

**Official Transcript of Proceedings**  
**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Title: INTERVIEW OF KEVIN WENTZEL

Docket Number: ---

Location: CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

Date: OCTOBER 23, 1995

Work Order No.: NRC- 370 Pages 19

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.  
Court Reporters and Transcribers  
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 234-4433

Environmental  
Medical  
Service

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
MEDICAL DEPARTMENT  
77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, 208-238  
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139-4307



To: Susumu Tonegawa  
Robert McCunney  
Frank Massé  
John Murray  
Kevin Wenzel  
Juan La Faille  
David Housman

From: Mitchel Galanek *Mitchel Galanek*

Subject: Review of NRC Incident Investigation Team Transcripts

Date: November 8, 1995

\*\*\*\*\*

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission will be at MIT on Monday and Tuesday, November 13-14, 1995 to allow for review of your transcripts. The review will take place in building 20. If you plan to review your transcript, please come to the Radiation Protection Office, Room 20C-207 between 9:00 AM and 5:00 PM on these dates.

If you do not wish to review your transcripts, please indicate below and return to me in 20C-207.

Thank you for your cooperation.

\*\*\*\*\*

X

I do not wish to review my transcript.

*Kevin Wenzel*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

Director  
208-238  
(617) 253-3360  
Fax: (617) 253-4879

Biosafety Office  
20C-214  
(617) 253-1740  
Fax: (617) 253-4879

Industrial Hygiene  
20C-204  
(617) 253-2596  
Fax: (617) 253-4879

Radiation Protection  
Campus RPO 20C-207  
(617) 253-2180  
Fax: (617) 253-4879

Reactor RPO  
NW12-108  
(617) 253-4203  
(617) 253-1533

Bates LINAC C/O  
P.O. Box 95 21 Manning Road  
Middletown, MA  
(617) 253-9217 Fax: (617) 253-9399

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + + + +

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

INCIDENT INVESTIGATION TEAM

+ + + + +

INTERVIEW OF KEVIN WENTZEL

+ + + + +

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

+ + + + +

MONDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1995

+ + + + +

1:30 P.M.

INTERVIEWERS:

ALAN MADISON

BETSY ULLRICH

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(1:37 p.m.)

MS. ULLRICH: Okay, we're on the record, and it's 1:35 in the afternoon on October 23rd. This is an interview with Kevin Wentzel, who is a member of the radiation safety committee. My name is Betsy Ullrich. I'm a senior health physicist with the NRC Region 1 office. Since you're the only other team member, let's do an introduction now.

MR. MADISON: I'm Alan Madison. I'm with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission out of headquarters. I'm with the office that has the program responsibility for the incident investigation program.

MR. WENTZEL: Okay, nice to meet you both.

MS. ULLRICH: Okay, let me go through the formal introduction to make sure I cover all the points I need to before we start. This is part of the incident investigation team that's been up here for about a week at this point looking into the contamination incident that occurred in the Cancer Center.

Part of our purpose is to try to establish what happened. We're also trying to identify if there are any probable causes and to provide feedback to both the R&D community as well as to the regulatory community as to what kinds of things could be done or could be improved to

1 prevent such a thing from happening in the future.

2 We are conducting these interviews and  
3 recording them so that we can get a transcript. This means  
4 that we don't have to worry about taking notes while we're  
5 talking with you.

6 MR. WENTZEL: Sure.

7 MS. ULLRICH: It also gives us an opportunity  
8 to go back and look at information. And you will be  
9 provided with an opportunity to review your transcript  
10 tomorrow -- as early as tomorrow, I should say.

11 MR. WENTZEL: Okay.

12 MS. ULLRICH: So that you can make any  
13 corrections. There will be provided for you an errata  
14 sheet. Any corrections that you need to make would be put  
15 on that sheet, and that sheet will become part of the  
16 record with the transcript.

