080 NW Grubstake Way
Redmond OR 97756-8096

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Redelisa Mendoza
798 NE 123rd St
North Miami FL 33161-5622

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brendalee Lennick
2517 Willamette Rd
Tallahassee FL 32303-3531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ralph Tuscher
11550 S Jackson Rd
Cement City MI 49233-9525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Herndon
3311 W Alameda Ave Apt F
Burbank CA 91505-4313

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Albert
56 Buena Vista Dr
Freedom CA 95019-2708

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Goldberg
712 Kingsley St Apt 313
Normal IL 61761-3540

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Zimmerman
946 Hyland Dr
Santa Rosa CA 95404-2230

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Dudzik
4918 Coyle Ave
Skokie IL 60077-3514

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claire Jacobsen
3121 21st St N
Arlington VA 22201-5107

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Derinda Nilsson Nilsson
309 Richardson Ave
Utica NY 13502-5942

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Burridge
190 Waterman Ave
East Providence RI 02914-3523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Junius Beebe Iii
13 Indian Trl
Scituate MA 02066-1006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vivian Slade
1029 Austin Ave NE
Atlanta GA 30307-1915

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sue Velez
911 Wills St
Delran NJ 08075-1425

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mitzi Miles
3536 Sierra Pl Apt D
Rapid City SD 57702-4919

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Boyer
135 Mosher Way
Palo Alto CA 94304-2418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susie Duff
PO Box 6314
Malibu CA 90264-6314

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Armando A. Garcia
946 Whimbrel Way
Perris CA 92571-7715

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Tober
82 Old Post Rd
Lancaster NY 14086-3263

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marylois Hilton
204 Condo Ct
Lebanon OH 45036-9555

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shelly Peterson
701 K Ave Apt 1
Anacortes WA 98221-1848

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jimmy Powell
4709 Este Ave
Cincinnati OH 45232-1414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Kavcak
7541 Somerset Shores Ct
Orlando FL 32819-7257

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Denise Hosta
12011 Rock Brook Run Apt 2008
Fort Myers FL 33913-6810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carl Stapler
104 Grand View Cir
Evanston WY 82930-4797

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nadia Franco
2929 Quail Valley Rd
Solvang CA 93463-9511

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Izabela Wnuk
5N280 Eagle Ter
Itasca IL 60143-2433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Kirchner
7510 Hope Farm Rd
Fort Wayne IN 46815-6566

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Caroline Divoky
1000 University Manor Dr
Fairfield IA 52556-2089

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Inger Acking
851 Jones St
Berkeley CA 94710-1844

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Carley
1831 N 19th St
Kansas City KS 66104-5710

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Unger
26755 Ruther Glen Rd
Ruther Glen VA 22546-3632

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patti Wermeling
3945 Landings Dr Unit F1
Fort Collins CO 80525-5925

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Veronique Sanson
1142 Hampton Way NE
Atlanta GA 30324-4712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Luwana Wanaisie
PO Box 306
Wallowa OR 97885-0306

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Graver
860 Sooy Place Rd
Vincentown NJ 08088-6914

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Waldron
6830 Lanc Circlvle Rd SW
Lancaster OH 43130-9544

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lawrence Frey
219 W Washington St
Villa Park IL 60181-2826

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patty McKinnon
1235 Setter Dr NE
Albuquerque NM 87112-6609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

D Price
1445 N State Pkwy Apt 2702
Chicago IL 60610-8513

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ernest Lee
220 Heater Rd
Lebanon NH 03766-1431

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Casey Jo Remy
1742 Days Creek Rd
Days Creek OR 97429-9712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roy Adsit
PO Box 6494
Portland OR 97228-6494

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa O'Rourke
1450 S Cooper Rd Apt 2120
Chandler AZ 85286-0236

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ron Nieberding
915 Franklin St Apt 406
San Francisco CA 94109-7704

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dennis McIntyre
11 Shorebreaker Dr
Laguna Niguel CA 92677-9365

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Lenck Bradford
11010 Alameda Dr
Norman OK 73026-8124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Rosen
25 Parade Pl Apt 1B
Brooklyn NY 11226-1017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Greg Paxton
189 E 3rd St Apt 1
New York NY 10009-7427

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mike Kutilek
601 S 15th St
San Jose CA 95112-2368

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Martin
4520 Yates Rd
Beltsville MD 20705-2629

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barry Krieger
12 Meloon Rd
Greenland NH 03840-2213

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily Withnall
424 Hastings Ave
Missoula MT 59801-5950

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Mcmanus
1800 Spruce St Apt 304
Berkeley CA 94709-1837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margery Gray
2256 18th Ave
San Francisco CA 94116-1829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Burke
16610 US Highway 101 N
Smith River CA 95567-9409

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jody Gibson
317 E Wall Ave
Des Moines IA 50315-5259

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Francis Wanderlich
510 Fellows St
Saint Charles IL 60174-4042

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Lindsey
8349 S Calumet Ave
Chicago IL 60619-4819

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Palos
1653 Watauga Ave Apt 306
Orlando FL 32812-2603

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Glenda Liling
395 Westchester Ave Apt 3N
Port Chester NY 10573-3631

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Fran Smith
2018 W Grace St # 1
Chicago IL 60618-4913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Denise Motta
8038 MacKenzie Rd
Saint Louis MO 63123-3519

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Griffith
1020 Kehoe Dr
Saint Charles IL 60174-3739

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Madeline Akers
1600 N Ridgewood Dr
Wichita KS 67208-2413

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Audrey Lasse
438 W Jefferson St
Oconomowoc WI 53066-3545

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marilyn Livingston
14799 E Augusta Dr
Augusta MI 49012-9227

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ashton Baney
7605 NW 5th Pl
Margate FL 33063-7499

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Reem Haddad
2610 Orchard Ct
La Verne CA 91750-4663

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Reem Haddad
2610 Orchard Ct
La Verne CA 91750-4663

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Nooney
47 Poni Pl
Paia HI 96779-9602

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Gallagher
15866 36th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park WA 98155-6620

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Utkarsh Nath
34462 Alberta Ter
Fremont CA 94555-2907

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Willow Alleen
1516 Ward Ave Apt 501
Honolulu HI 96822-3544

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Doran
430 E Maple St
Sequim WA 98382-3408

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Lewis
1471 Jewett St
Ann Arbor MI 48104-6207

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Leblanc
531 Dolores St # A
Santa Fe NM 87501-1815

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lawrence Olson
2321 Marye St
Alexandria LA 71301-5244

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

C. Saunders
123 Olcott St Apt 1
Lockport NY 14094-2473

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Maxwell
6084 Busch Blvd Apt 4
Columbus OH 43229-2517

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Brown
PO Box 1425
Evergreen CO 80437-1425

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louise Stark

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Pierson
PO Box 1153
Port Townsend WA 98368-0953

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Neville Bruce
1111 G St
Anchorage AK 99501-4350

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Helen Black
124 Cornell Ave
Elyria OH 44035-6036

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Crahan
6641 W 88th St
Los Angeles CA 90045-3731

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Paul
845 7th St S
Safety Harbor FL 34695-4208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Victoria Urias
14001 35th Ave NE
Seattle WA 98125-3705

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Norton
PO Box 4334
Ithaca NY 14852-4334

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bryer Marnin
2005 SW 35th St Unit 1204
Ankeny IA 50023-5910

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Matthew Boguske
8500 148th Ave NE Apt B1005
Redmond WA 98052-3495

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristen Garcia
20414 81st Ave W
Edmonds WA 98026-6716

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily Degn
514 Yorkshire St
Salem VA 24153-7033

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Max Feldman
924 16th ave e
Seattle WA 98112-3918

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin St
Clarkston WA 99403-2576

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Hinely
1510 W Main St
Sheridan OR 97378-1016

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peta Maree Lamb
1754 NE Mesford Rd Unit 88
Poulsbo WA 98370-8534

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claudia Parker
2412 Valley Forge Ave
Fort Collins CO 80526-5834

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Caryn Cowin
4015 Scenic River Ln Apt 231
Bakersfield CA 93308-7575

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vicki Wheeler
7146 County Road H
Deshler OH 43516-9798

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

M Chessin
4115 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle WA 98103-7017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Heidi Bean
13381 Magnolia Ave Spc 167
Corona CA 92879-1946

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Lamb
5017 Cedar Acres Ln
Langley WA 98260-9208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Larz Hitchcock
605 15th Ave E
Seattle WA 98112-4792

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Hoover
387 Nollwood Ln Apt A
Bremerton WA 98312-3263

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Rynes
570 Harlowe Ln
Naperville IL 60565-2006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Kelton
600 Carroll Ave
Laurel MD 20707-4018

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Schaffer
3618 Apollo St SE
Lacey WA 98503-7136

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Torchia
16234 NE 2nd St
Bellevue WA 98008-4414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carolyn Turner
7307 Huddleston Rd
Bailey NC 27807-7821

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cathy Williams
2249 E 2nd St
Tucson AZ 85719-4930

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Derzon
PO Box 322
Saranac Lake NY 12983-0322

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Godwin
2202 S Calle Mesa Del Oso
Tucson AZ 85748-8223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Andrew Arneson
4975 SW Jamieson Rd Apt A
Beaverton OR 97005-3541

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claire Watson
35 Glencoe Ave
Dayton OH 45410-1701

