

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)
EDWIN I. HATCH, UNIT IDOCKET NUMBER (2)
0 5 0 0 0 0 1 OF 0 2

TITLE (4)

FAILURE TO MEET TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CALIBRATION REQUIREMENTS

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)
06	17	85	85	024	010	11	38	85			0 5 0 0 0 0

OPERATING MODE (9)	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR § (Check one or more of the following) (11)									
5	20.402(b)		20.406(c)		50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(b)			
POWER LEVEL (10)	20.406(a)(1)(i)		50.38(e)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(c)			
0 0 0	20.406(a)(1)(ii)		50.38(c)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)		OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)			
	20.406(a)(1)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)					
	20.406(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)					
	20.406(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(ix)					

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Steven B. Tipps, Superintendent of Regulatory Compliance	9 1 2 3 6 7 - 7 8 5 1

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPDOS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPDOS

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)	NO	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On 06/17/85 at approximately 1500 CDT with the reactor mode switch in the shutdown position and the unit in cold shutdown, plant Instrumentation and Control (I and C) personnel determined that the Post LOCA Radiation Monitoring System recorders (1D11-R622A and B) were not being calibrated once every six months as required by Technical Specifications Table 3.2-11(12).

Recorders 1D11-R622A and B were calibrated on 06/17/85 and were incorporated into the plant surveillance database to be calibrated once every six-months as required by Technical Specifications Table 3.2-11(12).

There were no safety consequences as a result of this event nor were the health and safety of the public affected.

There have been previous similar events as reported in LER 50-366/1984-030, Rev.3.

Refer to the narrative for additional items added via Rev. 3.

8601230095 860113
PDR ADOCK 05000321
S PDR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
EDWIN I. HATCH, UNIT I	0 5 0 0 0 3 2 1	8 5	— 0 2 4	— 0 1	0 2	OF	0 2

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

This 30 day LER is required by 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(b) because the post LOCA Radiation Monitoring System recorders (1D11-R622A and B) were not being calibrated once every six months as required by Technical Specifications Table 3.2-11(12).

On 06/17/85 at approximately 1500 CDT with the reactor mode switch in the shutdown position and the unit in cold shutdown, plant Instrumentation and Control (I and C) personnel determined that the Post LOCA Radiation Monitoring System recorders (1D11-R622A and B) were not being calibrated once every six months as required by Technical Specifications Table 3.2-11(12).

The cause of this event was personnel error in that 1D11-R622A and B were never incorporated into the plant surveillance database. The Post LOCA Radiation Monitoring indicating and trip units (1D11-K622A through D) however, were included in the surveillance database and were being calibrated every six months as required by Technical Specifications.

There were no safety consequences as a result of this event, nor were the health and safety of the public affected.

Recorders 1D11-R622A and B were calibrated on 06/17/85 and were incorporated into the plant surveillance database to be calibrated once every six months as required by Technical Specifications Table 3.2-11(12).

The corrective actions specified in LER 50-321/1985-028 should be sufficient to prevent recurrence. They are restated as follows:

The "line-by-line" Technical Specifications review (initiated on July 22, 1985) was intended to identify and eliminate errors of this nature. As additional discrepancies are discovered, plant personnel will take the appropriate corrective action(s), and make the required report. Additionally, there is an outstanding Quality Assurance item identifying inadequacies in the control program for timely incorporation of Technical Specifications amendments into procedures. The corrective action that will be taken to resolve this QA item will provide the necessary administrative control to preclude recurrence of this event.

Similar previous events were also reported in LER 50-366/1984-030, Rev. 3. At that time, plant personnel conducted an on-site review of the surveillance database, Technical Specifications and the applicable plant procedures in order to prevent recurrence. That initial review did not identify all of the existing discrepancies.

Georgia Power Company
333 Piedmont Avenue
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Telephone 404-526-6526

Mailing Address:
Post Office Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

L. T. Gucwa
Manager Nuclear Engineering
and Chief Nuclear Engineer



SL-165
0224C

January 13, 1986

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attached is Licensee Event Report 50-321/1985-024, Revision 1. This report meets the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(b).

Very truly yours,

LT Gucwa
L. T. Gucwa

CBS/lc

Attachment

c: Mr. J. T. Beckham, Jr.
Mr. H. C. Nix, Jr.
NRC-Region II
GO-NORMS

1E22
1/1