

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
LICENSEE EVENT REPORTAPPROVED BY GMS
3150-211
EXPIRES 4-30-85CONTROL BLOCK: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)01 N C M G S 1 0 2 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 4 1 2 1 1 0 0
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

CONT

01 REPORT SOURCE L 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 9 0 1 2 2 0 7 9 0 0 2 0 6 1 3 0
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 On 1/5/83, during a review of test procedure "Safety Injection (SI) Pumps and
03 Flow Adjustment Functional Test II", a discrepancy between the test data and an
04 omitted acceptance criteria was discovered. This criteria requiring each SI pump
05 to deliver 462 gpm through the 3 lowest flow injection lines was not satisfied
06 for SI pump 1A (457.4 gpm), which is reportable per T.S.6.9.1 13(c). The emergency
07 core cooling function of the SI pumps has not been needed, and SI pump 1B has
08 been fully operable. Additionally, since 4 injection lines were operable SI pump
09 A would perform its emergency function. Health and safety of the public were unaffected.

09 S I 11 D 12 Z 13 P U M P X X 14 Y 15 Z 16
17 LEE/RA REPORT NUMBER 18 8 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 The information, contained in the procedural commentary, was not incorporated
11 into the acceptance criteria of the SI pump balancing test because it was mis-
12 takenly thought to be a redundant requirement addressed by a "155 gpm + 5 gpm"
13 criteria for each cold leg branch line. Rebalancing of SI pump discharge will
14 be performed (under a corrected procedure) during the current outage.

15 FACILITY STATUS 16 0 0 1 17 N/A 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

NAME OF PREPARER Phillip B. Nardoci

PHONE: (704) 373-7432

85 1070094 830204
PDR ADOCK 05000369
S PDRIE 22
1/1 83 retrofit
1/1

DUKE POWER COMPANY

P.O. BOX 33189
CHARLOTTE, N.C. 28242

HAL B. TUCKER
VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR PROMOTION

TELEPHONE
(704) 373-4601

February 4, 1983

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: McGuire Nuclear Station Unit 1
Docket No. 50-369

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Please find attached Reportable Occurrence Report RO-369/83-01. This report concerns T.S. 6.9.1.13(c), "Observed inadequacies in the implementation of administrative or procedural controls which threaten to cause reduction of degree of redundancy provided in reactor protection systems or engineered safety feature systems". This incident was considered to be of no significance with respect to the health and safety of the public.

Very truly yours,

H. B. Tucker

Hal B. Tucker

PBN:jfw
Attachment

cc: Document Control Desk
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Senior Resident Inspector
McGuire Nuclear Station

Records Center
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

0000-574FA7

LER
LER # <u>369-83001</u>
EVENT DATE <u>791220</u>
INPO RCVD DATE <u>830211</u> <i>SP</i>
NSAC RCVD DATE _____

IE 2.2
1/1
83 RETROFIT
4/1