

Northeast Utilities
Millstone - Unit 3

Independent Corrective Action Verification Program
(ICAVP)

Programmatic Review Checklist

CK-MP3-04-07, Rev. 0

NCR/EWR REVIEW

Prepared by: P. Sheppard
Name

P. Sheppard
Signature

6/13/97
Date

Approved by: T. J. Ryan
Name

T. J. Ryan
Signature

6/13/97
Date

IMPLEMENTATION

<u>Change Process:</u>	
Verified By:	Date:
Concurrence By:	Date:

NCR/EWR Review Checklist

Programmatic Review Checklist

CK-MP3-04-07

NCR/EWR Review

NCR or EWR Title: _____
NCR/EWR No.: _____

General Review Questions

These questions should be answered for Engineering Work Requests (EWRs) and Non-Conformance Reports (NCRs), either of which have been dispositioned "use-as-is". Checklist CK-MP3-04-01 addresses the evaluation of corrective actions, including nonconformances dispositioned "use-as-is". In the case of NCRs, only Question 10 of this checklist and the questions on CK-MP3-04-01 need be answered. (if a question is not applicable for the particular NCR/EWR, indicate the reason)

1. Is the reason for the proposed EWR stated?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
2. If the reason for the proposed EWR is a nonconformance or a degraded condition in important-to-safety equipment, has the nonconformance or degraded condition been entered into a corrective action system for correction or resolution? NRC Generic Letter 91-18 defines a degraded condition as a condition of a structure, system or component in which there has been any loss of quality or functional capability.
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
3. If the proposed EWR involves equipment within the scope of the maintenance rule (10 CFR 50.65), whether the equipment is within the scope of Northeast Utilities Quality Assurance Program (NUQAP) Topical report or not, it may still need to be entered into a corrective action system. If the EWR is proposed because maintenance goals required by 10 CFR 50.65 are not being met, or because a repetitive maintenance preventable functional failure occurred, or where a clearly declining trend in equipment performance or condition is indicated, has the root cause been identified (this cause analysis can be a range of depths) and has reasonable corrective action been taken or proposed?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____

NCR/EWR Review Checklist

-
4. If the EWR is proposed to improve the plant, has the decision not to install the EWR considered the safety implications, e.g., effect on the plant probabilistic risk assessment?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
5. If the resolution of the proposed EWR is "use-as-is", i.e., do not install the EWR, has a safety evaluation screening and environmental screening evaluated leaving the plant in this condition? This may be addressed in the screening for the EWR or an initiating nonconformance provided the screening scope is sufficiently broad.
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
6. Has the decision not to install the EWR considered the effect on the Security Plan?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
7. Has the decision not to install the EWR considered the effect on the Emergency Plan?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
8. Has the decision not to install the EWR considered the effect on the Inservice Inspection Program and the Inservice Test Program?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
9. Have any analysis required by ASME Section XI, such as IWB-3600, to support the "use-as-is" decision been performed and has the analysis been reviewed by the required authorities, e.g., the NRC?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____
10. Is the engineering assessment technically adequate?
yes _____ no _____ n/a _____

NCR/EWR Review Checklist

For any "No" items, provide the reason and any appropriate discussion. For any "No" items, which are determined not to be a discrepancy, provide the basis.

Comments

Item No.

Discussion