

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
800 BOYLSTON STREET
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02199

WILLIAM D. HARRINGTON
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR

December 23, 1985
BECO 85-226
Proposed Change 85-14

Mr. John A. Zwolinski, Director
BWR Project Directorate #1
Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

License DPR-35
Docket 50-293

Proposed Administrative Technical Specification Change
Nuclear Safety Review and Audit Committee (NSRAC)

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 10CFR50.90, Boston Edison Company (BECO) hereby proposes the following revisions to Facility Operating License No. DPR-35, Appendix A. The requested changes are described in Attachment A and the revised Technical Specification pages are contained in Attachment B. In accordance with 10CFR170.22 "Schedule of Fees for Facility License Amendments", a check in the amount of one hundred and fifty dollars (\$150.00), for an amendment initiation fee, is enclosed with this letter.

Should you have any questions concerning this submittal, please do not hesitate to contact us.

9512310156 851223
PDR ADOCK 05000293
P PDR

Very truly yours,

W.D. Harrington

GGW/ns

Attachments
3 signed originals and 37 copies

cc: See next page

Commonwealth of Massachusetts)
County of Suffolk)

ADD: BWR - L/RC's TECH SUPPORT
EB (LIAW)
PSB (L. HULMAN)
EICSB (SRINIVASAN)
RSB (ACTING)
FOB (VASSALLO)
AD - G. LAINAS (LTR ONLY)

Then personally appeared before me W. D. Harrington, who, being duly sworn, did state that he is Senior Vice President - Nuclear of the Boston Edison Company, the applicant herein, and that he is duly authorized to execute and file the submittal contained herein in the name and on behalf of the Boston Edison Company and that the statements in said submittal are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

My Commission expires:

Gerald G. Whitney
Notary Public

My Commission Expires April 3, 1992

Gerald G. Whitney
Notary Public

*Rec'd w/
check \$150.00*

*A001
11*

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY

Mr. John A. Zwolinski, Director

December 23, 1985

Page 2

cc: Mr. Robert M. Hallisey, Director
Radiation Control Program
Massachusetts Dept. of Public Health
150 Tremont Street F-7
Boston, MA 02111

Attachment A

Proposed Technical Specification Changes Nuclear Safety Review and Audit Committee (NSRAC)

Reason for Change

The changes will bring the Technical Specifications (TS) in line with current NSRAC Administrative practices and policies, thus allowing more efficient operation of NSRAC within TS guidelines.

Description of Changes

Attachment B contains the specific pages of TS required for this change.

For each change proposed in Section 6.5.B of the Tech. Specs., the following justifications are provided:

1. Para. 6.5.B.2 - This change adds detail to clarify the composition of NSRAC.
2. Para. 6.5.B.3 - This change deletes redundant quorum requirements and shifts this statement to Para. 6.5.B.6.
3. Para. 6.5.B.6 - This change makes NSRAC quorum requirements more specific than presently stated, thus ensuring the effectiveness of NSRAC meetings.
4. Para. 6.5.B.7 - This change details the specific types of safety evaluations which NSRAC will review. The previous Tech. Spec. wording was too general, thus causing uncertainty in NSRAC's review responsibilities and overburdening NSRAC with unnecessary reviews.
5. Para. 6.5.B.10 - The present 14 day time requirement is detailed in the NSRAC charter. This type of administrative requirement is not necessary in the Tech. Spec.

Safety Considerations

This change does not involve an unresolved safety question as defined in 10CFR50.59. It has been reviewed and approved by the PNPS Operations Review Committee and reviewed by the Nuclear Safety Review and Audit Committee.

Significant Hazards Considerations

It has been determined that this amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Under the Commission's regulations in 10CFR50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not: (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of

accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes revise parts of Section 6 of the Administrative Technical Specifications for Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station. The changes are all administrative in nature and have no safety significance, do not physically affect plant safety related systems, and do not reduce any safety margin. On this basis, Boston Edison determined that the proposed amendment does not involve significant hazards considerations.

Schedule of Change

This proposed amendment will be put into effect upon receipt of the approval of the NRC.