

June 30, 1997

Mr. William T. Cottle  
Group Vice-President, Nuclear  
Houston Lighting & Power Company  
South Texas Project Electric  
Generating Station  
P. O. Box 289  
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1  
AND 2 (TAC NOS. M95401 AND M95402)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The staff has reviewed your application for amendment dated June 14, 1996, as supplemented March 17, 1997, to allow the use of laser-welded sleeving for defective steam generator tube repair at the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2. Your response to the enclosed request for additional information within 30 days is needed for the staff to continue to process your request.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact me at (301) 415-3267.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Janet L. Kennedy, Project Manager  
Project Directorate IV-1  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File  
CHawes  
ACRS  
TGwynn, RIV

GHill (4)  
JKennedy (2)  
JRoe  
EAdensam (EGA1)

PUBLIC  
PDIV-1 r/f  
SCoffin  
OGC

JStrosnider

Document Name: STP95401.LTR

OFC	PM/PD4-1	LA/PD4-1	BC/EMCB
NAME	JKennedy:sp	CHawes cmH	JStrosnider
DATE	6/30/97	6/30/97	7/1/97
COPY	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9707080314 970630  
PDR ADOCK 05000498  
P PDR

070080

NRC FILE CENTER COPY



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 30, 1997

Mr. William T. Cottle  
Executive Vice-President &  
General Manager, Nuclear  
Houston Lighting & Power Company  
South Texas Project Electric  
Generating Station  
P. O. Box 289  
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1  
AND 2 (TAC NOS. M95401 AND M95402)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The staff has reviewed your application for amendment dated June 14, 1996, as supplemented March 17, 1997, to allow the use of laser-welded sleeving for defective steam generator tube repair at the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2. Your response to the enclosed request for additional information within 30 days is needed for the staff to continue to process your request.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact me at (301) 415-3267.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Janet L. Kennedy".

Janet L. Kennedy, Project Manager  
Project Directorate IV-1  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. William T. Cottle  
Houston Lighting & Power Company

South Texas, Units 1 & 2

cc:

Mr. David P. Loveless  
Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 910  
Bay City, TX 77414

Jack R. Newman, Esq.  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius  
1800 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036-5869

Mr. J. C. Lanier/M. B. Lee  
City of Austin  
Electric Utility Department  
721 Barton Springs Road  
Austin, TX 78704

Mr. Lawrence E. Martin  
General Manager, Nuclear Assurance Licensing  
Houston Lighting and Power Company  
P. O. Box 289  
Wadsworth, TX 77483

Mr. M. T. Hardt  
Mr. W. C. Gunst  
City Public Service Board  
P. O. Box 1771  
San Antonio, TX 78296

Rufus S. Scott  
Associate General Counsel  
Houston Lighting and Power Company  
P. O. Box 61867  
Houston, TX 77208

Mr. G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson  
Central Power and Light Company  
P. O. Box 289  
Mail Code: N5012  
Wadsworth, TX 74483

Joseph R. Egan, Esq.  
Egan & Associates, P.C.  
2300 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20037

INPO  
Records Center  
700 Galleria Parkway  
Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Office of the Governor  
ATTN: Andy Barrett, Director  
Environmental Policy  
P. O. Box 12428  
Austin, TX 78711

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400  
Arlington, TX 76011

Arthur C. Tate, Director  
Division of Compliance & Inspection  
Bureau of Radiation Control  
Texas Department of Health  
1100 West 49th Street  
Austin, TX 78756

Dr. Bertram Wolfe  
15453 Via Vaquero  
Monte Sereno, CA 95030

Texas Public Utility Commission  
ATTN: Mr. Glenn W. Dishong  
7800 Shoal Creek Blvd.  
Suite 400N  
Austin, TX 78757-1024

Judge, Matagorda County  
Matagorda County Courthouse  
1700 Seventh Street  
Bay City, TX 77414

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ALLOW  
LASER WELDED SLEEVING

1. The staff understands that the South Texas Project (STP) plans to perform post weld heat treatment (PWHT) of the sleeve laser welds. The PWHT is not explicitly required in the generic topical sleeve report nor is it in the STP-specific topical sleeve report. Since commitments outside the Technical Specifications (TS) are subject to change under 10 CFR 50.59, the staff requests STP place the PWHT commitment in the TS. As an alternative, submit an evaluation for staff review and approval of why it is acceptable to not perform the PWHT.
2. Recent experience at the Kewaunee plant indicates that visual test (VT) examinations of welded freespan joints may detect significant process-induced defects not detectable or resolvable by ultrasonic tests (UT) or eddy current (EC) examinations. The topical reports do not require a VT of the freespan welds. Please provide the details of the nondestructive examination qualification efforts that justify the use of UT and EC inspections, without a VT, as a sufficient means to demonstrate acceptable sleeve weld quality.
3. The staff understands that STP plans to use the Cecco-5 EC probe to inspect the sleeve welds as part of the sleeve installation acceptance process.
  - a. Discuss how any and all EC indications will be dispositioned.
  - b. WCAP-14653 discusses the use of the Cecco-5 probe to detect various process anomalies such as blow holes and weld cracking as well as to detect the presence of a PWHT. Provide a description of how the Cecco-5 was qualified for these specific applications.
  - c. Recent experiences at Maine Yankee indicate the Cecco-5 probe is not as sensitive to weld indications as the Plus Point probe. Discuss how this issue applies to STP's plans for inspection of the sleeve welds. Discuss why the use of the Cecco-5 probe as your installation acceptance tool is acceptable.
4. At Maine Yankee, EC indications in the sleeve welded joints were detected using the Plus Point probe during sleeve inspections in 1997. Currently, there are no plans to perform metallurgical evaluations of pulled sleeve/tube assemblies that had EC indications. Thus the cause of the EC indications is unknown. For example, it is not conclusively known whether the indications are process-induced or service-induced degradation. The structural and leakage integrity of the sleeve welds with these indications is also unknown. Discuss how this issue applies to STP and include in your discussions how STP plans to respond to this issue.

ENCLOSURE

5. The staff understands that tests will be performed at Unit 2 to confirm the lower rolled joint provides adequate pullout resistance and primary-to-secondary leakage resistance.
  - a. Describe confirmatory tests (e.g., number of samples, applied pressure differentials, hold times, leakage measurement techniques, etc.). Include in your description how the results will be evaluated and applied to STP.
  - b. Discuss specific actions to be taken by STP in the event the confirmatory test results invalidate previous structural or leakage qualification tests or the sleeve installation process.