

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
BEFORE THE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

METROPOLITAN EDISON CO, ET AL
(EXHIBIT #1)

DOCKET NO 50-289
(RESTART)

INTERVENOR LEWIS REQUEST TO JOIN INTERVENOR'S
JOINT RESPONSE... AND COMMENTS ON Licensee

LETTER OF DECEMBER, '80 & Subsequent Commission Orders

INTERVENOR LEWIS RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT
he be allowed to join in "INTERVENOR'S
JOINT RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO Licensee's
Motion for Reconsideration of the Commission's
ORDERS OF 7/2/79 and 8/9/79." INTERVENOR
LEWIS WAS UNREACHABLE at the time of
drafting and signing of the "INTERVENOR'S
JOINT RESPONSE", and wishes to demonstrate
his complete concurrence with the entire
response by this request to join.

DS03

INTERVENOR LEWIS PERSONAL VIEWS ON THE
Licensee's LETTER OF DEC 1, '80.

The following comments are the personal
VIEWS OF INTERVENOR LEWIS and are not
in any way the views of other intervenors.

I wish to call the Commission's
attention to "Inside NRC" Dec 15, 80 Page 3;
Wherein GPU's \$4 Billion suite against NRC
is discussed:

(See next page.)

"(based on the (GPU) assumption that TMI-1 will Resume operation ON July, 1982...)"

Not only is this licensee request (Dec 1, 80) untimely, in that it is filed late (Joint Response Page 3 Item 1.); BUT ALSO THIS REQUEST IS PREMATURE AS EXPOUNDED IN Licensee's CLAIM AGAINST NRC (above). This point, "TMI is not ready to be restarted now", is also discussed ON Page 33 of "Joint Response" Item 8.

FURTHER COMPLICATIONS MILITATING AGAINST RESTART.

The 'Joint Response' has discussed many complications militating against restart. I wish to point out only one or two new problems that have only recently reached the domain of public interest.

TMI has become a permanent, de facto, waste storage site.

(Science, 10 OCT 80 P166-170 L.J. CARTER & Chmn Aherne, NRC, to Sec DOE DUNCAN, 10 OCT 80)

Not only is TMI a de facto, permanent waste storage site, but the drums are leaking!

Now, the Commission has ordered a 'separation' of UNITS 1 & 2. However both units occupy the same island, a very small island.

I respectfully petition the Commissioners to consider in any decision for RESTART:

1. The TMI Island is now a permanent RAD WASTE STORAGE SITE.
2. The amount and problems of operating a REACTOR next to a de facto permanent RAD WASTE SITE WERE NOT INCORPORATED IN ANY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT OR SAFETY ANALYSIS REVIEW FOR EITHER TMI #1 OR 2.
3. This TMI RAD WASTE SITE HAS BEEN HAVING PROBLEMS! (Newspaper REFERENCES TO LEAKING DRUMS, LEAKING CORK GASKETS, & CONTAMINATED WELLS ARE NOT INCLUDED. They will be obtained upon request.)

Militancy of Affected Population:

I became involved in this proceeding because AEC WASH 740 UPDATE SAID my life could be endangered 90 miles away from a major nuclear accident. Viewing the conduct of Met Ed during the Mar 29, 79 accident, I became convinced that Met Ed was one management team that truly posed a direct and clear threat to my life if allowed to restart any nuclear reactor.

(Next page.)