



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

APR 11 1988

The Honorable Dennis DeConcini
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

50-322

Dear Senator DeConcini:

This is in response to your referral, dated February 16, 1988, of an inquiry from Mr. Benedikt Lohmiller. Although addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), there is no record that Mr. Lohmiller's letter was received. In his letter, Mr. Lohmiller expressed his concern about the problems associated with the licensing of the Shoreham nuclear power plant.

His statement that, on February 3, 1988, the NRC denied Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) a license to operate its Shoreham plant is incorrect. The NRC's licensing review of the Shoreham application is continuing.

I believe Mr. Lohmiller is referring to a Partial Initial Decision, dated February 1, 1988, by the NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB). The ASLB found that fundamental flaws existed in the full-participation exercise on February 13, 1986, of the Shoreham offsite emergency plan. On March 14, 1988, LILCO filed an appeal of this decision before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board (ASLAB). The NRC staff intends to support certain portions of LILCO's appeal.

In addition to the above appeal, other Shoreham-related licensing activities are proceeding. They include

- ° ASLB hearings on the remaining remanded issues (hospital evacuation, school bus driver role conflict, and emergency broadcast system) are scheduled to begin on May 16, 1988.
- ° An ASLB hearing on the legal authority issues is scheduled to begin at least one week after completion of the remanded issues hearings mentioned above.
- ° The ASLB appointed an alternate board member to assist in addressing LILCO's July 14, 1987 request for authorization to operate the Shoreham plant at power levels up to 25 percent of full-rated power.
- ° An ASLAB hearing on LILCO's appeal of certain portions of the December 7, 1987 ASLB Partial Initial Decision, which found the scope of the February 13, 1986 exercise insufficient to serve as a basis for issuance of a full-power operating license, is scheduled for April 28, 1988. On March 7, 1988, the NRC staff filed a brief in support of LILCO's appeal.
- ° The Federal Emergency Management Agency and the NRC staff are reviewing the latest revision of the Shoreham offsite emergency plan. A second exercise based on the revised plan is tentatively scheduled for mid-June 1988.

8804180322 880411
PDR ADCK 05000322
U PDR

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the remaining emergency planning issues within the framework of the NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. The revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Sincerely,

Original signed by
Victor Stello

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	NRC PDR	Local PDR
EDO #003589	EDO rf	TEMurley/JHSniezek
FMiraglia	SVarga/BBoger	WButler
MO'Brien	SBrown/GRivenbark	CA
SECY	DMossburg	PDI-2 Reading
OGC	Russell	Murray
Martin	Gillespie	Tech Editor-MMejac

Previously concurred*

PDI-2/PM*	Tech Editor*	PPR/C	PDI-2/D*	DRP/ADRI	BBP/DRI
SBrown:mr	MMejac	DMatthews	WButler	BBoger	SVarga
03/29/88	03/29/88	3/29/88	03/29/88	3/29/88	3/29/88
OGC	AD/PPR	ONRR/D	ONRR/D	EDD	OCA
3/29/88	FMiraglia	JHSniezek	TEMurley	VStello	mcc/07
	3/29/88	3/29/88	3/31/88	4/0/88	4/11/88

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the New York State and local governments' emergency planning concerns in terms of NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. The revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	NRC PDR	Local PDR
EDO #003589	EDO rf	TEMurley/JHSniezek
FMiraglia	SVarga/BBoger	WButler
MO'Brien	SBrown/GRivenbark	CA
SECY	DMossburg	PDI-2 Reading
OGC	Russell	Murray
Martin	Gillespie	Tech Editor-MMejac

Previously concurred*

PDI-2/PM*	Tech Editor*	PEPB/C	PDI-2/D	DRP/ADRI	DRP/DRI
SBrown:mr	MMejac	DMatthews	WButler	BBoger	SVarga
03/29/88	03/29/88	/ /88	3/29/88	3/29/88	/ /88
OGC	AD/PROJ	ONRR/DD	ONRR/D	EDO	
/ /88	FMiraglia	JHSniezek	TEMurley	VStello	
	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88	

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the New York State and local governments' emergency planning concerns in terms of NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. The revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	NRC PDR	Local PDR
EDO #003589	EDO rf	TEMurley/JHSniezek
FMiraglia	SVarga/BBoger	WButler
MO'Brien	SBrown/GRivenbark	CA
SECY	DMossburg	PDI-2 Reading
OGC	Russell	Murray
Martin	Gillespie	Tech Editor-MMejac

