

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File  
AEC PDR  
Branch Reading  
JRBuchanan, ORNL  
RAPurple, L:OR-1  
ABurger, L:OR-1  
SATEets, L:OR-1  
RO (3)  
OGC  
ACRS (16)  
TJCarter, L:OR  
SVarga, L:RP  
KRGoller, L:OR

MAY 13 1974

Docket No. 50-29

Yankee Atomic Electric Company  
ATTN: Mr. L. H. Heider  
Assistant Vice President  
20 Turnpike Road  
Westboro, Massachusetts 01581

Gentlemen:

By letter dated March 18, 1974, you submitted changes to the Technical Specifications appended to License No. DPR-3 that would allow installation of a new incore instrumentation package for the Yankee-Rowe reactor. We have reviewed your submittal and found that additional information is required to complete our review. The needed information and schedules were discussed with Mr. C. Andognini of your staff during a discussion on the telephone on April 16, 1974.

Attachment A to this letter identifies for the record the additional information that we have requested during the recent telephone discussion. In order to maintain our review schedule, we need acceptable responses not later than May 30, 1974.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Purple, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #1  
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:

Attachment A - Additional  
Information on Yankee-Rowe

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS  
POOR QUALITY PAGES

OFFICE →	L:ORB #1	L:ORB #1				
SURNAME →	ABurger:lb	RAPurple				
DATE →	5/13/74	5/13/74				

8011080 845

P

ATTACHMENT A

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON YANKEE-ROWE

INSTALLATION OF A NEW INCORE INSTRUMENTATION PACKAGE

DOCKET NO. 50-29

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC COMPANY

1. Provide a description of the new incore instrumentation package in sufficient detail comparable to the description in the present pages 107:1 through 107:8, including Figure 1 included in the Technical Specifications.
2. Provide a figure or figures that will depict the important details of the flux thimble sealing system at the vessel ports comparable to details shown in the present Figures 107:4A and 107:4B.
3. Describe in sufficient detail the thimble leak detection system and how you will provide for isolation of individual thimbles.
4. Provide the basis for your conclusion that the total flow and the flow distribution in the reactor vessel will not be changed significantly as a result of the installation of the modified support structure in the upper plenum.
5. Justify the use of the conoseals and swageseals in the reactor vessel head port assemblies as ANSI N18.2 Safety Class I features.
6. Provide assurance that it is unlikely that potential flow-induced vibration of the thimbles in the upper plenum will cause failure of a thimble or a thimble swageseal.
7. Assuming a thimble were to fail and would be isolated, will the thimble up to the isolation valve be protected against potential damage from missiles to preclude uncontrolled leakage of primary coolant?

OFFICE ➡						
SURNAME ➡						
DATE ➡						