

From: [Elliott, Robert](#)
To: info@psrwisconsin.org
Cc: [Imboden, Stacey](#); [Clark, Phyllis](#); [Coyne, Kevin](#); [Mossman, Tim](#); [Gibson, Lauren](#); [Rogers, Bill](#); [Caldwell, Bob](#); [Bradford, Anna](#); [Folk, Kevin](#); [McCoppin, Michael](#); [Dennis, Suzanne](#)
Subject: RE: Point Beach Email Scoping Comment
Date: Thursday, February 25, 2021 10:04:30 AM

TO; Ann Behrmann MD, Pediatrician, Madison WI
Amy Schulz RN, Perioperative Nurse, Madison WI
Hannah Mortensen, PSR WI Executive Director

Thank you for alerting the staff to the incorrect links to the Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant effluent monitoring reports. The NRC website was updated and the links were quickly corrected.

Regarding your request for extending the scoping public comment period, the NRC is denying this request. The purpose of the environmental scoping period is to identify areas that the staff should consider in assessing the environmental impacts of continued plant operation. Scoping is conducted very early in the environmental review process so that the staff can use any input gathered to conduct detailed analyses in developing the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS). The staff will consider impacts from radiological effluents in the development of the DSEIS. Because the staff will consider the radioactive effluent and environmental reports as part of the staff's independent environmental review for Point Beach subsequent license renewal, their consideration now would not change our preliminary determinations for the scoping portion of the review. There will be another public comment period and public meeting after issuance of the DSEIS, and any information in the effluent reports that you feel was not adequately considered in the DSEIS can be provided at that time. The NRC will consider these comments when finalizing the SEIS.

For these reasons, we deny the extension request. If you have any further questions on this matter, please contact either Phyllis Clark (Phyllis.clark@nrc.gov) or Stacey Imboden (stacey.imboden@nrc.gov).

Thank you,
Robert Elliott

Robert Elliott, Chief
Environmental License Renewal Branch
Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support
Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards

(301)415-8585

From: PSR Wisconsin <info@psrwisconsin.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 10:10 AM
To: Clark, Phyllis <Phyllis.Clark@nrc.gov>; Mitlyng, Viktoria <Viktoria.Mitlyng@nrc.gov>;

Haskell@nrc.gov; Rogers, Bill <Bill.Rogers@nrc.gov>; Ray, Sheila <Sheila.Ray@nrc.gov>; Coyne, Kevin <Kevin.Coyne@nrc.gov>

Cc: CHAIRMAN Resource <CHAIRMAN.Resource@nrc.gov>; CMRBARAN Resource <CMRBARAN@nrc.gov>; CMRCaputo Resource <CMRCaputo@nrc.gov>; CMRWright Resource <CMRWright@nrc.gov>

Subject: [External_Sender] NRC Documents for Point Beach Missing

Dockets 50-266 and 50-301Point Beach Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2 Subsequent License Renewal Application

To whom it may concern,

In researching NRC environmental reports documents regarding the Point Beach Units 1 and 2 Nuclear Power Plant, the data presented on the NRC website is erroneously linked (misfiled) to the Prairie Island Nuclear Reactor located in the adjacent state of Minnesota. Please see the URL trail listed below, and the video clip of said navigation is attached to this email.

1. <https://www.nrc.gov/info-finder/reactors/poin1.html>

- then scroll down to Key Documents and Plant Environmental Report

2. <https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ops-experience/tritium/plant-specific-reports/poin1-2.html>

- then click on 2019 Radioactive Effluents

3. <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML2013/ML20136A016.pdf>

- same misfiling with Prairie Island materials

4. <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML2013/ML20134J135.pdf>

- if you click on Environmental Reports, they are also misfiled (Prairie Island rather than for Point Beach)

Because the correct environmental reports for Point Beach Units 1 and 2 are not available, I and my colleagues are unable to access relevant Point Beach condition reports for recent years (2017-2019 and may be mis-linked for earlier documents). Thus, we are unable to make scientifically based assessments of recent environmental monitoring that is relevant to public health and safety regarding the current NRC License Extension Scoping Activities.

Due to the unavailability of these public documents, we request an extension of at least 180 days to the Scoping process deadlines so that the NRC can find adequate IT resources to provide the necessary documents for the general public to access, and to provide adequate time for careful consideration by the public of the complex data at hand. The public should be given at least 90 days to access, study and respond to the Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1 and 2's environmental reports that had been erroneously mis-linked once the correct reports have been posted. We should also receive prompt information on when to expect that this IT error will be corrected on the public website. Should the NRC need more than 90 days to post all the correct reports for environmental monitoring of Point Beach Units 1 and 2, the final deadlines should be extended to provide at

minimum 90 days for the general public to review and respond with comments.

Insofar as the current Point Beach current operating licenses extend until 2050 and 2053 for the two reactors, there is no apparent reason to rush to judgement. This extension of comment time will both allow the NRC time to correct the filing of these environmental reports for Point Beach Units 1 and 2 and make these reports fully accessible to the public for review and comments.

Only then can informed decisions be made by all parties.

Thank you for honoring the scientific process in service of public health and safety,

Ann Behrmann MD, Pediatrician, Madison WI
Treasurer, Physicians for Social Responsibility Wisconsin (PSR WI)

Amy Schulz RN, Perioperative Nurse, Madison WI
President, PSR WI

Hannah Mortensen, PSR WI Executive Director

--

PSR Wisconsin
info@psrwisconsin.org
www.psr-wisconsin.org
720 Hill Street, Suite 200
Madison, WI 53705
608.232.9945

Please consider the environment before printing this email.