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

June & Ronald MacArthur
1045 Hillandale Dr E
Port Orchard WA 98366-3830

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marian Wenink
1034 Prestwick Ct
Clemmons NC 27012-9625

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Darrell Neft
3132 Madeira Ave
Costa Mesa CA 92626-2324

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Helmut Platzer
1843 W Navajo Way
Williams AZ 86046-8866

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Lakner
918 S I Oka Ave
Mount Prospect IL 60056-4217

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lee Margulies
32 Glenridge Ave
Stony Brook NY 11790-2402

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Brubaker
4076 Manayunk Ave
Philadelphia PA 19128-5104

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Anderson
59946 E Wren Cir
Oracle AZ 85623-0060

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa Bletsian
510 E 24th St Apt 302
Minneapolis MN 55404-3734

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark and Judy Harvey
209 Emerson Rd
Great Bend PA 18821-9534

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gloria Boyd
301 Buena Fortuna Cir
Atascadero CA 93422-8857

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cherie Swann
1014 Hummingbird Ln
Spring Hill TN 37174-8603

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ramon Bello
845 E 5th St
Hialeah FL 33010-4601

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Regena Bradeen
90 Elm St Apt 9
Waterville ME 04901-6029

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wendy Wish
1429 E Gore St
Orlando FL 32806-1417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steph Clarke
1888 Premier Pl
Concord CA 94520-4050

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maryann Stork
22 Cambridge Ct
Fairbury IL 61739-9557

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Briggs
46 20th Ct
Hermosa Beach CA 90254-2804

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ey Bro
34 Little River Rd
Berwick ME 03901-2523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Serazio
N1772 Hawks Nest Rd
Keshena WI 54135-9402

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Boris Dirnbach
6350 Lancaster Ave
Philadelphia PA 19151-2507

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christa Neuber
728 N Doheny Dr
West Hollywood CA 90069-5525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachele Mechem
101 California St
San Francisco CA 94111-5802

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anna Cowen
19308 Leland Rd
Oregon City OR 97045-8505

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anita Brown
1310 Forest Lakes Ave SE
Atlanta GA 30317-3235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Regina Wagner
1130 Carmel Dr
Dubuque IA 52003-7911

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Lichtenbert
5307 W Nelson St
Chicago IL 60641-4954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Therese Babineau
1259 Aloha Oe Dr
Kailua HI 96734-4504

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellen Levine
221 Mount Auburn St
Cambridge MA 02138-4874

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Shoemaker
172 N Esterly Ave
Whitewater WI 53190-1313

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Langstaff
1515 Carroll Dr
Altadena CA 91001-2618

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Frank Lewis
930 N Downing St
Denver CO 80218-3064

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tracey Smallwood
1322 Harwich Dr
Waldorf MD 20601-3322

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Dixon
1516 Silverleaf Ln
Concord CA 94521-3546

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ed Robertson
255 N Forest St Apt 410
Bellingham WA 98225-5833

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Martha O'Connor
9357 N 87th Way
Scottsdale AZ 85258-1932

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Gleason
1267 Palomino Rd
Fallbrook CA 92028-4243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Iszauk
208 Valleyview Dr
Mc Donald PA 15057-2619

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Jackson
6829 Roberts Dr
Woodridge IL 60517-1501

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Celeste Anacker
2814 Miradero Dr
Santa Barbara CA 93105-3024

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rob Cherwink
1515 Fowler Creek Rd
Sonoma CA 95476-6233

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Moody
629 Gravlee Ln
Birmingham AL 35206-1733

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terry Condon
5357 Sedona Creek Ct
Kissimmee FL 34758-1711

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kraig Schweiss
19720 Pennington Rd
Sterling IL 61081-9517

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christine Resch
1895 Redwood Dr
Whitehall PA 18052-4152

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Britt Salazar
3860 W El Camino Del Cerro
Tucson AZ 85745-9243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

DeLorse Lovelady
18622 66th Ave NE
Kenmore WA 98028-7945

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Zeman
11173 Galaxy Ln
Thonotosassa FL 33592-3332

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judson Wynne
661 Kiowa
Flagstaff AZ 86005-9549

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gerry Fitzgerald
1535 Center St
Sanibel FL 33957-4502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Abrams
401 S David Ln
Knoxville TN 37922-3206

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Murrock
405 N 18th Ave
Sturgeon Bay WI 54235-3219

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim Hartung
444 15th St
Santa Monica CA 90402-2232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Maynard
200 Hanover St Apt 514
Manchester NH 03104-6111

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Gazzola
8106 Beleza St
Navarre FL 32566-9212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeffrey Doerfer
8101 Mayo Dr Apt 305
Madison WI 53719-4503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Rojeski
2603 3rd St Apt 6
Santa Monica CA 90405-4130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Webb
25 Joseph St
Providence RI 02904-1649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kate Thompson
5315 Carmel Valley Rd
Carmel CA 93923-9553

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Blandford
2800 Randy St
Amarillo TX 79124-2309

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Dander
2 Hawthorne Pl
Boston MA 02114-2343

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Ann Gralinski
18 Westly St
West Warwick RI 02893-3530

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Newman
4625 NE 7th St
Des Moines IA 50313-2404

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Altemose
12791 Camellia Bay Dr E
Jacksonville FL 32223-3749

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kenneth Robertson
8315 NW Waukomis Dr
Kansas City MO 64151-1035

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barty Thompson
70 Ford Ln
Mohnton PA 19540-8429

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Thompson
23516 Shannondell Dr
Audubon PA 19403-5675

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Phylis Cohen
5535 Grand Ave S
Minneapolis MN 55419-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Theresa Deluca
17 Enmore Rd
Melrose MA 02176-3303

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Ciaccio
223 State Route 375
West Hurley NY 12491-5633

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melinda Svastisalee
6432 W 65th St
Chicago IL 60638-5102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eileen Fonferko
3345 Alfred Rd
North Port FL 34286-7489

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Annita Bowman
PO Box 184
Ontario OR 97914-0184

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Gorsetman
1610 149th St
Whitestone NY 11357-2523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul and Shannon Wiseman
3713 Oak Dr
Ypsilanti MI 48197-3793

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terrie Williams

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terrillyn Geer
36 Shore Dr
Pittsfield MA 01201-3029

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Stanton
454 Bills Rd
Macedon NY 14502-9324

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lana May
300 S Edward St
Mount Prospect IL 60056-3418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Grant Power
1765 Clinton St
Los Angeles CA 90026-4134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leah Berman
7200 Viewpoint Rd
Aptos CA 95003-3305

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomasin Kellermann
500 Mendon Rd Unit 111
Cumberland RI 02864-6223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leah Berman
7200 Viewpoint Rd
Aptos CA 95003-3305

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dixie Stevens
904 Terrace Dr
Park Hills KY 41011-2059

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Wilce
54 Fairfield Rd
Yonkers NY 10705-1707

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Smith
535 Mount Hope Ave Apt 311
Rochester NY 14620-2270

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeffrey Kramer
209 Mohawk Cir
Superior CO 80027-8604

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Proietta
1820 Napfle Ave
Philadelphia PA 19111-3423

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Price
14513 Gaylord St
Thornton CO 80602-7488

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Mrkvicka
341 Whitewater Ave
Fort Atkinson WI 53538-2253

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bettina Bowers
4905 Tanglewood Dr
Nashville TN 37216-1419

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Geahlen
PO Box 224
Marine IL 62061-0224

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Wilson
2412 N Aragon Ave
Kettering OH 45420-3712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elin Soderquist
4N945 Prairie Lakes Blvd
Saint Charles IL 60175-7938

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dmitry Landa
8330 98th St Apt 5G
Woodhaven NY 11421-1655

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lindsay Knights
PO Box 7447
Santa Cruz CA 95061-7447

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norman Illsley
907 Sailors Reef
Fort Collins CO 80525-3110

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tim Holder
7601 Quail Woods Rd
Wilmington NC 28411-7274

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jocelyn Boyce
PO Box 252
Port Salerno FL 34992-0252

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christopher Lee Nguyen
8837 Cypress Preserve Pl
Fort Myers FL 33912-0829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Hartgraves
8233 E Camino Herradura
Tucson AZ 85750-2925

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Holowczak
7937 W Fletcher St
Elmwood Park IL 60707-1033

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jorge Tamargo
9315 SW 43rd St
Miami FL 33165-5230

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Claesson
6200 Cowles Mountain Blvd
La Mesa CA 91942-1810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Ward
1057 Calle 8
San Juan PR 00927-5221

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jack Stapleton
574 S Fairfield Ave
Elmhurst IL 60126-3865

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Scott
PO Box 1213
Joshua Tree CA 92252-0810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roseann Santangelo
6675 N Gray Gos Rd
Chino Valley AZ 86323-9138

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Vasquez
3668 Silverstone Dr NE
Salem OR 97305-3062

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Cuci
11540 Brookwood Dr
Orland Park IL 60467-6065

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Lee
25 Pleasant St
Rockport MA 01966-2151

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steve Schueth
3600 N Lake Shore Dr Apt 1012
Chicago IL 60613-4644

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jacob Lang
2305 Las Colinas Ave
Los Angeles CA 90041-2919

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sylvain Beloin
5 Powder Mill Ln
North Granby CT 06060-1216

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jane Forbes
132 Country Estates Dr
Santa Cruz CA 95060-9776

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Sarelas
3860 Sugar Hill Rd
Ava IL 62907-2130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alan Chen
3333 Bagley Ave Apt 7
Los Angeles CA 90034-2837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sara Katz
627 14th St
Manhattan Beach CA 90266-4838

