

International Isotopes Inc. Presentation to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Pre-Enforcement Meeting

August 4, 2020





Two Violations were cited

1. Failure to have appropriate administrative procedures to ensure completion of safety evaluations

INIS does not dispute violation #1

2. Licensee approved procedures that decreased the effectiveness of the radiation safety program

INIS requests NRC's consideration of the company's interpretation of permitted license activities in regard to violation #2





Two Violations were cited

- 1. Failure to obtain a radioactive air emissions license
- 2. Failure to control the release



NRC Cited Violation #1 - - Failure to have appropriate administrative procedures to ensure completion of safety evaluations

Contributed to ...

NRC Cited Violation #2 -- Licensee approved procedures that decreased the effectiveness of the radiation safety program

And also resulted in...

WA DOH Violation #1 – INIS Failure to obtain a radioactive air emissions license





An Adequate risk assessment and ALARA review of OP-SRC-040 had not been completed

Initial MHC process was thoroughly reviewed by management Revisions and new procedures did not adequately consider all risks

Some explanation is worthwhile to understand why this occurred

Explanation is not intended to be an excuse for our actions



INIS attended training at SWRI

SWRI trained on methods of source removal from source holders

- Hammering roll pins discouraged
- Drilling roll pins discouraged
- Grinding roll pin was the recommended method

Assumed cutting would be done at a "safe" distance from the source The INIS process did not provide adequate visual clarity in the MHC The INIS hardware did not adequately secure the source in position A proper risk assessment for adopting this method was not completed



The NRC inspection report states...

"The inspectors determined that INIS was not authorized by the NRC to remove the sealed source from its holder in the field using the MHC."

"It was further determined that INIS approved this procedure for cutting the source holder in the MHC without NRC's approval..."

The changes violated license conditions 16 and 23



Initial development of the INIS Mobile Hot Cell (MHC) ~2013

Six years of safe operations

16 different locations

1,180 sources safely removed

Initial license listed 6 specific devices, Amendment 26 August 2014 added any device so long as evaluated using INIS design control procedures.

All source recovery operations have involved the removal of a source(s) from the source holder, either cage/basket, drawer, or tube.





Document titled "Utilizing Mobile Hot Cell" submitted with license amendment request, Amendment 25

Procedure lists compatible Gamma cell units

- GC-10
- GC-40
- GC-100
- GC-200
- GC-220

Every one of these units contains sources within a basket, cage, or holder



OP-SRC-024 Dated 6/28/13





GC Manufactures Instructions Manual

PROCEDURE FOR UNLOADING SEALED SOURCES FROM A NORDION GAMMACELL IRRADIATOR Revision 0 Change 0



Figure 2. Source Cages and Dummy Sealed Sources, Gammacell 200 with Lifting Rods (left) and Gammacell 220 (right)



INIS's Understanding of the NRC license

Condition 16 states "Sealed sources or detector cells containing licensed material shall not be opened or source removed from source holders by the licensee *except as specifically authorized by this license."[emphasis added]*

INIS has always considered that the specific authorization to perform that work on this device is contained within license condition 6.L which does specifically address the JLS model 6810 source (which includes the holder)

INIS believed that the accompanying description of Authorized Use contained in 9.L(i) which states "Pre-shipment activities such as preparing the contents for loading..." and 9.L.(iii) and 9.L.(iv) which allows transfer between devices not listed provided compatibility has been evaluated

Performing a transfer of the 6810 source from the device into a transportation package is not possible without removing the source from the holder and that was known in advance and considered in the work planning.



