

May 1, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO: Robert A. Nelson, Section Chief
Low-Level Waste and Regulatory
Issues Section
Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning
Projects Branch
Division of Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

FROM: James E. Kennedy, Senior Project Manager
Low-Level Waste and Regulatory
Issues Section
Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning
Projects Branch
Division of Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

SUBJECT: Summary of April 22, 1997 Meeting with Shieldalloy
and Cyprus Foote Mineral Company

Attached please a summary of the recent meeting with Cyprus Foote
Mineral and Shieldalloy regarding offsite slag in the Cambridge,
Ohio, area.

Docket No. : 040-08948
License No.: SMB-1507

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

Attachment: As stated

DISTRIBUTION: FILE CENTER LLDP r/f PUBLIC MThaggard
JHouse-RIII JHickey RFonner BJorgenson
Mark Small Boxes in Concurrence Block to Define Distribution Copy
Preference.

In small Box on "OFC:" line enter: C = Cover E = Cover &
Enclosure N = No Copy

Path & File Name: S:\dwm\lldp\jek\cfm.mem

OFC	LLDP													
NAME	JKennedy													
DATE	5 / 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97	/ 97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

PUBLIC: YES ☐ NO ☐ Category: Proprietary ☐ or CF Only

ACNW: YES ☐ NO ☐

IG: YES ☐ NO ☐ Delete file after distribution: Yes ☐ No ☐

9705120014 970501
PDR ADOCK 04008948
C PDR

44171

MEETING REPORT

Date: April 22, 1997

Time: 9:00 AM

Place: U.S. NRC, One White Flint North, Room 5-B-13

Purpose: Discuss NRC actions needed to allow offsite material in Cambridge Ohio to be allowed back onto Shieldalloy site.

Attendees: See Attachment

Background:

Cyprus Foote Mineral Company (CFM) has assumed responsibility for the evaluation and remediation of properties contaminated with radioactive slag in the Cambridge Ohio area. Because of a court settlement, CFM is required to remove slag by June 30, 1997 from several properties, and wishes to store it at the Shieldalloy facility until a decision is made on the disposal of the rest of the slag at the plant. CFM and Shieldalloy asked for this meeting to discuss methods by which they could return the slag to the Shieldalloy facility in accordance with NRC regulations.

Discussion:

NRC staff discussed the 10 CFR Part 40 requirements for receipt of source material back onto the site, and CFM and Shieldalloy asked clarifying questions. For source material, the staff noted that an EA would normally be required and the licensee would submit an ER to support the development of the EA. The staff estimated that at least 9 weeks would be needed to process an amendment request, if the request were of high quality.

Actions:

1. CFM and Shieldalloy will consider best approach for dealing with offsite slag based on information obtained at meeting.