

November 7, 1985

Mr. H. H. Askwith, Vice President
H.A.F.A. International, Inc.
7545 Central Industrial Drive
Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Dear Mr. Askwith:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE FOR REFERENCING OF LICENSING TOPICAL REPORT HAF A 135(P),
"INSTRUMENTED INSPECTION TECHNIQUE AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE
HYDROSTATIC TESTING REQUIREMENTS FOR ASME CLASS 1, 2 AND 3 SYSTEMS
AND COMPONENTS"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted by
H.A.F.A. International, Inc. (H.A.F.A.) by letter dated April 2, 1985. We
find the report to be acceptable for referencing in license applications to
the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and
the associated NRC proprietary and non-proprietary evaluations, which are
enclosed. The evaluations define the basis for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report
and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license
applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to
the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters
described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that
H.A.F.A. publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary,
within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall
incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluations between the title page and
the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted)
following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the
acceptability of the report are invalidated, H.A.F.A. and/or the applicants
referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their
respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective
applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective
documentation.

Sincerely,

DISTRIBUTION: w/o Proprietary Evaluation

Central File RDiggs
SSPB/Reading CThomas
PDR GJohnson
DCS
PNounan
HBernard
HBerkow

Original signed by
Cecil O. Thomas, Chief
Standardization and Special
Projects Branch
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

DL:ASPB
PNounan:ac
10/23/85

DL:SSPB
HBernard
10/1/85
11/7/85

DL:SSPB
HBerkow
10/7/85

DL:SSPB
CThomas
10/7/85

8511180120 851107
PDR TOPRP EMVHAF A
C PDR

RD-8-2
HAF A
INTERNATIONAL
INCORP.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 7, 1985

Mr. H. H. Askwith, Vice President
H.A.F.A. International, Inc.
7545 Central Industrial Drive
Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Dear Mr. Askwith:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE FOR REFERENCING OF LICENSING TOPICAL REPORT Hafa 135(P),
"INSTRUMENTED INSPECTION TECHNIQUE AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE
HYDROSTATIC TESTING REQUIREMENTS FOR ASME CLASS 1, 2 AND 3 SYSTEMS
AND COMPONENTS"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted by H.A.F.A. International, Inc. (H.A.F.A.) by letter dated April 2, 1985. We find the report to be acceptable for referencing in license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC proprietary and non-proprietary evaluations, which are enclosed. The evaluations define the basis for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that H.A.F.A. publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluations between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, H.A.F.A. and/or the applicants referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective documentation.

Sincerely,

Cecil O. Thomas

Cecil O. Thomas, Chief
Standardization and Special
Projects Branch
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated