


APR 2 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: Alan Shropshire, Region I Allegation Coordinator
FROM: Jack Strosnider, Chief, Reactor Projects Section 1B
SUBJECT: CLOSURE OF ALLEGATIONS AT SHOREHAM

By this memorandum, I am closing out the following allegations related to Shoreham:

RI-84-A-180
RI-85-A-8
RI-85-A-26
RI-85-A-27
RI-85-A-48
RI-85-A-51

Attachments I through VI discuss the bases for the closeout of each of the listed allegations.


Jack Strosnider, Chief
Reactor Projects Section 1B

cc: H. Kister
J. Berry
E. Conner
F. Fuhrmeister

B/2

RI-85-A-37

INSUFFICIENT SCOPE OF READINESS ASSESSMENT TEAM INSPECTION

The allegation, relating to the adequacy of the Readiness Assessment Team (RAT). Inspection, was received as part of an allegation involving Nine Mile Point Unit 2 on 12 March 1985.

The allegor stated that the scope of the RAT Inspection was too narrow and confined, and that it should have been based on statistical sampling.

A letter was drafted stating the purpose and results of the RAT Inspection and sent to the allegor on 9 July 1985 (copy enclosed). Inspection report number 50-322/83-13 was included as an attachment to that letter, as a demonstration of documentation of NRC followup on RAT inspection findings.

Based on this action, the allegation is closed.

ALLEGATION PANEL DECISIONS

Priority: Low


An allegation panel met on 3/27/85 regarding RI-85-A-0037 which was received by the OAC on 3/27/85.


Those in attendance were:	R. Starostecki	Panel Chairman
	H. Kister	Branch Chief
	J. Strosnider	Action Office Contact
	E. Kelly	Project Engineer
	A. Shropshire	Office Allegation Coordinator


FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS:

- 1) Write a letter to the ^{allegor}~~licensee~~ addressing why the inspection was conducted the way it (signed by R. Starostecki) (ECD 4/5/85). The letter should express the NRC's consideration of the method he suggests but was not used because it was not consistent with the purpose of this inspection. This will close the allegation.

Division Director concurrence required for closeout.


A. Shropshire, OAC


J. Strosnider, AOC

 *rec'd
SPR
6/5/85*
R. Starostecki
Panel Chairman

cc:
J. Allan
J. Gutierrez
R. Christopher, OI:RI
D. Capton
H. Kister
J. Strosnider
Allegation File

B/1

ALLEGATION DESCRIPTION

Shoreham
(Site or Licensee)

50-322
(Docket No.)

Allegation RI-85-A-0037 was received on 3/12/85 (this allegation was broken out from RI-85-A-0012 at the Panel Meeting held on 3/27/85) by W. Lazarus.

Characterization of the concern:

NRC's R.A.T. Inspection of two years was inadequate

Confidentiality: No
Employer:
Position:

Name:
Address:
Phone:

Type of Regulated Activity: Reactor
Functional Area(s): Construction

DETAILS: (Timeframe of Allegation: R.A.T. Inspection of 2 years ago)

At an interview conducted by W. Lazarus, the alleged stated that the NRC's Readiness Assessment Team Inspection conducted two years ago was not adequate. He based this on the scope of the inspection by stating that it was too narrow and confined. The alleged believes that random sampling based statistics should have been used to conduct a thorough assessment at Shoreham.