17 MR. WENTZEL: Very good.

18 MS. ULLRICH: At the end --

19 MR. MADISON: You can make clarifications as  
20 well as corrections.

21 MR. WENTZEL: Sure, okay.

22 MR. MADISON: I'm sorry.

23 MS. ULLRICH: Yeah. No problem. In addition,  
24 when we complete our investigation, there will be a written  
25 report which will be published as a NUREG. And at that

1 point, the report will be public information and the  
2 transcript supporting it will become part of the public  
3 document room information.

4 MR. WENTZEL: Okay.

5 MS. ULLRICH: We'll give you this exhibit now.  
6 That's the information on how to get access to your  
7 transcripts for review and the name and phone number to  
8 contact to arrange -- most people are verifying that  
9 they're here before they come over to look at them to make  
10 sure that they're back.

11 MR. WENTZEL: Sure.

12 MS. ULLRICH: Okay?

13 MR. WENTZEL: Very good.

14 MS. ULLRICH: All right, then let's start out  
15 by asking, if you would, if you'd give us your name, your  
16 title, and what your background is in terms of being a  
17 member of the radiation safety committee.

18 MR. WENTZEL: My name is Kevin Wentzel. I'm an  
19 assistant professor in the Nuclear Engineering Department.  
20 I have been for about three -- almost three years now. In  
21 general, I've worked over the last ten years in the area of  
22 x-ray imaging and measurements of nuclear particles from  
23 fusion plasmas, high temperature plasmas.

24 And as such, I've been involved with a large  
25 number of handling sealed radioactive sources and radiation

1 measurement and detection, and those sorts of things. And  
2 about a year and a half ago, I guess it was, Mitch Galanek  
3 asked me to join the radiation protection committee, and so  
4 I've been a member in good standing for about that amount  
5 of time.

6 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. All right, could you tell  
7 us some background about the committee? Approximately how  
8 many members are on it?

9 MR. WENTZEL: There is approximately ten  
10 members, I believe. Harry Hemond is the chairman from  
11 Civil Engineering. It's made up of a variety of people who  
12 do a lot of different things with radiation, radioactive  
13 sources and so on. Both people who are in the physics area  
14 and people in the biology area. So they cover a fairly  
15 broad range of disciplines.

16 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. Typically what kinds of  
17 activities does the committee perform?

18 MR. WENTZEL: The committee really provides  
19 oversight for the radiation protection office. We meet  
20 quarterly, once every three months, and approve  
21 applications for people to own and handle radioactive  
22 materials on campus. And approve also of -- provide  
23 oversight to the various programs that the radiation  
24 protection committee undertakes -- x-ray sources, lasers,  
25 non-ionizing radiation programs that are set up to oversee



1 activities in those areas.

2 MS. ULLRICH: Now when you say the committee  
3 approves authorizations, what does that involve on the  
4 committee member's part?

5 MR. WENTZEL: We read through the applications  
6 for handling of radioactive material, and we either approve  
7 or make recommendations of whether amounts of material  
8 people are requesting to handle could be lowered or if  
9 there are ways to lower amounts of materials that are  
10 handled at any given time, and then either approve or make  
11 modifications to those applications.

12 MS. ULLRICH: Okay, okay.

13 MR. MADISON: Excuse me. You said you provided  
14 oversight. How do you -- can you describe how you provide  
15 oversight, what that entails?

16 MR. WENTZEL: Well, during the meeting --  
17 during the committee meetings, each of the RPO programs,  
18 the radiation protection office programs -- for example,  
19 x-ray sources on campus, which are all handled by one  
20 person. We are informed whether there are new x-ray  
21 sources on campus and what are the operating protocols that  
22 have been established through RPO for those.

23 And then either, you know, say go ahead, this  
24 program looks good; or suggest modifications to those kinds  
25 of programs.



1 MR. MADISON: Do you ever do any audits of the  
2 RPO program?

3 MR. WENTZEL: We haven't done any while I've  
4 been involved with the committee.

5 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. Can you think back over  
6 the last couple of months and tell us how you first became  
7 aware of the contamination incident and what your  
8 involvement may have been?

