

Cross-Cutting Issues Program Effectiveness Review October 28, 2020 ROP Public Meeting

Alex Garmoe Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Division of Reactor Oversight

U.S.NRC Unded Stores Nacker Regelency Countilation Presecting Prople and the Environment

Background

- Annual effectiveness reviews are a part of the IMC 0307 ROP self-assessment process
- Several of the 99 ROP Enhancement recommendations suggested reviewing, revising, or eliminating the CCI program
- CCI program was due for an effectiveness review of significant program changes implemented in 2015
- An effectiveness review could address both the ROP selfassessment and the ROP Enhancement recommendations



Review Objectives

- Information and data considered:
 - CCI program objective, history, and guidance
 - CCI program and plant assessment data, including all aspects assigned since 2006
 - Principles of Good Regulation
- Main components of data review:
 - Did the program identify plants that actually had concerns in cross-cutting areas?
 - Were plants that exhibited performance concerns identified by the program and should they have been?
 - Is there a relationship between program insights and performance?



Review Conclusions



Changes made in 2015 resulted in a program that while still providing some encouragement to monitor cross cutting aspects, may be less responsive to cross-cutting behavior indicators, may provide only limited communication about cross-cutting themes, and introduced concerns about whether the program would proactively identify crosscutting concerns consistent with the program objective.

- Changes made in 2015 resulted in a program that appears to be less
 responsive to cross-cutting behavior indicators
- Introduced concerns about whether the program would proactively identify cross-cutting concerns consistent with the program objective
- Review of seven Column 4 and recent outlier plants found the current program would not have opened a CCI in any case prior to performance decline
- There is a predictive relationship between increasing numbers of crosscutting aspects and future movement in the Action Matrix or future occurrence of precursor events

Review Recommendations



• Final report available in ADAMS Package ML20239A806

Monitoring for CCIs	Identification of a CCI	Follow-up of a CCI
Simplify the assignment and trending of cross-cutting aspects via one of two options:	Eliminate the three assessment period waiting time	Pre-establish follow-up guidance and objectives in baseline IP
	More detailed discussion in	Timing of follow-up based on
 Use nine safety culture traits (preferred) 	assessment letters	licensee readiness
Use three cross-cutting areas		Leverage planned 9500x if available
		Cannot close CCI based solely on reduction in findings



Questions