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Dexter
6065 Detrick Rd
Tipp City OH 45371

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arlene Sherman
140 Whitman Blvd
Elyria OH 44035-1724

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathi Ridgway
85 Covenant Way Apt 100
Canal Winchester OH 43110-1081

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Wettersten
88 Kendal Dr
Oberlin OH 44074-1904

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lowell Palm
419 5th St
Washington Court House OH 43160-2510

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kay L.
299 Juana Ave
San Leandro CA 94577-4838

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristine A.
48 Granger St Apt 2
Boston MA 02122-2859

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margie Hunter
109 Wilson Ave
Circleville OH 43113-1242

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brennor Masters
2328 Gibley Park Rd
Toledo OH 43617-2232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Loretta Olsen
9569 State Route 380
Wilmington OH 45177-9433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Meis
15357 Magnolia Blvd Apt 123
Sherman Oaks CA 91403-1275

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cody Curtis
482 E 9th Ave
Salt Lake City UT 84103-3153

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alex Howe
88 Holland Ln Apt 201
Williston VT 05495-7950

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gaetane Gonzales
3804 Palo Ct NE
Albuquerque NM 87110-1017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David J. Lafond
70 Brown Ave
Holyoke MA 01040-3502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cara Artman
12340 Betsy Ross Ln
Saint Louis MO 63146-4618

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Catherine Kittle
6602 14th Ave
Kenosha WI 53143-4957

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Gunther
5636 N Spaulding Ave Apt 1B
Chicago IL 60659-3676

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ken Stein
647 Flaming Star Ave
Thousand Oaks CA 91360-1522

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Meyer
4421 Baker Ave NW
Seattle WA 98107-4352

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mike Nielsen
1001 South Rd
Lisle IL 60532-2652

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eleanor Jones
2 Sacramento St Apt 2
Cambridge MA 02138-1887

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Feldman
137 Winchester Dr
Santa Rosa CA 95401-9137

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jordan Fouts
520 N Lafayette St
Denver CO 80218-3608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard & Carolyn Rosenstein
2194 Century HI
Los Angeles CA 90067-3502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J Diamond
195 W 10th St Apt 2C
New York NY 10014-6407

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Buhowsky
83 Tahoe Ct Unit 201
San Ramon CA 94582-4865

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ronald Ringler
12635 Main St
Garden Grove CA 92840-5271

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mardene Costa
4115 Bennett Ave
Gurnee IL 60031-3003

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Puett
3104 Mistyglenn Cir
Austin TX 78746-7811

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judy Fore
205 New Bern Ave
Black Mountain NC 28711-3330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Laman
4615 Winona Ave
San Diego CA 92115-3341

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Crawford
32721 5th Ave
Black Diamond WA 98010-9706

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arin Keshishian
630 N Louise St
Glendale CA 91206-2252

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Geri Collecchia
360 E South Water St Apt 518
Chicago IL 60601-4117

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lenore Rodah
334 Grace Dr
South Pasadena CA 91030-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Fisk
2105 Redwood Cir NE
Palm Bay FL 32905-4001

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Dlugosz
409 Compass Ave
Beachwood NJ 08722-4119

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Stober
3607 Timberoak Dr
Greensboro NC 27410-2142

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Evelyn Adams
4920 Pecan Place Dr
McKinney TX 75071-7030

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kim Irvin
754 Pleasant Ln
Menasha WI 54952-2440

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katarina Lang
3104 E Camelback Rd
Phoenix AZ 85016-4502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Rowe
4212 Rowell Ln
Abrams WI 54101-9748

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lauren Stone
PO Box 1476
Onset MA 02558-1476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Amy Newton
227 Martin Ave
Pittsburgh PA 15216-1611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anna Schwadron
265 Castleman Rd
Vestal NY 13850-6134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roger Kulp
110 Dallas St SE
Albuquerque NM 87108-3204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Freddie Sykes
656 Hurricane Loop
Tennessee Ridge TN 37178-5130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mecky & Jay Myers
228 N Irena Ave
Redondo Beach CA 90277-3243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Eckstein
9 Beverly Rd
Stanhope NJ 07874-2433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Tucker
1000 Carteret Ave Apt 1
Pueblo CO 81004-2467

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Myles Hunt
1624 Putnam Ave
Ridgewood NY 11385-3457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claudia Richner
2864 Rock Barn Dr
Kerrville TX 78028-8054

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol E Gentry
100 Silver Ave SW Apt 221
Albuquerque NM 87102-4013

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Naylor
PO Box 1749
Makawao HI 96768-1749

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bert Greenberg
6166 Montgomery Pl
San Jose CA 95135-1428

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Swan
313 Foote St NW
Olympia WA 98502-4921

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diana Schmidt
3644 Lake Ridge Rd
Fallbrook CA 92028-9104

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Beierl
5 Shetland Rd
Nashua NH 03062-3017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Casey
3316 Dartmouth Dr
Plano TX 75075-7920

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Mckeen
62 Dale St S
Saint Paul MN 55102-5617

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Fuerst
1632 NE Westwind Dr
Lees Summit MO 64086-5804

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jon Jarvis
1245 Agate Ave Apt 8
Bullhead City AZ 86442-5942

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeremiah Graff
2010 N 40th St
Sheboygan WI 53081-1746

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gabriella Brown
1980 N Milwaukee Ave Apt 519
Chicago IL 60647-1789

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

V. Evan
1335 W Rosedale Ave
Chicago IL 60660-3490

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sally Yost
6303 Blenheim Rd
Baltimore MD 21212-2502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Morneau
12174 145th Ln
Largo FL 33774-3354

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim & Diana Prola
2234 Belvedere Ave
San Leandro CA 94577-6554

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Grace Silva
7050 Babcock Ave
North Hollywood CA 91605-5337

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian Cohen
1706 Minstrel Dr
Las Vegas NV 89123-0317

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

T Gilbert
26099 Victoria Blvd
Capo Beach CA 92624-1133

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Dymesich
1700 Broadway St Apt 129
Concord CA 94520-2609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Campion
322 W Dudley Ave
Westfield NJ 07090-4020

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hod Gray
1000 E Maple Ave
Lompoc CA 93436-5440

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carrie Darling
18032 N 2nd St
Phoenix AZ 85022-1508

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Tann
14 Painted Trillium Trl
Black Mountain NC 28711-5525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Silvestris
201 Providence St Apt 102
Worcester MA 01607-1184

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bart Ryan
245 Winter St
Waltham MA 02451-8777

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Ericson
588 Radcliffe Ave
Pacific Palisades CA 90272-4329

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maureen O'Neal
9100 SW 80th Ave
Portland OR 97223-8981

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peggy Fugate
6685 Stillwell Beckett Rd
Oxford OH 45056-9246

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John McKee
6667 Wilbur Ave Unit 36
Reseda CA 91335-5170

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peggy Walters
2437 Tartarian Way
Union City CA 94587-4331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Davis
3634 Juniata St
Saint Louis MO 63116-4810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

George Phillips
101 Boyd Dr Apt 2D
Flat Rock NC 28731-8785

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alexandra Zeledon
6680 SW 20th St
Plantation FL 33317-5105

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Larry Gioannini
205 Hoagland Rd
Las Cruces NM 88005-1416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Juan Martinez
1062 E 38th St
Brooklyn NY 11210-4416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Wagner
1960 Aspen Cir SE
Albany OR 97322-5102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Fred Torney
2113 W Cluster Ave
Tampa FL 33604-5318

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anita Bryant
2110 NE Park Rd
Seattle WA 98105-2422

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Chinofsky
422 Wendy Rd
Southampton PA 18966-3521

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rick Swope
8903 SW 178th Ter
Palmetto Bay FL 33157-5924

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennie Cohen
2060 Layton St
Pasadena CA 91104-1707

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Bayouth
401 N Crestway St
Wichita KS 67208-3845

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bj Trivedi
5146 NW 22nd Dr
Gainesville FL 32605-5480

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James M. Kozlik
3530 81st St Apt 5H
Jackson Heights NY 11372-5021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leslie Graff
516 Solar Rd
Oldtown ID 83822-5116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Craig Drew
1034 Stone Ledge Ln
Chattanooga TN 37421-4769

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Humphrey
1001 W 4th Ave Apt C107
Kennewick WA 99336-7508

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

R F
1437 W Mountain Ave
Fort Collins CO 80521-2305

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Ludi
2035 Azalea Dr
Roswell GA 30075-4750

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Phyllis Ginsberg
5109 Chesterwood Way
Somerset NJ 08873-5906

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa Abreu
8405 SW 156th St
Palmetto Bay FL 33157-2164

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roger Schmidt
1715 Linnerud Dr Unit 1
Sun Prairie WI 53590-1187

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leonard Cork
4930 S Langley Ave
Chicago IL 60615-2571

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Sandstrom
2608 Oakland Ave
Minneapolis MN 55407-1004

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

bernardo mujica
133 Sioux St
Sioux City IA 51103-4950

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Flavia Brizio-Skov
4800 Farland Dr
Knoxville TN 37909-1312

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Noah Youngelson
2449 Walgrove Ave
Los Angeles CA 90066-4134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carmen Dello Buono
5770 Winfield Blvd Spc 166
San Jose CA 95123-2424

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robin Kratschmer
2606 Missouri St
Billings MT 59102-1824

