

February 21, 1985

Note to: Thomas King, Chief
Advanced Reactors Group, DST/NRR

~~L~~ Gerry LaRoche
Environmental & Hydrologic
Engineering Branch, DE/NRR

From: Sherwin Turk, OELD

Subject: Board Questions on Clinch River Site Redress

The Licensing Board's law clerk read the following questions to me on the telephone, concerning the identification of an alternate user for the CRBR site:

1. What standards will be applied if an alternate user is found after DOE begins to implement Alternative 2? *p12*
2. What kind of alternate "industrial" use is contemplated?
3. What is the significance of the parties' usage of different terminology in the following documents?
 - (a) "industrial" user who is "committed" and "in conformity" with the area's developmental plan (March 1984 redress plan) *p 16*
 - (b) "serious" user (TVA-DOE Supplemental Agreement)
 - (c) undefined "alternate" user (Staff's June 1984 approval) *p 3 para 5*
4. Is it contemplated that a residential use of the property may be made?
5. What standard is to be applied if Alternative 2 has to be modified for any alternate user that is found? *p12*

I expect that the Board will contact me later today or tomorrow to reschedule our conference call or establish a new procedure for our response to these questions.

Sherwin

Sherwin Turk

8512300071 851203
PDR FOIA
BELL85-362 PDR

E/20