OP-SRC-026 Dated 5/6/14

3

ITLE:	Number	Revision
JL Shepherd Model 109 Irradiator Shipping & Source Unloading	OP-SRC-026	C
	-	Effective Date:
		9/26/2018
7.7.15 Carefully but quickly position the sh security plate and raise scissor lift un cell. The top of the shield is 14" in d 15 bottom transfer hole of the Mobil	ield under the Mobile H til the shield is mated to iameter and should pene e Hot Cell 1".	tot Cell, remove the the bottom of the etrate into the
7.7.16 To remove the first lead ring, insert a threaded holes and tighten.	3/8"-16 or 1/2"-13 thr	caded red into the 2
7.7.17 Raise the lead ring weighing approxi and place the ring in a corner of the	mately 55 lbs. with the cell.	in cell-winch system
 7.7.18 Remove the second ring in the same second plug weighs approximately 1 also. 	manner bar using 1/4" 0 Ibs. Place this ring in	a corner of the cell
7.7.19 If the source cage and source are not stainless steel ring that will need to b ring, use a 1/4"-20 threaded rol and above steps.	visible, then there may be removed. To remove remove the ring in the	be an additional the stainless steel same manner as the
7.7.20 Once the source cage and sources are remove the source cage.	e visible, use the 1/4"-2	20 threaded rod to
7,7.21 Insert the 1/4"-20 threaded rod into t may hard to identify the holes as cor	he 2 holes on opposite rosion may obscure the	sides of the cage. It em.
7.7.22 Secure the rods into the source cage cage is stuck inside the shield, conta	and raise the source ca ct the RSO or designed	age into the cell. If the e for further instruction
7.7.23 Once the case is safely loaded into t transfer port hole. The sources may	he Mobile Hot Cell, pl now be removed from	ace the lid over the the source cage.
7.7.24 If the sources are stuck inside the so punch may be used to tap out the sources approximately 1/4" in diameter. Also use a solution of 10% Phosphoric ac until it is removable.	urce cage because of c arce. The source cage o, the RSO or designed id concentration to be	orrosion, a hammer a bottom has holes at e may direct the FST applied to the source
7.7.25 Place the unloaded sources into the the source case.	in-cell storage cave as	they are extracted fro



OP-SRC-026 Rev C JL Shepherd Model 109 Irradiator Shipping Source Unloading 7/24/2020

OP-SRC-040

 \mathbf{I}^{3}

LE:			Number:	Revision
JL Shepherd Model Mark 1 and 143 Series Irradiator Source Unloading		OP-SRC-040	В	
				Effective Date:
				04/29/2019
	7.3.17	If contamination results indicate and return device into a safe and	a source may be leaking	ng then replace the plug aration.
	7.3.18	If using the bottom load method, with 7.4.	complete the next two	o steps and then continue
	7.3.19	Cover the access hole for the sou cover over the port hole.	ree tube with a plug a	nd place the in-cell port
	7.3.20	Lower the lifting cable to allow t staging area in the mobile hot ce	for enough slack to mail, and then remove th	e lifting hook.
7.4	Remo	ve Source(s) from Source Tube		
	7.4.1	The aluminum source tube is threaded onto the end of the tungsten plug and prevented from back threading with a pin.		
	7.4.2	If there is no visible oxidation it with a drill bit die and hammer, tube and roll pin in the area of the roll pin so that the tube can be re-	might be possible to t An alternative methor he pin hole. Grind eno emoved from the tung	force the pin out of the hole I is to grind the aluminum ugh of the aluminum and sten plug.
	7.4.3	If the pin cannot be removed the	en carefully cut the alt	aminum tube just below the
		pin.	Contraction of the	
	7.4.4	Unthread the source tube from t	he tungsten plug.	
		NOTE: The source(s) will be po and/or tubes of various lengths.	sitioned inside the so	surce tube with spacers
	7.4.5	Slowly remove the contents of t	he source tube onto a	i tray.
	7.4.6	Perform a contamination survey below:	on the contents of th	te source tube. Record results
	Resu	its: RS	SO Signature:	
	7.4.7	If contamination results indicate of the source tube, including the Decon as necessary and place in	e a source may be lea e source(s), into the I nto a safe and secure	king, then place the contents NIS-SF-OE-XX capsule. storage configuration.
Constants.	Over	Incompulate Source(s)		

т і



JLS Source Information

Theratron Source Drawer VS JLS Mark 1-68 source holder



USA/9215/B(U) Rev 15 Required:

- (1) Special Form Material
- (2) Contents must be secured in the drum assembly so as to restrict movement in any direction to less than 0.25 inch, by lead, steel, or tungsten full diameter plugs and spacers
- (3) In addition to the shielding provided by the shipping/transfer cask (S/TC) and S/TC cover, a minimum of 2 inches of lead, 2 inches of tungsten or 3 inches of steel shall be inserted between the source and the ST/C cover as axial shielding material in the drum assembly. This additional shielding material may be part of the plugs and spacers or part of the source drawer.

INIS' decision to remove source from holder was in order to meet these requirements.





Stop all Co60 hot cell and field service work activities

Complete reviews and risk assessments of all related procedures

Implement additional "independent" reviews





Management terminated all future field service work activities

Amended the NRC license to remove field service activities from permitted operations



Terminate all Co-60 and source transfer procedures not planned for routine use – 34 documents

Evaluate the Risk Assessment and Safety Evaluation Process

Reviewed OP-QMS-012 Rev C Failure Mode Effects Analysis (FMEA) Procedure and determined the procedure addressed the risk assessment process

Completed an updated FMEA for cobalt operations, more from a cradle to grave perspective

Revised Radiation Safety Manual ALARA Committee & Evaluation Form

Strengthened risk assessment/safety assessment in the document change process



F-77 Document Change Request Form Changes

DCP No	Pe routing	1 Effective Date	of Document:
DCK NO.	Ke-routing	Enective Date	of Document:
TO BE COMPLETED B	Y ORIGINATOR		
(Use separate form for each	h document)		
New Document	Major Change	Minor Change	Grammatical/Formatting
Submitted by:		Date	PRI
Document No.	Curr	ent Rev.	Business Segment
Document Title			
Other Documents Affe	ected/Related		
Change Description:			
Reason for Change:			
Training Required?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	Critical Trair	ning? Yes 🗌 🛛 No 🗌
Controlled Copy?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	Binder Name	:
Validation or Mock-U	p Required? Yes 🔲 N	• Effectiveness A	udit Required? Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Type of Validation or	Mock-Up:		
Review required by RS	SO ADM E	HS 🗌 HR 🗌 M	JUC 🗌 QA 🗌 RAD
or ALARA and the		ах Птра П я	
following Areas:			
ALARA Chairperson	1:		
Does procedure implei	ment or incorporate new	or revised radiological	controls? Yes No
Could this change incr	ease the probability or se	verity of any radiologi	cal or safety Yes 🗌 No 🗌
event impacting person	nnel, facility or the enviro	onment/public?	Potentially
Is an ALARA Commit	n ALARA Committee Review required? Yes Ves Vo		
If the procedure chang	es radiological controls c	r effects risk but an AI	ARA Committee Review is not
required explain in the	space below:		
Signature			Date:
Signature.			Date:
RSO or ALARA Chai	rperson Radiation Prot	ection Program Evalu	nation:
If procedure implement	s or incorporates new or	revised radiological co	ntrols complete the section below:
Does the revised docum	ent comply with regulate	ory requirements?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Does the revised docum	ent change the license co	onditions?	Yes 🗌 No 🗍
Does the revised docum	nent decrease the effective	eness of the Radiation	Safety Yes No
Program?			
ls a tormal Risk Assess	ment required?		Yes 🛄 No 🛄
Signature			Date:
orginature.			Date

- 1. Identifies magnitude of change, Major, Minor, Grammatical.
- 2. Require procedure review by RSO or ALARA in addition to affected sections.
- 3. ALARA Chair determines need for an ALARA Review.
- 4. ALARA Chair evaluates change against increase in probability or severity of an event.
- Addresses formal risk assessment (using INIS FMEA process)

F-77 Document Change Request Form Changes



rnational Isotopes Inc.

Document Change Request Form (DCR)

Review and Appro	val		2.4		a (1)
Reviewer Name (to be completed by originator)	ALARA Committee	Signature	Date	As Is	With Comments
Comments (e.g. See M necessary)	Notes, Redlined	l in Drafts, etc. include additional sheets as	Initials	Date	Comments Resolved (initial)
ALARA Committee	e Comments	\$	Initials	Date	Comments Resolved (initial)

Document Control Information		
Number of Controlled Copies Distributed:		
Number of Official Documents Received at Document Control:		
Completed form and supporting documents are put into Master File		
Document Control (Print Name)	Signature	
Date	Comments:	

- 6. Rearranged Page 2, Review and Approval Section at top of page.
- 7. Added comment resolved column.
- 8. Added ALARA Committee Comments Section.

Completed form F-77 and handwritten comments on redlined procedure with comment resolutions maintained in document history file.



Complete "Fresh" Risk Assessment Safety Evaluations of Co-60 and source handling OP's and WI's

6 ALARA review meetings conducted

21 procedures and work instructions reviewed to date

Some procedures received multiple reviews

2 walk throughs of procedures completed for ALARA committee

Several procedure reviews are still underway



Implement additional "independent" reviews Additional staff participation Have contracted with Porter House Inc. History of performance of independent reviews

Idaho National Laboratory

Savanah River Site

Mound

Nevada Test Site

Annual audit...Plus

- Other areas where they identify weakness or problems
- Participation on ALARA committee as appropriate
- Function as an independent auditor





Conclusions and Considerations

- INIS does not dispute violation #1
- INIS requests NRC's consideration of the company's interpretation of permitted license activities in regard to violation #2
- INIS does request NRC consideration of the following when considering enforcement action
 - Overall performance of the INIS radiation safety program
 - INIS immediate and supplementary actions to try to reduce the consequences of the event
 - Additional timely corrective actions taken by INIS to prevent reoccurrence
 - INIS has already incurred significant financial impact from this event

Cancelations of over \$1 million in field service contracts

INIS expenditure time and over \$350,000 in internal costs for recovery

WA DOH civil penalty still pending

Possibility of future civil actions ²³/₃ainst INIS