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marjorie Lewis
3040 Commercial Ave
Madison WI 53704-4306

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lane Lucht
1225 E Westview Ct Apt 633
Spokane WA 99218-3820

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Serena Levingston
6909 Henley St
Philadelphia PA 19119-3415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Rossman
36 Gould St
Newport RI 02840-2102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug Bender
261 Vista Del Parque
Redondo Beach CA 90277-6116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norbert Langer
148 Gettysburg Way
Lincoln Park NJ 07035-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gennaro Delucia
12 Landry Rd
Somerset NJ 08873-2243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roseann Santangelo
6675 N Gray Gos Rd
Chino Valley AZ 86323-9138

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Niemeir
5519 E 2nd St
Tucson AZ 85711-1412

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deborah Walden
259 S Rock River Rd
Diamond Bar CA 91765-1558

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Priscilla Skerry
95 Emery St
Portland ME 04102-3781

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patty Buttlere
23 Autumn Ln
Streamwood IL 60107-2886

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sylvia De Baca
718 Via Los Santos
San Dimas CA 91773-3151

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adrienne Doherty
PO Box 241
Lake Forest IL 60045-0241

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gregory Rost
1541 Rosita Dr
Las Vegas NV 89123-1457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Goodson
12893 Island Cir # 36
Clearlake Oaks CA 95423-8415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Glatt
573 Tamarind Ln
Buellton CA 93427-6821

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Kahigian
8 Country Hill Ln
Haverhill MA 01832-1269

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Hayes
385 Leif Cir
Crescent City CA 95531-8111

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dena Turner
1122 SE 60th Ave
Portland OR 97215-2805

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lori Parkinson
11 Norton Rd
Lexington MA 02420-2910

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle Lemp
173 Bolling Cir
Novato CA 94949-4531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gayle Countryman-Mills
11906 Oden Ct
Rockville MD 20852-4341

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Ryan
18001 La Tung Rd # 1800
Covington LA 70435-8167

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Dare
108 N Auburndale St Apt 721
Memphis TN 38104-6409

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tim Lippert
13908 Chalk Hill Pl
Riverview FL 33579-2405

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Rumble
620 S Majestic View Dr
Post Falls ID 83854-9491

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Hanna
6974 Feldspar Pl
Carlsbad CA 92009-5212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Nardo
9072 Woodlawn Dr
Mechanicsville VA 23116-5426

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Rakaczky
816 19th St
Sparks NV 89431-4312

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Abendroth
PO Box 203
Bloomingdale NY 12913-0203

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Woodmansee
172 N Tigertail Rd
Los Angeles CA 90049-2706

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Kline
964 Lamberton St
Trenton NJ 08611-3412

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Bandt
16120 Rosewood St
Stilwell KS 66085-9370

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dara Alexander
PO Box 150395
San Rafael CA 94915-0395

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Ellsworth
61 Rosehip Rd
Eastsound WA 98245-8966

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Yessenia Quintero
8125 San Carlos Ave
South Gate CA 90280-2431

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Carney
1124 W Gramercy Pl
San Antonio TX 78201-5216

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Virginia Leslie
107 Jacklin Cir
Milpitas CA 95035-3532

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deirdre Downey
328 Gold St
Juneau AK 99801-1127

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wayne Myers
17 New Jersey
Irvine CA 92606-1780

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Marshall
7942 Venice Heights Dr NE
Warren OH 44484-1510

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dale Peterson
2506 10th St
Berkeley CA 94710-2520

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angela Ford
PO Box 26294
Knoxville TN 37912-9594

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jason Saville
59 Alpine Ave
Queensbury NY 12804-6002

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Murphy
3005 Taft St
Wall Township NJ 07719-4325

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephan Lehmann
11 Bourne Hay Rd
Sandwich MA 02563-2762

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Armington
7272 Willson Rd
Henrico VA 23231-5844

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Fjsdakfl Alfsdjkfla
123 E Main St
El Cajon CA 92020-3909

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Goodwin
6944 W Paradise Ln
Peoria AZ 85382-3935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Pirtle-Connelly
1803 Winans Ave
Baltimore MD 21227-4438

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim Coogan
8780 W Fairview Ave
Littleton CO 80128-8204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane Meyer
3339 Rickman Ave NE
Grand Rapids MI 49525-2639

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susanna Stone
5 Barberry Ln
Middle Island NY 11953-1503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dolores Pino
4725 N Lawndale Ave
Chicago IL 60625-5823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jenna Miller
7707 Rachael Whitney Ln
Alexandria VA 22315-3738

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jana Segal
4743 E Mabel St
Tucson AZ 85712-4719

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Schrader
1000 S 14th Ave W
Newton IA 50208-5232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily Grandy
1982 N Prospect Ave Apt 3C
Milwaukee WI 53202-1476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Kozinski
3729 S Packard Ave
St Francis WI 53235-4331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maria De La Rosa-Young
1033 Hinman Ave
Evanston IL 60202-1318

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Barbieri
1706 E Providence Ave
Milwaukee WI 53211-2813

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Theresa Lehman
1173 Ava Ct
Neenah WI 54956-1897

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charlotte Serazio
175 N 66th St
Milwaukee WI 53213-4066

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Serazio
N1772 Hawks Nest Rd
Keshena WI 54135-9402

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Emrich
1010 E Washington Ave
Madison WI 53703-3130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Yvonne Christison
2023 Frontenac Ave
Stevens Point WI 54481-4125

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Weitzer
W161N6298 Claremore Cir
Menomonee Falls WI 53051-5736

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jean-Francois Fauconnier
1200 Main St
Stevens Point WI 54481-2863

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chris Storter
N6284 County Road D
Arkansas WI 54721-9454

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Logan
2726 Carter Ave
Erie PA 16506-2120

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandy Brooks
1402 S River Rd
Buffalo City WI 54622-7222

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

George & Marilyn Ash
502 Apollo Way Apt 111
Madison WI 53718-2999

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Summer Stevens
PO Box 177
Colton WA 99113-0177

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leigh Begalske
1823 Fiesta Ln
Green Bay WI 54302-2225

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dave Fallow
102 Leon St
Madison WI 53714-2237

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Brim
2529 Burnside Rd
Johnstown OH 43031-9594

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lester Miller
3143 W Villa Dr
Franklin WI 53132-7705

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

sharon vorwalske
2102 Aspen Rd
Madison WI 53711-4309

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Devin Benson
2209 Park Ave
La Crosse WI 54601-5960

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chris Casper
1600 Sherman Ave Apt 105
Stevens Point WI 54481-7210

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chuck Shiebler
3327 Buhrmill Rd
Reynoldsburg OH 43068-7252

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynne Nittler
2441 Bucklebury Rd
Davis CA 95616-3089

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellen Parker
5708 Pheasant Ln
La Crosse WI 54601-2256

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joy Morgen
1901 Dondee Rd
Madison WI 53716-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Frank Gonzales
3933 E Cudahy Ave
Cudahy WI 53110-1235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa Bey
2848 Mary St
Stevens Point WI 54481-4816

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Schill
171 S 6th Ave
Lucinda PA 16235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carina Zevely
4905 NW Shaniko Ct
Portland OR 97229-2134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Gentry
52579 Sawmill Creek Dr
Macomb MI 48042-5678

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cindy Page
4795 Michelle Ln
Palm Harbor FL 34684-1063

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle Trosper
12219 NE 180th St
Battle Ground WA 98604-9235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sara Lee
9302 Alexa Ct
Manassas Park VA 20111-3023

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arden Green
92 Castlewynd Ct
Lake St Louis MO 63367-4386

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judy Dugan
PO Box 968
Seabrook NH 03874-0968

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debby Roegner
5726 Debbie Ln
West Bend WI 53095-9134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Seborowski
425 Rogers St
Madison WI 53703-3784

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Koeller
931 S Lafayette St
Shawano WI 54166-3009

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Hall-Taylor
19 Cape Jellison Rd
Stockton Springs ME 04981-4349

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hunter Klapperich
612 Park Ave
Stanley WI 54768-1159

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ji Montgomery
2 N Stoner Ave
Shiremanstown PA 17011-6340

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jess Haven
410 N Paterson St Apt 1
Madison WI 53703-1596

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joyce Frohn
425 Congress Ave
Oshkosh WI 54901-2967

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Peterson
404 Woodlark Ct
Indian Trail NC 28079-6548

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Britton Saunders
2975 S Wentworth Ave
Milwaukee WI 53207-2547

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Fetting
5025 N Woodruff Ave
Whitefish Bay WI 53217-5635

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ronda Conner
830 Burr Oak Ln
Madison WI 53713-1228

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Lyne
4345 Keating Ter
Madison WI 53711-1563

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kaitlyn Kittell
1201 Trailside Ter
Seymour WI 54165-1258

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gregory Chandler Jr
2506 Guenevere Ave SE
Huntsville AL 35803-1814

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jolie June Jacobus
226 W Mill St
Columbus WI 53925-1525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aleasa Crary
313 Pawling St
Madison WI 53704-5017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alan Jasper
5243 Poppy Pl Apt D
Delray Beach FL 33484-2726

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Agape
873 Spencerport Rd
Rochester NY 14606-4821

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily St. Onge
1102 N High Point Rd Apt 102
Madison WI 53717-2245

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Collins
6685 W Farm Road 44
Willard MO 65781-9649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Phalen
4224 Brookside Ave
Cincinnati OH 45223-2102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penelope Andrews
17 Copper Rdg
Hermon ME 04401-0567

