

APR 11 1974

Frank Karas, Chief
Public Proceedings Branch
Office of the Secretary

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER

Two signed copies of a notice identified as follows are enclosed for your record and for transmittal to the office of the Federal Register for filing and publication.

KERR-MCGEE NUCLEAR CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 40-8027

Notice of Availability of AEC

Draft Environmental Statement

Thirteen (13) additional conformed copies of the notice are also enclosed for your use.

Original Signed by
R. B. Chitwood

R. B. Chitwood, Chief
Technical Support Branch
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures:
As stated

Distribution:
Docket File 40-8027 Environmental
L:TS R/F
L:FM R/F
RBChitwood, L:TS
EFlack, L:TS
JWSafer, L:TS

B512180198 740411
PDR ADOCK 04008027
C PDR

OFFICE ▶	L:TS JS	L:TS	L:TS			
SURNAME ▶	JWSafer:j11	EFlack	RBChitwood			
DATE ▶	4/9/74	4/ /74	4/9 /74			

NOTICES

representations in the medical field will be represented within either the consumer or manufacturing category depending upon their affiliation.

These changes described above are effective on August 13, 1974.

Dated: August 8, 1974.

SARAH E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer Product
Safety Commission.

(EPA Doc. 74-16595 Filed 8-12-74; 8-43 am)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(EPA 240-6)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS Availability of Environmental Protection Agency Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 302 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of July 1, 1974 and July 15, 1974.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the documents as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing will indicate the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the statements as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix IV contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, resolutions proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing by EPA. The listing will indicate the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the statements as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix V contains a listing of the source of copies of the sources for copies of EPA statements listed in Appendices I, III, and IV.

Copies of EPA impact statements with supporting and prepared by EPA's are

view of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Inquiries Branch, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements refer-

enced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Dated: August 5, 1974.

SHeldon MEYERS,
Director, Office of
Federal Activities.

APPENDIX I—Draft environmental impact statements for which comments were received between July 1, 1974 and July 15, 1974

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comment	Source for copies of statement
D-APR-AMT-11	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	I
D-APR-AMT-12	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-13	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	N
D-APR-AMT-14	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-15	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-16	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-17	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-18	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-19	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-20	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-21	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-22	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-23	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-24	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-25	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-26	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-27	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-28	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-29	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-30	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-31	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-32	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-33	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-34	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-35	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-36	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-37	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-38	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-39	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-40	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-41	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-42	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-43	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-44	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-45	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-46	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-47	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-48	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-49	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-50	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-51	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-52	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-53	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-54	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-55	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-56	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-57	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-58	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-59	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-60	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-61	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-62	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-63	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-64	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-65	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-66	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-67	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-68	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-69	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-70	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-71	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-72	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-73	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-74	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-75	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-76	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-77	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-78	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-79	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-80	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-81	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-82	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-83	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-84	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-85	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-86	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-87	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-88	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-89	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-90	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-91	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-92	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-93	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-94	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-95	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-96	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-97	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-98	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-99	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K
D-APR-AMT-100	ER-Road planning study, T. Woodbridge, Inc., Mont.	ER-2	K

40-8027

NOTICES

APPENDIX III.—Final environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between July 1, 1973 and July 15, 1974.