Previously concurred*

PDI-2/PM*	Tech Editor*	PDI-2/D	JRP/ADRI	DRP/DRI	OGC
SBrown:mr	MMejac	WButler	BBoger	SVarga	
03/29/88	03/29/88	3/29/88	3/29/88	/ /88	/ /88
AD/PROJ	ONRR/DD	ONRR/D	EDO		
FMiraglia	JHSniezek	TEMurley	VStello		
/ /88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88		

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the New York State and local governments' emergency planning concerns in terms of NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. The revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	NRC PDR	Local PDR
EDO #003589	EDO rf	TEMurley/JHSniezek
FMiraglia	SVarga/BBoger	WButler
MO'Brien	SBrown/GRivenbark	CA
SECY	DMossburg	PDI-2 Reading
OGC	Russell	Murray
Martin	Gillespie	Tech Editor-MMejac

Previously concurred*

PDI-2/PM <i>SS</i>	Tech Editor*	PDI-2/D	DRP/ADRI	DRP/DRI	OGC
SBrown:mr	MMejac	WButler	BBoger	SVarga	
3/29/88	03/29/88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88
AD/PROJ	ONRR/DD	ONRR/D	EDO		
FMiraglia	JHSniezek	TEMurley	VStello		
/ /88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88		

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the Spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward it's findings and recommendations to the Commission. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the New York State and local governments' emergency planning concerns, in terms of the Commission's recent "realism" rule. This "realism" rule relies on the expectation that public officials will act aggressively to protect the health and safety of the public during any emergency, including those that involve nuclear power plants. The Commission will then decide based on the merits of the case whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	NRC PDR	Local PDR			
EDO #003589	EDO rf	TEMurley/JHSniezek			
FMiraglia	SVarga/BBoger	WButler			
MO'Brien	SBrown/GRivenbark	CA			
SECY	DMossburg	PDI-2 Reading			
OGC	Russell	Murray			
Martin	Gillespie	Tech Editor			
PDI-2/PM	Tech Editor	PDI-2/D	DRP/ADRI	DRP/DRI	OGC
SBrown:mr	<i>N.M. EJP</i>	WButler	BBoger	SVarga	
/ /88	2/29/88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88
AD/PROJ	ONRR/DD	ONRR/D	EDO		
FMiraglia	JHSniezek	TEMurley	VStello		
/ /88	/ /88	/ /88	/ /88		

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the remaining emergency planning issues within the framework of the NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. The revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Sincerely,

Original signed by
Victor Stello

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

EDO #003589
FMiraglia
MO'Brien
SECY
OGC
Martin

NRC PDR
EDO rf
SVarga/BBoger
SBrown/GRivenbark
DMossburg
Russell
Gillespie

Local PDR
TEMurley/JHSniezek
WButler
CA
PDI-2 Reading
Murray
Tech Editor-MMejac

Previously concurred*

PDI-2/PM*
SBrown:mr
03/29/88

Tech Editor*
MMejac
03/29/88

PDI-2/C
DMatthews
3/29/88

PDI-2/D*
WButler
03/29/88

DRP/ADRI
BBoger
3/31/88

DRP/ADRI
SVarga
3/31/88

OGC
3/31/88

AD/PM
FMiraglia
3/31/88

ONRR/D
JHSniezek
3/31/88

ONRR/D
TEMurley
3/31/88

EDO
VStello
/ /88



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

APR 11 1988

The Honorable Dennis DeConcini
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator DeConcini:

This is in response to your referral, dated February 16, 1988, of an inquiry from Mr. Benedikt Lohmiller. Although addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), there is no record that Mr. Lohmiller's letter was received. In his letter, Mr. Lohmiller expressed his concern about the problems associated with the licensing of the Shoreham nuclear power plant.

His statement that, on February 3, 1988, the NRC denied Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) a license to operate its Shoreham plant is incorrect. The NRC's licensing review of the Shoreham application is continuing.

I believe Mr. Lohmiller is referring to a Partial Initial Decision, dated February 1, 1988, by the NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB). The ASLB found that fundamental flaws existed in the full-participation exercise on February 13, 1986, of the Shoreham offsite emergency plan. On March 14, 1988, LILCO filed an appeal of this decision before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board (ASLAB). The NRC staff intends to support certain portions of LILCO's appeal.