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Dillon
1701 5th St SW
Willmar MN 56201-4179

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elimaris Gonzalez
155 Pine View Xing
Pooler GA 31322-9708

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Selena Ambush
3017 Bloomsbury Dr
Kissimmee FL 34747-1616

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Waine
80 School St
Taunton MA 02780-3119

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Rieckmann
W3268 Buffalo Hills Rd
Pardeeville WI 53954-9628

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Annie Jo
404 Robertson Ave Unit A
Charlottesville VA 22903-3649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jane Shippy
517 Fieldcrest Ave
Stevens Point WI 54481-4316

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debra Pedersen
8249 State Road 38
Caledonia WI 53108-9608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Scott Crockett
PO Box 810
Florence OR 97439-0033

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Danny Shelley
505 SW 139th Ave
Beaverton OR 97006-5840

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Chasteen
26 Foster Ln
Wentzville MO 63385-1935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Dubois
8352 Starkland Ave
West Hills CA 91304-3049

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jean Ross
3624 Bryant Ave S
Minneapolis MN 55409-1018

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Mike Campbell
410 Prospectors Pt
Grand Junction CO 81507-1580

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Chevalier
2101 Valley Rd Spc 8
Willits CA 95490-9759

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Addis
10200 Ivy Hollow Dr
Knoxville TN 37931-3145

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dave Hadden
1921 Gates Ave
Ridgewood NY 11385-2434

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kimm Carter
24989 Woodland Ave
Veneta OR 97487-9760

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nicole Dixon
PO Box 356
Hamden OH 45634-0356

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Ann Viveros
1269 Washington Blvd
Mayfield Hts OH 44124-1625

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deborah Kramer
9914 St Helens Ave
Vancouver WA 98664-4158

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cliff Joseph
10137 S Avenue M # 1
Chicago IL 60617-5925

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Delores Coe
2189 Pawnee Dr SE
Marietta GA 30067-7311

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Haug
168305 River Rd
Ringle WI 54471-5869

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aileen Renner
187 Edison St
Staten Island NY 10306-3237

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Randy Derhammer
413 Olive St
Paso Robles CA 93446-3116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Juanita Ryan
5704 Lancashier Ct
Fitchburg WI 53711-6504

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tonya Green
605 SW 34th St
Oklahoma City OK 73109-2523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Lockett
2181 NE Eton Ln
Bremerton WA 98311-9529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Lockett
2181 NE Eton Ln
Bremerton WA 98311-9529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bryn Frederickson
320 W Lincoln Hwy
Coatesville PA 19320-3021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lori Wilson-Hopkins
12070 Peregrine Way
Auburn CA 95603-5913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tom Tripp
3839 Bonneymoore Dr
Fort Collins CO 80524-6415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Catherine Rivera
5390 Woodland Lakes Dr Apt 401
Palm Beach Gardens FL 33418-3959

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda James
1915 19th Ave
Vero Beach FL 32960-3539

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Deflorio
626 May St
River Vale NJ 07675-5611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tara Mudry
1835 Timberlake Ct
Bellingham WA 98229-8914

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gregory Dudley
23 Harbour Isle Dr W Unit 103
Fort Pierce FL 34949-2775

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leigh Begalske
1823 Fiesta Ln
Green Bay WI 54302-2225

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Liz Hickerson
15808 27th Ave N
Plymouth MN 55447-1928

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emma Vinciguerra
1444 Rogers St
Clearwater FL 33756-6183

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kim Bigley
1202 E 23rd St
Houston TX 77009-1706

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rama Bharadwaj
465 W Grand Ave
Port Washington WI 53074-2143

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mildred Headdy
2734 Seaspray St
Sarasota FL 34231-6140

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

D Weamer
4803 Knox St
Shawnee Mission KS 66203-1208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sierra Mcelyea
1250 Galapago St
Denver CO 80204-3588

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane Kent
23733 N Scottsdale Rd Unit 1021
Scottsdale AZ 85255-3792

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louisa Solari
1515 NE 128th St
North Miami FL 33161-5223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sherril Gerell
6626 241st Ave E
Buckley WA 98321-8789

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marianne Lazarus
700 Trotter Ln Apt 205
Melbourne FL 32940-8213

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Valerie Sanderson
466 S Harrison St
Thompson IA 50478-5008

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ingrid Brown
29 Lansdown St
Wichita KS 67220-4906

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

George Mackison
200 Leslie Dr Apt 430
Hallandale Beach FL 33009-7314

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patriciaroche Roche
559 Embassy Pkwy Apt 5
Mountain Home AR 72653-4300

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary McGilvra
2309 SW 1st Ave Apt 342
Portland OR 97201-5039

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Lucas
422 N Henry St Apt C
Madison WI 53703-1819

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maureen Burke
16 Lexington Ln W
Palm Beach Gardens FL 33418-7107

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

R Bloom
35 Scott St
Massapequa Park NY 11762-3539

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tiffany Snyder
175 S 35th St
Boulder CO 80305-5434

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debra Carter
303 Druid Hills Rd
Temple Terrace FL 33617-4126

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Victoria Olson
4170 NW 11th Ave
Oakland Park FL 33309-4611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marcelo Vazquez
230 N Oates St
Dothan AL 36303-4509

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Cope
300 Canterbury Ln
Medina OH 44256-4031

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dorothy Salvato
771 Hill Rd
Clinton ME 04927-3523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tyler Kindschuh
134 Forest Ln
Garner NC 27529-7661

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Virginia Bottorff
821 E Brighton Ave Apt 1502
Syracuse NY 13205-2515

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shannon Tausch
845 Neff Rd
Grosse Pointe MI 48230-1274

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeanie Chang
1000 Emerald Hills Ct
El Dorado Hills CA 95762-4002

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nyla Bissram
390 Grimsby St
Staten Island NY 10306-5830

I'm writing to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nora Wesley
661 Oxford Oaks Ln
Oxford MI 48371-4234

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellyn Gillespie
6364 S Atlantic Ave
New Smyrna Beach FL 32169-4703

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janet Draper
8902 Macywood Ln
Richland MI 49083-8564

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bobette Plendl
4821 Black Forest Ln
Everett WA 98203-3239

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christine Hemphill
1015 N M St
Lake Worth FL 33460-2245

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Collins
1935 Nault Rd
Dover DE 19904-5823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Koons
2221 Patapsco Rd
Finksburg MD 21048-1116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Grenard
12810 N Cave Creek Rd Apt 105
Phoenix AZ 85022-5880

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Johnson
5485 Spring Hill Rd
Newark OH 43056-9091

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nick Barcott
1318 N Lake Stickney Dr
Lynnwood WA 98087-2029

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gerald Lee
2370 Canoe Circle Dr
Lake Orion MI 48360-1881

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elise L
110 Hudson St
Raleigh NC 27608-2432

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Hamilton
433 Anchor Ln Apt 206
West Sacramento CA 95605-3227

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Casey
3316 Dartmouth Dr
Plano TX 75075-7920

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alice Gard
1705 Gordon Dr
Naples FL 34102-7553

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adam Savett
31760 Woodsdale Ln
Solon OH 44139-1325

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Calderon
2807 Waters Edge Cir
Greenacres FL 33413-2158

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Liina Laufer
1625 20th Ave
San Francisco CA 94122-3435

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Zarett
1300 S Ocean Blvd
Pompano Beach FL 33062-6900

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal L. Grillo
1888 N Water St Apt 504
Milwaukee WI 53202-1569

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kermit Cuff
338 Mariposa Ave Apt 2
Mountain View CA 94041-1160

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Mutschler
709 SW 88th Ave Apt 427
Pembroke Pines FL 33025-4668

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ned Overton
16 Renown St
Lake Grove NY 11755-2014

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sharon Sootin
255 SW 8th St
Dania FL 33004-3906

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gordon Scott
2410 NW 117th Pl
Branford FL 32008-7166

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Suzan Robbins
762 N Dix St Sonny's Shdwchsr's Anmlresq
Paulding OH 45879-1040

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Darrin Mckeehen
1015 Ibis Rd
Jacksonville FL 32216-2619

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bruce Wheeler
1201 King George Blvd Apt 53
Savannah GA 31419-8704

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Bembenek
748 36 1/2 Ave NE
Minneapolis MN 55418-1257

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Loui
2255 Showers Dr Apt 121
Mountain View CA 94040-1278

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Halliday
750 Division St Unit 232
The Dalles OR 97058-5410

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Winston Huang
220 Valley West Ct
West Des Moines IA 50265-3927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Winston Huang
220 Valley West Ct
West Des Moines IA 50265-3927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maria Szokolai
14210 Parkvale Rd
Rockville MD 20853-2528

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela & Carl Lechner
5290 State Route 534
Windsor OH 44099-9728

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Meade
115 N Pennsylvania Ave
Apollo PA 15613-1353

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Rhoda
PO Box 58
Hiram ME 04041-0058

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dawn Odonnell
54 Bumphill Rd
Greenfield Center NY 12833-1017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Windberg
4806 Roundup Trl
Austin TX 78745-1633

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathleen Caldwell
2319 White Cross Rd
Chapel Hill NC 27516-9731

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Chapman Burson
10906 Thorncliff Dr
Humble TX 77396-2479

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Struthers Malbon
1391 Breckenridge Dr
Blacksburg VA 24060-8617

