

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 1 of 8

- 1.0 Identify procedures which describe and provide the functions of the nonconformance system for coatings. Evaluate the functioning of the system; does the nonconformance system work to identify, disposition, and implement corrective action for nonconforming conditions? *00
-

8511070224 851016
PDR FOIA PDR
GARDE85-59

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 2 of 8

- 2.0 Evaluate the performance of the system by which
dispositioning of nonconforming conditions is conducted.
*00
- 2.1 Does the system provide for analysis of impact to other
plant systems or components? Is this system properly
implemented?
- 2.2 Does the system provide for review to determine if
dispositions affect QA/QC requirements? Is this system
properly implemented?
-

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 3 of 8

- 3.0 Resolution of unsatisfactory conditions is accomplished through Nonconformance Reports, Design Change Authorizations, and Unsatisfactory Inspection Reports. *XX
- 3.1 Is there objective evidence that Nonconformance Reports for coatings are subjected to a regular periodic analysis to detect trends adverse to quality?
- 3.2 Is there objective evidence that Design Change Authorizations for coatings are subjected to a regular periodic analysis to detect trends adverse to quality?
- 3.3 Is there objective evidence that Unsatisfactory Inspection Reports for coatings are subjected to a regular periodic analysis to detect trends adverse to quality?
-

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 4 of 8

- 4.0 Review all Nonconformance Reports issued against coatings.
 - 4.1 Identify the Nonconformance Reports with dispositions of "Accept-as-is" or "Repair"; is there objective evidence that each was evaluated for inclusion in the EXEMPT LOG?
 - 4.2 Identify those not evaluated.
 - 4.3 Verify that all dispositions evaluated for inclusion have been either entered into the EXEMPT LOG, or that the basis for exclusion from the EXEMPT LOG is justified on the Nonconformance Report. *3 @VII
-

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 5 of 8

- 5.0 Verify that an NCR has been prepared to identify the practice of solvent-wiping power tool cleaned surfaces (as an alternative to the procedurally-supplied method of vacuuming or blowing-down the surface).
- 5.1 Verify the accuracy of the scope of the NCR as appropriate.
- 5.2 Verify that corrective action and measures to prevent recurrence are adequate, and have been implemented. *40
-

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 6 of 8

6.0 Review NCR #C-83-01694

6.1 Verify that this report does not address the level of
chlorides in the specified solvent solution (Econolemon
Disinfectant Cleaner - Hospital Type.) *41 @VI

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 7 of 8

- 7.0 Identify NCR's generated as a result of applying coatings to substrate or previously applied coatings without benefit of QC inspection.
- 7.1 Verify the identification and corrective action for the affected areas as documented by inspection reports. *50
*52
-

July 31, 1984 Draft of
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB CPSES TRT
AUDIT PLAN for AREA VI
NONCONFORMANCE REPORTING
Page 8 of 8

8.0 Identify NCR's generated as a result of improper mixing or
thinning of Phenoline #305. *51 @VI