9 MR. WENTZEL: We had -- I believe it was  
10 towards the end of August or very early September, I've  
11 forgotten the day of the particular meeting -- we had a  
12 radiation protection committee meeting, regular meeting,  
13 and Mitch called me -- Mitch Galanek from RPO called me to  
14 make sure I could make that meeting, and indicated at that  
15 point, which was probably a week ahead of time, that there  
16 had been a contamination on campus and we'd be discussing  
17 what had happened and what were the steps that were taken  
18 afterwards to follow up on it.

19 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. And that was the first you  
20 had heard about it?

21 MR. WENTZEL: That was the first I heard of it.  
22 So that must have been -- it must have been early  
23 September, because I was out of the country until  
24 September 1st.

25 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. And then what happened at

1 the meeting?

2 MR. WENTZEL: We met. I came in late because  
3 it conflicted with a class that I was teaching. And they  
4 were just beginning the discussion, I believe, of the  
5 contamination incident. They basically described what had  
6 transpired, how they thought the contamination had come to  
7 happen, or what they were speculating about anyway at that  
8 point.

9 MS. ULLRICH: Sure.

10 MR. WENTZEL: How they had gone about  
11 calculating exposures, and how they had gone about  
12 pinpointing when they thought the contamination had  
13 happened, and things like that. So there was a general  
14 discussion of what the incident was.

15 MS. ULLRICH: Okay.

16 MR. WENTZEL: And following that, there was a  
17 discussion -- and what the radiation protection committee  
18 should be.

19 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. And what ultimately did  
20 the committee discuss and decide?

21 MR. WENTZEL: Basically we decided that there  
22 really wasn't much of a response of the radiation  
23 protection committee could do as a committee, but the  
24 radiation protection office was responding appropriately  
25 monitoring the individual; and also, they had put

1 restrictions on the laboratory where the incident had  
2 happened, which were subsequently relaxed when things --  
3 when more stringent controls it looked like were put on  
4 their P-32 and so on.

5           So basically our response at that point was to  
6 write a letter to both campus police and the individual  
7 involved saying that -- first of all, we thought the campus  
8 police should follow up with a criminal investigation. And  
9 second of all, we thought that -- you know, if this were a  
10 deliberate contamination by another individual, that such  
11 contaminations were abhorrent and should certainly be  
12 pursued to the extent possible at MIT.

13           MS. ULLRICH: Sure. So the committee's view is  
14 that this appears to be a deliberate kind of act, not an  
15 accidental contamination?

16           MR. WENTZEL: It's not clear to me that we came  
17 to the conclusion that it probably was.

18           MS. ULLRICH: Okay.

19           MR. WENTZEL: Given the evidence that's there,  
20 it looks -- I mean, my personnel opinion, it looks like it  
21 could very well have been. The material was missing from  
22 the laboratory for some period of time that can be  
23 identified. And subsequently, it appeared, you know,  
24 inside of another individual.

25           MS. ULLRICH: Yeah, okay. Has the committee,

1 to your knowledge, ever come across this kind of an  
2 incident before?

3 MR. WENTZEL: Not that I know of, no.

4 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. What typically are the  
5 kinds of incidents that you might have brought up to the  
6 committee?

7 MR. WENTZEL: We have, for example, doses  
8 exposure to x-rays from an energized electron beam, or we  
9 have -- there are a few internal contaminations. Usually,  
10 you know, they're spills. Spills are usually the primary  
11 kind of contamination that we work with.

12 MS. ULLRICH: Okay.

13 MR. WENTZEL: Or we see.

14 MS. ULLRICH: And could you give an idea of how  
15 many of these kinds of things get brought up at a given  
16 meeting?

17 MR. WENTZEL: I'd say on average it's one. So  
18 one per quarter or something like that.

19 MS. ULLRICH: Okay, very low?

20 MR. WENTZEL: Yes, very low. Yeah, I think in  
21 general, you know, the radiation protection office has a  
22 good program for minimizing those kinds of things at MIT.

23 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. Now do people in your  
24 laboratory work with unsealed material at all?