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donald Henrich
11 Glen Rd
Dedham MA 02026-5215

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Palmeta Baier
29330 Lake Way
Kirksville MO 63501-8415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathryn Kassner
362 Fitzsimmons Rd
Red Hook NY 12571-4055

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Naomi Weisman
7318 Greentree Rd
Bethesda MD 20817-1552

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brennor Masters
2328 Gibley Park Rd
Toledo OH 43617-2232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jamie Fillmore
15739 NW Rondos Dr
Portland OR 97229-8985

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ira Kriston
900 Chicago Ave Unit 713
Evanston IL 60202-4556

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Ringle
7113 Heather Rd
Macungie PA 18062-9432

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Sheard
20220 SW Nancy Ln
Beaverton OR 97007-6083

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Moseman
522 Shore Rd Apt 3LL
Long Beach NY 11561-4567

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Walt Luerken
142 Woodward Ave
Seekonk MA 02771-2917

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brigid Vele
134 Lyman Rd
East Patchogue NY 11772-6212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Vaughan
4740 N Paseo Aquimuri
Tucson AZ 85750-1615

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lawrence Bogolub
1424 Lincoln Ave
Saint Paul MN 55105-2216

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alleia Bakker
1752 Vinton Ave
Memphis TN 38104-5140

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda Evans
3806 Hillside Dr
Sapulpa OK 74066-8943

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Regina Brooks
17 Wallace Rd
Pittsburgh PA 15209-2015

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ken Funabashi
5723 Dimes Rd
Derwood MD 20855-1726

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Oberdorf
58 Ann Lee Ln
Tamarac FL 33319-2466

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Lombardi
19 Morning Glory Ln
Levittown PA 19054-2023

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jimmy Powell
4709 Este Ave
Cincinnati OH 45232-1414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Flint Sheffield
1318 E St Apt 801
Sacramento CA 95814-1469

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Morales
330 W Highway 246 Spc 46
Buellton CA 93427-9714

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sarah Hearon
PO Box 3587
Santa Barbara CA 93130-3587

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephan Donovan
11900 N Labyrinth Dr
Oro Valley AZ 85737-3451

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Colleen Carroll
14503 Mecca Pl
Tampa FL 33625-6608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marla Shrock
5750 Friars Rd
San Diego CA 92110-1852

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Foley
225 Munger Hill Rd # 225225
Westfield MA 01085-4591

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Resa Blatman
42 Bartlett St
Somerville MA 02145-3604

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carole Matthews
3098 Church Dr # RR2
Pittston Twp PA 18640-9633

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Waterworth
3652 S Perth Cir Unit 104
Aurora CO 80013-7503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Douglas Whitehead
104 Linden Ave
Glen Burnie MD 21061-2414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kim Smith
405 Files Creek Rd
Beverly WV 26253-4699

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marge Barry
866 Central Ave
Pittsburg CA 94565-2757

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Allen
4317 N 14th St
Carter Lake IA 51510-1065

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rutherford Charlot
10914 Farmers Blvd
Saint Albans NY 11412-1063

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Supporter Unknown
266 N Plain Rd
Housatonic MA 01236-9720

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Curia
2048 N 68th Pl
Scottsdale AZ 85257-2637

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bruce Cratty
1968 Ayers Ave
Akron OH 44313-7204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dave Searles
804 17th St
Brodhead WI 53520-1760

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louisa Gauerke
3821 Lincoln High Pl
Los Angeles CA 90031-2857

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Dr
Shavano Park TX 78231-1519

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meredith West
911 N Wood St
Chicago IL 60622-5005

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

B McClintock
1450 Ala Moana Blvd
Honolulu HI 96814

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Buchholz
2043 S 84th St
West Allis WI 53227-1703

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mahleen B.
8 Susan Pl
Edison NJ 08817-2823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vira Confectioner
PO Box 374
Sunol CA 94586-0374

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa Paige
20 E 35th St Apt 4L
New York NY 10016-0223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gisela Schloss-Birkholz
102 Cedar St
Roswell GA 30075-1139

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terry & Martin Horwitz
1326 23rd Ave
San Francisco CA 94122-1608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penny Porter
1312 Jackson St Apt 15
San Francisco CA 94109-3242

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Brei
1350 Kimberly Ridge Rd Apt 214
Bettendorf IA 52722-4188

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Hobart
PO Box 307
Rolla MO 65402-0307

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sylvana Arguello
6320 SW 138th Ct Apt 304
Miami FL 33183-2074

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Teri Yazdi
51 Winding Way
San Carlos CA 94070-2812

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Beth Herndobler
572 Sinaloa Ave
Pasadena CA 91106-1319

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

DP Thornton
7646 S Bishop St
Chicago IL 60620-4125

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard McCombs
204 Sea Crest Pl
Otter Rock OR 97369-9761

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Heinrichs
885 Sierra Vista Dr Apt 207
Yreka CA 96097-2652

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lee Meadows
4920 Helaman Ct
Sacramento CA 95841-4713

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda Lewis
539 Antoine Creek Rd
Chelan WA 98816-8609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Scott Vickers
1440 N Franklin St
Denver CO 80218-2410

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margaret Rasmussen
5831 Audubon Dr
Pensacola FL 32504-7327

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Crenshaw
7126 N 19th Ave Unit 123
Phoenix AZ 85021-8523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Virgene Link-New
2004 10th St
Anacortes WA 98221-1422

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Kaufman
637 N Dellrose Ave
Wichita KS 67208-3437

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Fuerst
1632 NE Westwind Dr
Lees Summit MO 64086-5804

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Russell Ziegler
22 61st St Apt W
Downers Grove IL 60516-2032

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dean Webb
4522 36th Ave W
Seattle WA 98199-1154

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Audrey Benedict
8463 Etiwanda Ave Apt C
Rancho Cucamonga CA 91739-9200

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Monica Mabry
1507 Prince St
Conway AR 72034-3956

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ted Scherff
76 Summerfield Blvd
Bowling Green OH 43402-8809

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christel Markevich
5570 Magnolia Dr
Nederland CO 80466-9609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Perry Gx
14312 Franklin Ave
Tustin CA 92780-7011

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Allen
445 Monument Rd Apt 1203
Jacksonville FL 32225-6457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margaret Verellen
3542 Cayuga
Flagstaff AZ 86005-4004

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle McDowell
9200 Mulholland Dr
Grand Forks ND 58201-3102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tina And David Pirazzi
445 Los Altos Ave
Long Beach CA 90814-1934

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemarie Garczynski
N6149 Shaw Hill Rd
Beaver Dam WI 53916-8924

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Lull
614 W 8th St
Claremont CA 91711-4212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jessica Andrews
6825 N Chaparral Ave
Tucson AZ 85718-1207

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dawn Albanese
156 Basswood Dr
Elk Grove Village IL 60007-1718

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marilyn Mueller
6041 Coventry Cir
Alpharetta GA 30004-7415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Huggins
206 E Connie St
Lansing KS 66043-1186

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Yeomans
153 S Spring St # 48640
Midland MI 48640-9025

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Bye
42843 W Sandpiper Dr
Maricopa AZ 85138-3984

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brit Rosso
8629 S Triangle K Ranch Pl
Vail AZ 85641-8932

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alex Stavis
65 E 96th St Apt 9A
New York NY 10128-0776

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Amaryntha Schalin
1113 Johnstown Rd
Chesapeake VA 23322-8812

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kenneth Large
125 S Laurel St
Royal Oak MI 48067-2418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jordan Neiman
2553 Canyon Dr
Los Angeles CA 90068-2415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jesse Kirkham
370 Kaymar Dr
Danville IN 46122-8503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Earl Poteet
753 S Tejon Ave
Pueblo West CO 81007-3287

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Heather Florian
557 E Park Ave Apt 2
Chippewa Falls WI 54729-3536

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kenneth Althiser
38920 Newberry St
Cherry Valley CA 92223-3658

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Force
420 N Pine St
Ukiah CA 95482-4338

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Drew Simrin
104 Maynard Ave
Eugene OR 97404-2841

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Brooker
319 Megans Bay Ln
Charleston SC 29492-8512

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nathan Jimenez
2438 SE 41st Ave Apt 209
Portland OR 97214-5970

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Han
16 Eastbury Ct
Ann Arbor MI 48105-1403

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

dc katten
41667 N 51st St
Cave Creek AZ 85331-8436

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tamara McCreedy
6278 Cynthia St
Simi Valley CA 93063-4330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diana Banducci
2626 N Lakeview Ave Apt 309
Chicago IL 60614-1809

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Middour
35486 Poor House Ln
Round Hill VA 20141-1829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terry Akana
91-1053 Maulihiwa St
Kapolei HI 96707-2915

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maggie Hodges
684 Hillsborough St
Oakland CA 94606-1010

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Stake
1155 E Tuckey Ln
Phoenix AZ 85014-1267

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Strom
1229 Hague Ave
Saint Paul MN 55104-6416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patrick Martin
1034 W Oak St
Fort Collins CO 80521-2409

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Annie O'Connor
20 Pleasant St
Southampton MA 01073-9557

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sarah Hafer
12111 NE 4th St
Vancouver WA 98684-5913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barri Baas
3343 Pine Ave
Long Beach CA 90807-4415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meaghan Leavitt
2601 53rd St N
Saint Petersburg FL 33710-3449

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meg Nielsen
5707 Wisconsin St
McFarland WI 53558-9568