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Department of Agriculture			
F-SCS-A3638-MI...	Tillatoga Creek watershed project, Otsego, Tallmadge, and Yates Counties, Miss.	The final statement adequately covered EPA's areas of concern on the draft statement. However, EPA recommended that consideration be given to the inclusion of more information on water quality monitoring points and to the assessment of impacts on endangered species.	E
F-SCS-A3636-KY...	Caney Creek watershed, Ohio, Butler, Grayson Counties, Ky.	EPA generally agreed with the project as proposed, however, recommended that further discussion be provided in the final statement on sedimentation.	E
F-DOA-A3634-NJ...	Assumpstok Creek watershed project, Mercer and Monmouth Counties, N.J.	EPA comments made on the draft impact statement have been adequately answered. EPA has no objections to the project as proposed.	C
Corps of Engineers			
F-COE-A3519-MI...	Confined disposal facility at Point Sturgeon, Mich.	EPA has no objections to the project but has indicated concern regarding open lake disposal of polluted construction spoil and constructing lake sections with isolated spoil. EPA also encourages a firm commitment from the State of Michigan to remove the marsh shoreline of the proposed island.	F
F-COE-A3418-OO...	Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake, formerly Trumans Bluffs Lake, Savannah River, Georgia and South Carolina	EPA had no objections to the project as proposed.	E
F-COE-A3625-IA...	Waylerville Lake, flood control project, Des Moines river, Iowa	EPA recommended that additional information be provided on the water quality condition of Waylerville Lake.	H
F-COE-A3602-NC...	Falls Lake, Neuse River Basin, water resource project, N.C.	EPA expressed concern about the project relating to the effect on water quality by nutrients contributed by urban and suburban runoff and point source discharges and requested that the COE respond to recent studies by EPA on the area.	E
F-COE-A3214-MI...	Shed Harbor, dredging, Mich.	EPA generally agreed with the project as proposed, however, expressed some concern about water quality degradation that would emanate from repeated dredging, transport, and disposal of dredged spoil.	E
Department of Housing and Urban Development			
PS-A3632-IA.....	City-industry I urban renewal project, Iowa City, Iowa	EPA had expressed environmental reservations on the final statement. However, EPA commented HUD for its prompt response to our concern as related in the supplement to the final statement.	H
Department of Interior			
F-IGR-A3606-CA...	Santa Ynez unit, OCS, Santa Barbara Channel, Calif.	EPA has determined that the proposed action encroaches environmental reservations. Of greatest concern is the potential for catastrophic or chronic oil spillage that could result from the emplacement of pipelines and pipelines in areas of bottom instability. The impact statement is an adequate assessment of bottom planned for the Biscaya area, but EPA is encouraging the preparation of subsequent impact statements as the remainder of the unit is developed.	E
Department of Transportation			
F-FAA-A3190-MI...	Detroit Metropolitan-Wayne County Airport, runway extension, Mich.	EPA believes further emphasis should have been given to the local economic setting and the project's noise impacts using the CNR methodology; and has requested further clarification and documentation of the EIS's noise study. EPA's comments on the draft EIS regarding air and water quality have been adequately addressed in the final EIS.	F
F-FHW-A3661-PA...	Cross Valley Expressway, L.R. 1002, Lawrence County, Pa.	EPA recommended to FHWA to review the highway reconstruction policy on future highway projects. Also, EPA requested periodic review to review noise studies prepared under FHWA guidelines on future projects.	D
F-FAA-A3187-KY...	Elizabethwood/Jordan County Airport, Ky.	EPA has no objections to the project as proposed.	E
F-FHW-A3661-KY...	U.S. 45, Greenfield-Crocker Counties, Maryland-Paducah Road, Ky.	E
Department of the Treasury			
PS-TRE-A3109-CO...	Proposed new Denver mint, Denver, Colo.	Generally the final statement adequately addressed the concerns EPA expressed on the draft statement to the project. EPA recommended that the Department of the Treasury give further consideration to transportation control plan and indirect source regulations.	I
Veterans Administration			
F-VAD-A3112-NY...	702-bed replacement, Veterans Administration Hospital, Bronx, N.Y.	EPA comments have been adequately answered. O suggestions regarding the noise criteria of the final impact of construction will be incorporated into contract specifications.	O

APPENDIX IV—Regulations, legislation, and other Federal agency actions for which comments were invited between July 1, 1973 and July 15, 1974.

