

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)
Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-312
License No. DPR-54

During an NRC inspection conducted January 25 through February 19, 1988, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1987), the violation is listed below:

- A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, requires that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures or drawings and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures, or drawings.

SMUD Materials Management Procedure MMP-0025, "Preservation, Storage, and Maintenance of Items in Storage," paragraph 7.6.5, requires that items whose shelf life has expired shall be removed from their storage location and placed in a "hold" location. Rancho Seco Management Information System report RSMM 0330, dated January 4, 1988, identified materials with expiration dates for the first quarter of 1988.

Contrary to the above, on February 4, 1988, three safety-related RSMM 0330 gasket line items (3 pieces), with expired shelf lives, had not been tagged or placed in a hold location.

This is a Severity Level IV violation, (Supplement I), and is similar to Violation 87-06-05.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Sacramento Municipal Utility District is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Mr. A. D'Angelo, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved; (3) the corrective steps that have been taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked. Why such action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

D.F. Kirsch
Dennis F. Kirsch, Director
Division of Reactor Safety and
Projects

Dated at Walnut Creek, California
this 2nd day of March 1988