25 MR. WENTZEL: No.

1 MS. ULLRICH: No? It's all sealed?

2 MR. WENTZEL: Yeah.

3 MS. ULLRICH: And what kinds of activities are  
4 you principally working with?

5 MR. WENTZEL: Tens of microcuries. We have one  
6 americium source that's ten millicuries, --

7 MS. ULLRICH: Okay.

8 MR. WENTZEL: -- but it's contained in a  
9 stainless -- it's stainless steel sealed. So it's --

10 MS. ULLRICH: And does your laboratory have any  
11 particular safety procedures or security procedures for  
12 your material?

13 MR. WENTZEL: All of our radioactive sources  
14 are kept under lock and key, and there are procedures for  
15 handling -- particularly the ones that are most hazardous,  
16 there are written procedures for handling those.

17 MS. ULLRICH: Okay, okay. As a member of the  
18 committee, do you participate in evaluations of other  
19 researchers laboratories at all?

20 MR. WENTZEL: Not in evaluations. Only to the  
21 extent that we see applications for handling radioactive  
22 materials from other researchers.

23 MS. ULLRICH: While you've been on the  
24 committee, has the committee taken any -- I guess punitive  
25 measures to any persons who are authorized to use

1 radioactive materials?

2 MR. WENTZEL: We did recommend that -- I think  
3 about six months ago we did recommend that one researcher  
4 be forbidden to handle some particular isotopes. I think  
5 it was another case of P-32 or something that was used in  
6 the area of biology. And there seemed to be lax protection  
7 standards going on in his lab. I think actually it wasn't  
8 P-32. It may have been an iodine isotope.

9 And we did recommend that his laboratory sort  
10 of be restricted from handling radioactive materials until  
11 such time as he could show that there were better operating  
12 procedures put in place.

13 MR. MADISON: Was that in the Cancer Center?

14 MR. WENTZEL: I don't know. I don't remember  
15 where it was. So that's really the only incident I can  
16 remember of punitive or any kind of restrictions being  
17 placed from RPC onto --

18 MS. ULLRICH: But it is an option that the  
19 committee has then?

20 MR. WENTZEL: Oh, certainly. We can direct the  
21 director of RPO, Frank Masse, to shut down a laboratory is  
22 need be. There was one other case, actually, where we did  
23 tell somebody they couldn't operate an accelerator until  
24 we had written procedures and so on. So there have been a  
25 couple of cases when we've said no more until such time as

1 we're satisfied.

2 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. Have you had any means to  
3 call special meetings or any reasons to call special  
4 meetings?

5 MR. WENTZEL: No, not during my tenure.

6 MS. ULLRICH: Okay, all right. When this whole  
7 issue of the contamination incident in the Cancer Center  
8 occurred, did anyone gather any background information, if  
9 there were any previous problems of this sort in this  
10 particular laboratory?

11 MR. WENTZEL: I'm not sure.

12 MS. ULLRICH: Okay.

13 MR. WENTZEL: If it was done, that would have  
14 been done by Don Haes, who was probably the most familiar  
15 with the investigation through RPO.

16 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. And who did the report at  
17 the meeting?

18 MR. WENTZEL: It was jointly done by -- it was  
19 primarily led by Don Haes, but Frank Masse was also  
20 involved.

21 MS. ULLRICH: Okay, okay. At the moment, I  
22 can't think of anything. Do you have any follow up  
23 questions here, Alan?

24 MR. MADISON: Can you describe a normal  
25 meeting, if there is such a thing as a "normal" meeting



1 that happens quarterly?

2 MR. WENTZEL: A normal meeting, you know,  
3 brings us all together in this room. And we -- usually  
4 there are three or four items on the agenda. The first  
5 item is usually review of applications that are up for  
6 renewal -- review of not applications, but authorizations  
7 that are up for renewal.

8 The second item is usually review of  
9 applications that are new or amendments asking for  
10 increases in amounts of material that can be handled or so  
11 on. And then there are reports from -- at the end, there  
12 are usually reports from Don Haes on the laser program and  
13 Tom Fuller on the x-ray source program.

14 And then I think they have a program in place  
15 on the accelerators, since there are a few on campus. And  
16 so we usually hear about any kinds of new activities that  
17 are going on.