Identifying No.	Title	Character of action	Agency for comment
Revised and Interim H-101-0200-01.....	20 CFR Part 205, 42 CFR Part 205—Other Continued Geological and geophysical data submitted and disclosure.	EPA noted that the proposed rule would be unconstitutional in providing information to be used in making decisions and general informational purposes. It is not possible to make a decision on the basis of the information submitted. The proposed rule is not a regulation. It is a policy statement. The proposed rule is not a regulation. The proposed rule is not a regulation.	A
H-101-0200-01.....	Notice—Other Continued Shall provide information, and and 12, and of 12, and and 12, and of 12, and	EPA noted that the proposed rule would be unconstitutional in providing information to be used in making decisions and general informational purposes. It is not possible to make a decision on the basis of the information submitted. The proposed rule is not a regulation. It is a policy statement. The proposed rule is not a regulation. The proposed rule is not a regulation.	A

APPENDIX V

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

- A. Director, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.
- B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I, Room 2103, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Boston, Massachusetts 02203.
- C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 847, 25 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007.
- D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III, Environmental Protection Agency, Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.
- E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV, Environmental Protection Agency, Suite 300, 1421 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309.
- F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V, Environmental Protection Agency, 1 N. Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60604.
- G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI, Environmental Protection Agency, 1600 Patterson Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1335 Baltimore Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64108.
- I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII, Environmental Protection Agency, Lincoln Tower, Room 914, 1500 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colorado 80202.
- J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX, Environmental Protection Agency, 100 California Street, San Francisco, California 94111.
- K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

[FR Doc 74-18302 Filed 8-12-74; 9:45 am]

[FRL 248-5]

UNIROYAL CHEMICAL

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticide Chemical

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 405 (d) (1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a (d) (1)), notice is given that a petition (PP 4F1521) has been filed by Uniroyal Chemical, Bethany, CT 06525, proposing to increase the established tolerance for residues of the insecticide propargite (2-(p-tert-butylphenoxy)cyclohexyl 2-propenyl sulfite) in or on corn fodder and forage from 2 parts per million to 10 parts per million.

The analytical method proposed in the petition for determining residues of the

insecticide is a gas chromatographic procedure using a specific sulfur detector. Flame photometric and microcoulometric detectors are suitable.

Dated: August 2, 1974.

JOHN B. FITCH, JR.
Director, Registration Division.

[FR Doc 74-18421 Filed 8-12-74; 9:45 am]

[FRL 248-4; GPO-52000/38]

RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS FOR PESTICIDE REGISTRATION

Data To Be Considered in Support of Applications

On November 19, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31502) its interim policy with respect to the administration of section 3(c)(1)(D) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended. This policy provides that EPA will, upon receipt of every application for registration, publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice containing the information shown below. The labeling furnished by the applicant will be available for examination at the Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB-37, East Tower, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

On or before October 15, 1974, any person who (a) is or has been an applicant, (b) believes that data he developed and submitted to EPA on or after October 21, 1972, is being used to support an application described in this notice, (c) desires to assert a claim for compensation under section 3(c)(1)(D) for such use of his data, and (d) wishes to preserve his right to have the Administrator determine the amount of reasonable compensation to which he is entitled for such use of the data, must notify the Administrator and the applicant named in the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of his claim by certified mail. Notification to the Administrator should be addressed to the Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH-559), Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Every such claimant must include, at a minimum, the information listed in the interim policy of November 19, 1973.

Applications submitted under 2(a) or 2(b) of the interim policy will be processed to completion in accordance with existing procedures. Applications submitted under 2(c) of the interim policy cannot be made final until the 60 day period has expired. If no claims are received within the 60 day period, the 2(c) application will be processed according to normal procedures. However, if claims are received within the 60 day period, the applicants against whom the claims are asserted will be advised of the alternatives available under the Act. No claims will be accepted for possible EPA adjudication which are received after October 15, 1974.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