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bob Lichenbert
5307 W Nelson St
Chicago IL 60641-4954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellen Koivisto
1556 Great Hwy Apt 101
San Francisco CA 94122-2856

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ramona Kime
2020 Flanders Rd
Charlotte MI 48813-9307

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Scout Perry
86 Corey Rd
Boston MA 02135-8102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Matthew Wolff
3471 Doris Rd
Cleveland OH 44111-2975

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Smith
1755 Kolob Dr
Fairfield CA 94534-2922

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marjorie Falk
28 Allegheny Ave Ste 1202
Towson MD 21204-3919

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Hoogerhyde
58 Misty Way
Lamoine ME 04605-4498

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Josephson
1040 University Ave
Berkeley CA 94710-2158

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Trasoff
2447 Crestline St
Ferndale WA 98248-9224

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Turner
724 Sampson Ave
Phillipsburg NJ 08865-4440

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa McWhorter
810 E 32nd Ave
Eugene OR 97405-4204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert McFarland
1402 S 17th Ave
Hollywood FL 33020-6531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Suzanna Feitler
5912 Springlake Rd NW
Canton OH 44718-1169

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Sloan
10376 Almayo Ave Apt 5
Los Angeles CA 90064-2679

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Malkin
4123 Oroville Ct
Fremont CA 94555-3312

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Doran
430 E Maple St
Sequim WA 98382-3408

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jacob Thomas
PO Box 433
Bellevue ID 83313-0433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Ronconi
8835 W 143rd Ter
Overland Park KS 66221-9507

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Notestine
6432 E Brian Kent
Tucson AZ 85710-1108

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Suzanne Licht
3831 Stephen M White Dr
San Pedro CA 90731-7027

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Russ Ziegler
22 61st St
Downers Grove IL 60516-2032

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Catherine Atherton
1161 Amherst Ave Apt 302
Los Angeles CA 90049-5893

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wendy Wish
1429 E Gore St
Orlando FL 32806-1417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Francine Brown
630 Malcolm X Blvd Apt 17S
New York NY 10037-1257

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leeallen Meyer
170 Bunker Hill St
Boston MA 02129-2538

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eileen Wagner
57 Brookside Ave Unit 10
Boston MA 02130-2694

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meredith Diamond
870 Cardova Dr NE
Atlanta GA 30324-4248

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Baldwin
1726 Bingham Cir
Hebron KY 41048-8330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Isham
2723 72nd Street Ct W
Bradenton FL 34209-5327

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christina Bulskov
409 Requeza St Apt D4
Encinitas CA 92024-3718

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jo Ann Johnson
PO Box 852
Dewey AZ 86327-0852

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Monica Schuster
8157 Geyser Ave
Reseda CA 91335-1208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Natalia Jacobs
26 Sumner Mountain Rd
Amherst MA 01002-9529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Metcalf
9 Meadow Ln
Bristol VT 05443-1108

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Rostermundt
1119 S 91st St
West Allis WI 53214-2852

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Gricus
5701 E Glenn St Apt 111
Tucson AZ 85712-5227

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Heath Post
1323 W Wieland Rd
Lansing MI 48906-1895

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mohan Sakhrani
4072 St Helena Way
Dublin CA 94568-4722

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Heyneman
716 Five Mile Line Rd
Webster NY 14580-2614

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristina Fukuda-Schmid
3544 Keystone Ave Apt 3
Los Angeles CA 90034-5501

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Feliz Samson
11500 Terra Vista Way
Sylmar CA 91342-6527

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Rothe
1690 Chatham Dr
Oshkosh WI 54904-8306

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donald Leisman
11 Coolidge Ave
Concord NH 03301-2203

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Fischhoff
PO Box 2743
Silver City NM 88062-2743

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sue Batchelor
721 E 27th St
Bryan TX 77803-7016

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Osborn
609 Hardin St
Columbia MO 65203-2457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Rossman
36 Gould St
Newport RI 02840-2102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Shafransky
22461 Prairie Rd
Sedro Woolley WA 98284-8586

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Beers
36 Conifer Ln
Avon CT 06001-4514

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laurie Sudol
806 Alfonse Rd
Clarkdale AZ 86324-7403

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elori Smile
70 Raymond Dr
Reno NV 89503-3508

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Rynes
570 Harlowe Ln
Naperville IL 60565-2006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary McKay
7247 Birchfield Rd
Wise VA 24293-6811

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Keith Rhinehart
418 Los Padres Blvd
Santa Clara CA 95050-6420

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Ackley
1068 Park Ave NE Apt 304
Salem OR 97301-2866

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ali Morse
2454 S Old Canyon Rd
Portal AZ 85632-2085

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cathy Wootan
3862 W 20th St
Cleveland OH 44109-2936

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Tomlian
320 W Berry Ave
Lansing MI 48910-2909

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Blackburn
2563 Kensington Way
Elizabethtown KY 42701-6830

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeff Schwefel
64 Allston St Apt 3
Allston MA 02134-5000

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Zana Hristic
317 SE 32nd Ave
Portland OR 97214-1935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark M Giese
1520 Bryn Mawr Ave
Mount Pleasant WI 53403-3606

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joyce Stoffers
14202 N Baywood Ct
Sun City AZ 85351-2331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dana Weintraub
17124 SW Marty Ln
Beaverton OR 97003-4249

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Chakmakian
38 Susan Dr
Cranston RI 02920-5525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karsten Mueller
50 Quail Xing
Santa Cruz CA 95060-1766

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeffrey Plotnik
465 N Palm Ave Apt 302
Hemet CA 92543-2884

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathy Spera
9393 County Road 4136
Tyler TX 75706-6005

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Thompson
4782 Sycamore Trl
Maple Plain MN 55359-9605

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marilyn Waltasti
20117 N Geyser Dr
Maricopa AZ 85138-3299

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J.T. Smith
1000 Old Bethlehem Pike
Sellersville PA 18960-1422

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Therese Debing
935 Lighthouse Ave Apt 14
Pacific Grove CA 93950-2450

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nanlouise Wolfe
820 Western Dr
Santa Cruz CA 95060-6823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bud Hoekstra
PO Box 234
Glencoe CA 95232-0234

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Leech
882 Bell St
East Palo Alto CA 94303-1722

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kelly Smith
610 McGee Ln
Derry PA 15627-2646

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carlos Arnold
499 Fair Oaks Dr
Santa Maria CA 93455-2329

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle Krueger
6214 Johnson St
Merrillville IN 46410-2955

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maryellen Redish
671 S Riverside Dr Apt 9
Palm Springs CA 92264-0649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

R Kadden
PO Box 4083
West Hills CA 91308-4083

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Helena Kashleva
4078 Coquina Dr
Sanibel FL 33957-5206

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Rokas
22168 Schroeder Ave
Eastpointe MI 48021-4008

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maya Kurtz
336 Park Dr
Glenwood Springs CO 81601-4164

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bonnie Oliver
45 W Fort Lowell Rd Unit 22
Tucson AZ 85705-5927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Denise Hosta
12011 Rock Brook Run Apt 2008
Fort Myers FL 33913-6810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Maxwell
6084 Busch Blvd Apt 4
Columbus OH 43229-2517

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margaret Schulenberg
300 Pecan Ln
Round Rock TX 78664-4529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Geoffrey Ogden
23347 Potts Mill Rd
Middleburg VA 20117-2901

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Molly Hauck
3900 Decatur Ave
Kensington MD 20895-1531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edmund Weisberg
951 Fell St Apt 632
Baltimore MD 21231-3597

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Manobianco
3607 W 68th St
Chicago IL 60629-4124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chris Finzer
3029 Roselawn Blvd
Louisville KY 40220-2531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Thornton
331 Long Plain Rd
Leverett MA 01054-9501

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeff Reagan
380 Bunker Hill St Unit 115
Charlestown MA 02129-1726

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Infante
3656 Whitney Ave Apt 37
Hamden CT 06518-1520

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bonita Beard
265 S View Pl S
Salem OR 97302-4562

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tina Ann
PO Box 265
Bollinas CA 94924-0265

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karyn Barry
221 Worcester Ln Unit 4
Waltham MA 02451-7574

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ingrid Bangers
1001 O St Apt 703
Lincoln NE 68508-3632

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jacqueline Baruch
3040 Barclay St
Baltimore MD 21218-3936

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim Turner
450 Kennebec St
Merritt Island FL 32952-2601

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Cobb
3880 Ellendale Rd
Moreland Hills OH 44022-1124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vanessa Gonzalez-Green
531 Brook Rd
Towson MD 21286-5634

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sydney Garner
208 Oregon Ter
Medford OR 97504-7045

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donald Jones
244 Englenook Dr
Debary FL 32713-3286

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Beverly Pettway
8920 Scotford Rd
North Chesterfield VA 23236-2141

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Haroutian
676 Pleasant St Apt 1
Worcester MA 01602-2770

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

L Franklin
383 Boundary St
Waynesville NC 28786-3205

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Fore
122 Wiggin Rd
Danbury NH 03230-4412

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brooks Robinson
1166 Del Rio Rd
Powell WY 82435-8541

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Schwartz
3941 Ecochee Ave
San Diego CA 92117-4624

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

suzanne Caruso
2818 Eel Ave
Davis CA 95616-2914

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jenny Zickefoose
3757 Victory Dr
South Park PA 15129-9310

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lilli Ross
390 W End Ave
New York NY 10024-6107

