



SERVICE FOR EVALUATING REGULATORY CHANGES

FOIA/MA REQUEST

Case No: 97-183
Date Recd: 5-22-97
Action Off: Pool
Related Case: _____

May 19, 1997

Mr. D. H. Grimsley, Director
Division of Freedom of Information & Publication Services
Office of Administration
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Grimsley:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, and the implementing regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, I am requesting that the supplemental safety evaluation report mentioned on page 7 of Inspection Report 50-313/85-04 and 50-368/85-04, dated March 21, 1985 (pertinent page enclosed) be placed in the Public Document Room. An earlier Inspection Report 50-368/82-33 refers to an "internal Safety Evaluation Report" in the letter dated January 18, 1983 from W. C. Seidle to William Cavanaugh. It is requested that this report also be placed in the Public Document Room.

Very truly yours,

Nancy G. Chapman
SERCH Manager



BECHTEL POWER CORPORATION

9801 Washingtonian Blvd., Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878-5356

Phone: (301) 417-3771

Fax: (301) 926-7036

9706300105 970624
PDR FOIA
CHAPMAN97-183 PDR

MAR 21 1985

In Reply Refer To:
Dockets: 50-313/85-04
50-368/85-04

Arkansas Power & Light Company
ATTN: John M. Griffin,
Senior Vice President -
Energy Supply
P. O. Box 551
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Gentlemen:

This refers to the inspection conducted by Messrs. W. D. Johnson and P. H. Harrell of this office during the period February 1-28, 1985, of activities authorized by NRC Operating Licenses DPR-51 and NPF-6 for Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2, and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. J. M. Levine, and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during the inspection included operational safety verification, maintenance, surveillance, followup on previously identified items, quality assurance audit program review, onsite review committee, QA/QC administration program, followup on IE Bulletins, and followup on licensee event reports. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examination of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspectors. The findings are documented in the enclosed inspection report.

One unresolved item is identified in paragraph 6 of the enclosed inspection report.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

"Original Signed By:
D. R. HUNTER"

D. R. Hunter, Chief
Reactor Project Branch 2

Enclosure:
Appendix - NRC Inspection Report
50-313/85-04
50-368/85-04

cc: (See page 2)

RIV:RPB2
WDJohnson:gb
3/21/85

RPB2 DMH
DRHunter
3/21/85

8503270504 850321
PDR ADOCK 05000313
PDR

TEC
1/1

IE Bulletin 80-06, entitled "Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) Reset Controls," was last discussed in NRC Inspection Report No. 50-368/82-06 for ANO, Unit 2. The NRC Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has issued a supplemental safety evaluation report based upon its review of the licensee's submittal of April 16, 1982. This report concluded that the ESF reset controls for ANO, Unit 2 were in compliance with NRC criteria. This bulletin is closed.] *

5. Operational Safety Verification (Units 1 and 2)

The NRC inspectors observed control room operations, reviewed applicable logs, and conducted discussions with control room operators. The inspectors verified the operability of selected emergency systems, reviewed tagout records, verified proper return-to-service of affected components, and ensured that maintenance requests had been initiated for equipment in need of maintenance. The inspectors, by observation and direct interview, verified that the physical security plan was being implemented in accordance with the station security plan. The inspectors verified implementation of radiation protection controls during plant activities.

The NRC inspectors toured accessible areas of the units to observe plant equipment conditions, including potential fire hazards, fluid leaks, and excessive vibration. The inspectors also observed plant housekeeping and cleanliness conditions during the tour. It was noted to licensee personnel that four areas in the plant needed additional housekeeping attention. The licensee stated that the identified areas would be cleaned.

The NRC inspectors walked down the accessible portions of the Unit 2 service water system. The walkdown was performed using Procedure 2104.29 and Drawing M-2210. During the walkdown, the NRC inspectors noted minor discrepancies of an editorial nature between the drawing, procedure, and plant as-built conditions. Licensee personnel stated the discrepancies would be corrected.

The NRC inspector's review of the 200-gallon diesel fuel oil spill which occurred on January 25, 1985, indicated that the event should have been reported to the NRC. 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(vi) requires NRC notification, within four hours, of an event related to protection of the environment for which a news release is planned or notification to other government agencies has been made. On January 25, 1985, the licensee notified the U.S. Coast Guard and the Arkansas Department of Pollution Control and Ecology of the oil spill. On January 26, 1985, following prior inquiries, the licensee issued a press release concerning the oil spill. The NRC inspector was informed of the oil spill on January 26, 1985, and reported to the plant site. A review of the matter revealed that the licensee actions in response to the oil spill were found to have been prompt and complete except for the omission of the 4-hour report to the NRC.