EPA File Symbol 8901-L. Alwood Inc., 1801 S. Broadway, Gardena, CA 90248. IN- FERTILIZER STRENGTH ALL PURPOSE INSECT KILLER. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrin 0.6%; Piperonyl butoxide, technical 1.4%; Petroleum alcohol 11.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 341-94. American Cyanamid Co., Agricultural Div., Box 400, Princeton, NJ 08540. CYGON 301 SYSTEMIC INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Dimethoate 34.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8901-JH. Agrest Laboratories Inc., 685 Third Ave., New York, NY 10017. SONACIDE STERILIZED AND DISINFECTING SOLUTION. Active Ingredients: Glutaraldehyde (1.5 Pentagonal) 2%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8901-C. J. Scham-Massengill Pharmaceuticals, Div. of Beecham Inc., Bristol TN 37620. BAP MYCODEX PET SPRAY. Active Ingredients: o-Isopropylphenyl methylcarbamate 6.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 11738-U. Chemical Water Treating Engineers, Inc., PO Box 5806, Dallas TX 75214. KEM-CHIEF 3. Active Ingredients: Disodium cyclophosphoridocarbamate 3.18%; Ethylenediamine 1.23%; Potassium N-methyldithiocarbamate 4.37%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 7899-RN. Chemix Corp., 1141 13th St., Arlington TX 76011. WILD-CAT INSECTICIDE 200 ROACH & ANT SPRAY. Active Ingredients: (5-Benzyl-3-furyl)methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl)crotonoate 0.350%; Related compounds 0.048%; Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons 0.481%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1001-LA. W. A. Cleary Corp., 1949 Somerset St., PO Box 19, Somerset NJ 08872. CLEARY'S SUG-CHEK GRANULAR TURF FUNGICIDE. Active Ingredients: Fentachloronitrobenzene 6%; Sodium pentachlorophenate 3%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 240-ERU. Daly-Herring Co., PO Box 438, Kingston NC 28301. BOLL MAKER LY COTTON SPRAY EMULSIFIABLE LIQUID. Active Ingredients: Toxaphene 42.81%; O, O-dimethyl O-p-nitrophenyl phosphorothioate 10.65%; Aromatic Petroleum Naphtha 46.74%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 40-8027

KERR-MCGEE NUCLEAR CORPORATION

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF AEC DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT FOR
THE SEQUOYAH URANIUM HEXAFLUORIDE PLANT

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the United States Atomic Energy Commission's regulations in Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 50, notice is hereby given that a Draft Environmental Statement prepared by the Commission's Directorate of Licensing related to the Sequoyah Uranium Hexafluoride Plant, constructed by Kerr-McGee Nuclear Corporation in Sequoyah County, Oklahoma, is available for inspection by the public in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D.C., and in the local Public Document Room located at the Sallisaw City Library, 111 North Elm, Sallisaw, Oklahoma 74955. The Draft Statement is also being made available at the State Clearinghouse, Office of Community Affairs and Planning, State Grant-in-Aid Clearinghouse, 4901 North Lincoln Boulevard, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105, and in the Metropolitan Clearinghouse, Arkhoma Regional Planning Commission, 104 N. 16th Street, Fort Smith, Arkansas 72901. Copies of the Commission's Draft Environmental Statement may be obtained by request addressed to the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Fuels and Materials, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

The name of the applicant was changed from Kerr-McGee Corporation to Kerr-McGee Nuclear Corporation effective January 1, 1974.

The Applicant's Environmental Report, as supplemented, submitted by Kerr-McGee Nuclear Corporation is also available for public inspection at the above-designated locations. Notice of availability of the Applicant's

Dur
8512160484

Environmental Report was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 28, 1971 (36 FR 25056).

Pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix D, interested persons may submit comments on the Applicant's Environmental Report, as supplemented, and the Draft Environmental Statement for the Commission's consideration. Federal and State agencies are being provided with copies of the Applicant's Environmental Report and the Draft Environmental Statement (local agencies may obtain these documents upon request). Comments are due by June 10, 1974. Comments by Federal, State, and local officials, or other persons, received by the Commission will be made available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room in Washington, D.C., and at the Local Public Document Room located at the Sallisaw City Library. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the draft environmental statement, the Regulatory staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Comments on the Draft Environmental Statement from interested members of the public should be addressed to the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Fuels and Materials, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of April, 1974.

FOR THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Original Signed by
R. B. Chitwood

R. B. Chitwood, Chief
Technical Support Branch
Directorate of Licensing