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Baker
727 La Cruz Dr
Fort Collins CO 80524-6087

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Audrey Lasse
438 W Jefferson St
Oconomowoc WI 53066-3545

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Dadgari
PO Box 492205
Los Angeles CA 90049-8205

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jack Mahrt
434 Tahiti St
Morro Bay CA 93442-2944

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Close
1280 Middle Tennessee Blvd Apt F2
Murfreesboro TN 37130-0935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Klouzal
6729 Queens Ferry Rd
Baltimore MD 21239-1430

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tony Cho Dwyer
1921 Clifden Rd
Catonsville MD 21228-4839

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Asphodel Denning
108 5th Ave S
Seattle WA 98104-3709

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brooke Crowley
535 Terrace Ave
Cincinnati OH 45220-1916

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Berry Dilley
234 E State St
Athens OH 45701-1750

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Sena
946 Olive Ave
Cincinnati OH 45205-1712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brandi Mccauley
6215 Woodland Rd
Des Moines IA 50312-1245

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Culpepper
933 Surrey Way
Cincinnati OH 45245-1141

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Fournier
49 Parker St
Watertown MA 02472-3913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Teresa Pitts
PO Box 193
Glen Alpine NC 28628-0193

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jocelyn Stowell
2022 Lawson Rd
Tallahassee FL 32308-4829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Buckingham
7955 W Chalk Creek Rd
Casper WY 82604-1747

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Blevins
10054 Candlestick Ln
Concord Township OH 44077-2122

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Guthrie
1122 Saint Louis Pl NE
Atlanta GA 30306-4532

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kimberly Bouchard-Shapiro
56 Trout Lily Dr
durham CT 06422-3220

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Cook
282 La Casa Ave
San Mateo CA 94403-5015

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Obrien
831 Center St
Mineral Point WI 53565-1404

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marc Hutchinson
112 Sommerford Ct
Lexington SC 29072-7297

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hazel Landers
2905 New Garden Rd E
Greensboro NC 27455-2819

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kyle Ruedinger
260 Oak Park Dr
Oshkosh WI 54904-9265

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christine King
146 College Hwy Apt 7
Southampton MA 01073-9432

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Zach Myones
2119 Plantation Bend Dr
Sugar Land TX 77478-5417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nyla Bissram
390 Grimsby St
Staten Island NY 10306-5830

I'm writing to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ji Montgomery
2 N Stoner Ave
Shiremanstown PA 17011-6340

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michele Hall
151 S Bonnie Brae St
Wichita KS 67207-1101

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Wald
PO Box 2587
Sag Harbor NY 11963-0117

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norm King
29 Acadia Rd
Gardner MA 01440-1505

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marie Harrison
302 Barrett Springs Ct
Canton GA 30115-4330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sydney Bobrow
44 Church St
Easthampton MA 01027-1874

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathy Watson
4642 E Via Azufre
Tucson AZ 85712-6037

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Bauzo
22 Reardon St
Quincy MA 02169-4003

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

L Franklin
383 Boundary St
Waynesville NC 28786-3205

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sue Mossman
PO Box 223
Arcata CA 95518-0223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Jones
2613 Armada St
Herndon VA 20171-2722

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Caroline Havens
9513 Rawson Rd
Morongo Valley CA 92256-9476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joshua Houdek
2820 37th Ave S
Minneapolis MN 55406-1718

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angela Hansen
2400 W 102nd St Apt 328
Bloomington MN 55431-3361

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penelope Andrews
17 Copper Rdg
Hermon ME 04401-0567

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa Hughes
9190 Windsor Ct
Loveland OH 45140-5547

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nannette Finkel-Rebach
53 Winding Brook Way
Shrewsbury NJ 07702-4521

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Olson
263 W Bakerview Rd Apt 305
Bellingham WA 98226-9310

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hallie Adolf
213 Chatham Ave
Oakhurst NJ 07755-1367

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christopher Dowling
PO Box 1753
Marfa TX 79843-1753

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Matthew Reid
1311 Pine St
Calistoga CA 94515-1737

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shirley Dechant
3161 E Crest Shadows Dr
Tucson AZ 85718-1377

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Goodman
6506 Redpine Rd
Dallas TX 75248-2952

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meher Kovoor
75 Fancher Rd
Pound Ridge NY 10576-1719

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Heimlich
330 Aldeberan Dr
Sewell NJ 08080-2215

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jayne Costa
664 E Jay Rd
Jay ME 04239-4620

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marvin Ward
15 Cottage St Apt 413
Easthampton MA 01027-6102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Christensen
513 Saude Ave
Essington PA 19029-1112

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Fandel
1100 Port Arthur Rd
Ladysmith WI 54848-6302

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gabriel Stanley
22419 Coachway Ln Apt 310
Richton Park IL 60471-1922

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Philippe Chambadal
151 W 17th St Apt 5D
New York NY 10011-5454

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Eland
103 Los Arbor Dr
Deland FL 32724-1259

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Yurchuck
2677 Lville Hwy Unit 105
Decatur GA 30033-2511

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nathaniel Feis
1408 Hinman Ave Apt 2
Evanston IL 60201-5311

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Cucolo
194 Loomis St
Little Falls NY 13365-1720

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dat Tran
124 Academy Ln
Upper Darby PA 19082-1302

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debbie Griffin

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kyle Alhart
2327 NW Candlelight Pl
Bend OR 97703-5450

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

MORELLA CAMEJO
881 Ocean Dr
Key Biscayne FL 33149-2609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dana Sanchez
34610 Jensen Rd
Pine CO 80470-9721

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Rosing
5913 Beachway St
Cary IL 60013-1403

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Sivulich
47 Gloucester Hill Rd
New Gloucester ME 04260-3854

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Parisi
128 Hirschfield Dr
Buffalo NY 14221-6808

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Colman
925 Paseo Del Pueblo Sur
Taos NM 87571-5967

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adrienne Barton
1581 Mayfield Ave
Winter Park FL 32789-2006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Liz Dyer
6604 10th St
Alexandria VA 22307-6604

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brett Schultz
38 N Church Rd
Wernersville PA 19565-2119

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Zowader
37 Winding Way
Madison NJ 07940-2810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tracy Richards
13900 SE Highway 212 Unit 175
Clackamas OR 97015-8418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Painter
465 Poland Spring Rd
Casco ME 04015-4000

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Phillips
2714 County Road 719
Alvin TX 77511-1664

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Schwartz
2136 33rd Rd
Long Island City NY 11106-4285

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edith Crowe
5940 W Locksley Loop
Wasilla AK 99623-0837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John and Michele Saridan
222 West St
Lake Geneva WI 53147-2085

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kelly Kroske
PO Box 124
Grass Lake MI 49240-0124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tess Fraad
12 Stuyvesant Oval Apt 8H
New York NY 10009-2219

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ana Herold
1021 Banyan Way
Pacifica CA 94044-3631

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patrick Hanahan
420 Wiley Wilson Rd
Bowdon GA 30108-2850

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie C. Fox
14 Wyndcliffe Park
Bloomfield CT 06002-2142

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug Landau
150 73rd St S
St Petersburg FL 33707-1143

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Goldman
365 Edgewood Ave
Teaneck NJ 07666-3024

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jimmie Lunsford
PO Box 16642
San Diego CA 92176-6642

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stan Sheggeby
302 Oriole Ln
Madison WI 53704-2416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J Kelly
15120 Starr Rd SE
Olalla WA 98359-8556

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachael Riccobene
106 Ridgecrest Ct Fl Usa
Panama City FL 32405-9377

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cody Goin
973 Rifle Range Rd Lot 16
Marshfield MO 65706-9561

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Nyberg
15457 Las Flores Ln
Oak Forest IL 60452-1824

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Consentino
17232 Chestnut
Irvine CA 92612-2317

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathleen Dear
1538 Harvard St Apt 5
Santa Monica CA 90404-3539

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elak Swindell
511 Anthony Rd
Waynesboro GA 30830-1620

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Goldman
47 Windmill Dr
Huntington NY 11743-3022

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Davis
163 Pam Ave
Guntown MS 38849-9537

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nicola Giorgio
14 Jeff Rd
Largo FL 33774-2036

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Earl Labuga
2806 Harvest Hill Dr
Friendswood TX 77546-5036

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Keith Krupinski
9710 N Kenwood Ct
Kansas City MO 64155-2096

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Delaney
10409 Edinburgh Dr
Spotsylvania VA 22553-1740

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Tracy
5165 Rosemary Dr
Chesapeake Beach MD 20732-3451

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Darley Adare
2625 Bucknell Ave
Charlotte NC 28207-2649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lotti Knowles
5635 Woodman Ave Unit A
Van Nuys CA 91401-4749

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Evilsizer
20529 Brookstone Trl
Cleveland OH 44130-2489

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paulina Mastryukov
101 N Merion Ave
Bryn Mawr PA 19010-2859

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynda Higson
7765 Dos Palos Ln
Sebastopol CA 95472-5314

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roseli Rinaldo
11005 N Millbend Dr
Spring TX 77380-1355

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane St Angelo
1214 Minnesota Ave
Lynn Haven FL 32444-2656

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deborah Dearing
72 Pixy Pl
Santa Rosa CA 95409-4155

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Germain
2929 Nazareth Rd # 137
Kalamazoo MI 49048-2611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Seybold
2421 Golden Rd
Plover WI 54467-2461

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.