

From: Terence Chan, OCM/GO
To: WMD William Dean, EDO
Date: 8/29/96 10:50am
Subject: Refueling practices survey

Bill -

I seem to recall a paper or study having been sent up to us in the recent past that described the results of the survey. Can I get a copy from you. I seem to have misplaced mine. Also, whenever you have a few minutes, I'd like to talk with you about the proposed 50.54(f) letter. More specifically: what are the staff resource implications, what we're going to do with the info, the timeframe of our review, what product will licensees receive from the NRC after the review, how this information will help us determine whether we ought to modify, suspend, or revoke their license, and what alternatives to the 50.54(f) letter were considered.

Thanks - Terence

CC: WND2.WNP5.FMA, WND2.WNP5.EMM

D/24

8/30/96

T-4018

Jim:

Attached per our
discussion this morning
is the "correct" p 5
for att 2B of the CRGR
Meeting #290 minutes.

Melinda
415-1178

9/25

Mr. (name)

- 5 -

Please submit your response to the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, with a copy to the appropriate Regional Administrator and the NRC Document Control Desk. The following information is required for each licensed unit:

- (1) a description of any design review or reconstitution programs, including identification of the systems, structures, and components, and plant-level design attributes (e.g., seismic, high-energy line break, moderate-energy line break), which have already been completed, are planned, or are being conducted to ensure the correctness and accessibility of the design bases information for your plant and to ensure that it is maintained current. If the program is planned or being conducted but has not been completed, provide an implementation schedule for systems, structures, and components, and plant-level design attribute reviews and expected completion date.
- (2) a rationale for not implementing such a program, if no design review or reconstitution program has been implemented or planned.

The responses provided pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) above should address how current or planned plant processes or programs address the following areas of particular interest, as expressed in NUMARC 90-12, the staff's comments on the industry guidelines, and the Commission policy statement:

- (a) description of engineering design and configuration control processes, including those that implement 10 CFR 50.59, 10 CFR 50.71(e), and Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50;
- (b) selection and prioritization of systems, structures, and components for review (item (1) only);
- (c) rationale for concluding that design bases requirements are translated into operating, maintenance, and testing procedures;
- (d) rationale for concluding that system, structure, and component configuration and performance are consistent with the design bases;
- (e) processes for problem identification and implementation of corrective actions, including actions to determine the extent of problems, action to prevent recurrence, and reporting to NRC; and
- (f) the overall effectiveness of your current processes and programs in concluding that the configuration of your plant(s) is consistent with the design bases.