18 MR. MADISON: Do you normally get material --  
19 how far in advance of a meeting do you normally get  
20 material --

21 MR. WENTZEL: It usually comes the day before  
22 or a couple of days before. We usually get a packet that  
23 contains all the authorizations that are up for renewal and  
24 any authorizations that are new, and we read through those.

25 MS. ULLRICH: Were you given any written

1 information about this particular information?

2 MR. WENTZEL: No.

3 MS. ULLRICH: This incident?

4 MR. WENTZEL: No.

5 MS. ULLRICH: Okay.

6 MR. MADISON: Have you been involved in any  
7 similar committees at other universities?

8 MR. WENTZEL: No.

9 MR. MADISON: I can't think of anything else  
10 either.

11 MS. ULLRICH: Is there anything that you can  
12 think of regarding the contamination incident that perhaps  
13 we haven't touched on?

14 MR. MADISON: I do have one question. Has the  
15 committee raised any potential problems with the radiation  
16 protection program over your tenure on the committee?

17 MR. WENTZEL: No, no, not as far as I know.

18 MR. MADISON: Is there a list possibly of  
19 proposed improvements to the program that the committee  
20 keeps?

21 MR. WENTZEL: There may be that you could be  
22 through Harry Hemond, who's the chairman of the committee.

23 MR. MADISON: All right.

24 MR. WENTZEL: I think, you know, minutes of all  
25 of our meetings are available or should be.

1 MR. MADISON: We've requested those.

2 MR. WENTZEL: So you've probably gotten those  
3 or can. I don't think there's been any serious criticism  
4 of the radiation protection program coming from the  
5 committee, per se. And as to anything else in the  
6 contamination incident, not really. But you know, you  
7 might see what campus police has been doing in their  
8 investigation.

9 MS. ULLRICH: Sure.

10 MR. WENTZEL: That was something they came up  
11 with at the committee meeting. We didn't have a  
12 representative from the police department here, and so it  
13 wasn't clear what follow up was being done in terms of  
14 criminal activities either.

15 MS. ULLRICH: That actually brings up another  
16 question on my part. Do you have any non-academic  
17 representatives on the committee?

18 MR. WENTZEL: That's a good question. I don't  
19 know. Probably, but I'm not sure.

20 MS. ULLRICH: Okay. An administrative  
21 representative or custodial representative, something like  
22 that?

23 MR. WENTZEL: We do have cases where an  
24 administrative assistant comes in representation of a  
25 faculty member at times, but that seems to be rare. And I

1 don't know if there are any non-academic people on the  
2 committee or not.

3 MS. ULLRICH: Okay, okay. All right, I think  
4 this is --

5 MR. MADISON: Do you know of anybody else that  
6 we might want to talk to or should talk to?

7 MR. WENTZEL: I would talk to people who are  
8 more familiar -- you know, if there are committee members  
9 who are in the Cancer Center who might be more familiar  
10 with the operating procedures of this specific laboratory,  
11 they would be good people to talk to. I don't know the  
12 names off the top of my head.

13 MS. ULLRICH: Well, this has been helpful to us  
14 though.

15 MR. WENTZEL: Okay, good.

16 MS. ULLRICH: I mean, to be able to talk to  
17 even people who aren't familiar because you have a  
18 different view of what's going on as a committee member.

19 MR. WENTZEL: Right.

20 MS. ULLRICH: So that's appreciated. If you do  
21 have any other questions or information, you can hold of us  
22 through that number.

23 MR. MADISON: I'm sure we can get through to  
24 you too.

25 MR. WENTZEL: Yeah, let me give you a card.

1 You can actually have my number.

2 MR. MADISON: And unless you have anything  
3 else, I think this concludes the interview.

4 MR. WENTZEL: Okay, well, thank you for your  
5 time and good luck.

6 (Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned at  
7 1:55 p.m.)

8

## C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: INTERVIEW WITH KEVIN WENTZEL

Docket Number: --

Place of Proceeding: Cambridge, Massachusetts

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

---

M. Rudoff  
Official Reporter  
Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.