In addition to the above appeal, other Shoreham-related licensing activities are proceeding. They include

- ASLB hearings on the remaining remanded issues (hospital evacuation, school bus driver role conflict, and emergency broadcast system) are scheduled to begin on May 16, 1988.
- An ASLB hearing on the legal authority issues is scheduled to begin at least one week after completion of the remanded issues hearings mentioned above.
- The ASLB appointed an alternate board member to assist in addressing LILCO's July 14, 1987 request for authorization to operate the Shoreham plant at power levels up to 25 percent of full-rated power.
- An ASLAB hearing on LILCO's appeal of certain portions of the December 7, 1987 ASLB Partial Initial Decision, which found the scope of the February 13, 1986 exercise insufficient to serve as a basis for issuance of a full-power operating license, is scheduled for April 28, 1988. On March 7, 1988, the NRC staff filed a brief in support of LILCO's appeal.
- The Federal Emergency Management Agency and the NRC staff are reviewing the latest revision of the Shoreham offsite emergency plan. A second exercise based on the revised plan is tentatively scheduled for mid-June 1988.

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the remaining emergency planning issues within the framework of the NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. This revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the remaining emergency planning issues within the framework of the NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. The revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Sincerely,
Original signed by
Victor Stello

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File
EDO #003589
FMiraglia
MO'Brien
SECY
OGC
Martin

NRC PDR
EDO rf
SVarga/BBoger
SBrown/GRivenbark
DMossburg
Russell
Gillespie

Local PDR
TEMurley/JHSniezek
WButler
CA
PDI-2 Reading
Murray
Tech Editor-MMejac

Previously concurred*

PDI-2/PM*
SBrown:mr
03/29/88

Tech Editor*
MMejac
03/29/88

PDI-2/D*
WButler
03/29/88

PDI-2/D*
WButler
03/29/88

DRP/ADRI
BBoger
3/29/88

DRP/ADRI
SVarga
3/29/88

OGC
3/14/88

AD/PM
FMiraglia
3/29/88

ONRR/D
JHSniezek
3/29/88

ONRR/D
TEMurley
3/31/88

EDO
VStello
1/88



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

APR 11 1988

The Honorable Dennis DeConcini
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator DeConcini:

This is in response to your referral, dated February 16, 1988, of an inquiry from Mr. Benedikt Lohmiller. Although addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), there is no record that Mr. Lohmiller's letter was received. In his letter, Mr. Lohmiller expressed his concern about the problems associated with the licensing of the Shoreham nuclear power plant.

His statement that, on February 3, 1988, the NRC denied Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) a license to operate its Shoreham plant is incorrect. The NRC's licensing review of the Shoreham application is continuing.

I believe Mr. Lohmiller is referring to a Partial Initial Decision, dated February 1, 1988, by the NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB). The ASLB found that fundamental flaws existed in the full-participation exercise on February 13, 1986, of the Shoreham offsite emergency plan. On March 14, 1988, LILCO filed an appeal of this decision before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board (ASLAB). The NRC staff intends to support certain portions of LILCO's appeal.

In addition to the above appeal, other Shoreham-related licensing activities are proceeding. They include

- ASLB hearings on the remaining remanded issues (hospital evacuation, school bus driver role conflict, and emergency broadcast system) are scheduled to begin on May 16, 1988.
- An ASLB hearing on the legal authority issues is scheduled to begin at least one week after completion of the remanded issues hearings mentioned above.
- The ASLB appointed an alternate board member to assist in addressing LILCO's July 14, 1987 request for authorization to operate the Shoreham plant at power levels up to 25 percent of full-rated power.
- An ASLAB hearing on LILCO's appeal of certain portions of the December 7, 1987 ASLB Partial Initial Decision, which found the scope of the February 13, 1986 exercise insufficient to serve as a basis for issuance of a full-power operating license, is scheduled for April 28, 1988. On March 7, 1988, the NRC staff filed a brief in support of LILCO's appeal.
- The Federal Emergency Management Agency and the NRC staff are reviewing the latest revision of the Shoreham offsite emergency plan. A second exercise based on the revised plan is tentatively scheduled for mid-June 1988.

I wish to assure you that the NRC staff is processing the Shoreham license application on a high-priority basis and currently projects completion of the work by the spring of 1989. At that time the staff will forward its findings and recommendations to the NRC Commissioners. This schedule assumes timely resolution of the remaining emergency planning issues within the framework of the NRC's recent revision to its emergency planning rule, 10 CFR 50.47. This revised rule provides criteria for evaluation of utility-prepared emergency plans for situations in which State and/or local governments decline to participate further in emergency planning. The NRC Commissioners will then decide, on the basis of the merits of the case, whether operation of the Shoreham plant would pose an undue risk to the public health or safety.

I hope this information will help correct any misunderstandings about the current licensing status of the Shoreham plant.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director
for Operations



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

ACTION

EDO PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

FROM:

SEN. DENNIS DeCONCINI

DUE: 04/07/88

EDO CONTROL: 003589
DOC DT: 03/16/88
FINAL REPLY:

TO:

NRC

FOR SIGNATURE OF:

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

*** GREEN ***

SECY NO:

88-0171

DESC:

ENCLOSES LETTER FROM BENEDICT LOHMILLER
CONCERNING SHOREHAM

ROUTING:

RUSSELL
MURRAY

DATE: 03/24/88

ASSIGNED TO: NRR

CONTACT: MURLEY


SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

OEDO HAS NO RECORD OF THE 2/5/88 LTR
ADDRESSED TO NRC

NRR RECEIVED: MARCH 25, 1988

ACTION: DRPR:VARGA

NRR ROUTING: MURLEY/SNIEZEK
MIRAGLIA
MARTIN
GILLESPIE
MOSSBURG



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

APER NUMBER: CRC-88-0171 LOGGING DATE: Mar 2 88

ACTION OFFICE: ~~oec~~ *EDO*

AUTHOR: D. DeConcini--Const Ref

AFFILIATION: U.S. SENATE

LETTER DATE: Feb 16 88 FILE CODE: ID&R-5 Shoreham

SUBJECT: NRC's denial to allow the Shoreham facility to
operate

ACTION: Direct Reply

DISTRIBUTION: OCA to Ack

SPECIAL HANDLING: None

NOTES:

DATE DUE: Mar 16 88

SIGNATURE: . DATE SIGNED:

AFFILIATION:

Rec'd Off. EDO

Date 3-23-88

Time 4pm

EDO---003589

JOHN C. STENNIS, MISSISSIPPI, CHAIRMAN

ROBERT C. BYRD, WEST VIRGINIA
WILLIAM PROXMIRE, WISCONSIN
DANIEL K. INOUE, HAWAII
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, SOUTH CAROLINA
LAWTON CHILES, FLORIDA
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON, LOUISIANA
CLEMENT N. BURDICK, NORTH DAKOTA
PATRICK J. LEAHY, VERMONT
JIM SASSER, TENNESSEE
DENNIS DeCONCINI, ARIZONA
DALE BUMPERS, ARKANSAS
FRANK R. LAUTENBERG, NEW JERSEY
TOM HARKIN, IOWA
BARBARA A. MIKULSKI, MARYLAND
HARRY REID, NEVADA

MARK O. HATFIELD, OREGON
TED STEVENS, ALASKA
LOWELL P. WEICKER, JR., CONNECTICUT
JAMES A. MCCLURE, IDAHO
JACK GARN, UTAH
THAD COCHRAN, MISSISSIPPI
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WISCONSIN
ALFONSE M. D'AMATO, NEW YORK
WARREN RUDMAN, NEW HAMPSHIRE
ARLEN SPECTER, PENNSYLVANIA
PETE V. DOMENICI, NEW MEXICO
CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, IOWA
DON NICKLES, OKLAHOMA

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-8025

February 16, 1988

FRANCIS J. SULLIVAN, STAFF DIRECTOR
J. KEITH KENNEDY, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

The attached inquiry from:

Benedikt Lohmiller
1250 E. Bethany Home Rd. 6
Phoenix, AZ 85014

is respectfully referred to:

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Your comments regarding this matter will be most appreciated.

Sincerely,

DENNIS DeCONCINI
United States Senator

Please reply to:

The Honorable Dennis DeConcini
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Att: Chip Walgren

3/2..To DGC for Direct Reply..Suspense: Mar 16..OCA to Ack
Docket..88-0171