

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO CPSES	PROCEDURE NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
	CP-QP-20.0	3	SEP 15 1983	1 of 5

SURVEILLANCE OF PRESERVICE INSPECTION ACTIVITIES	PREPARED BY: <u>D. T. CTT</u>	9/13/83
	DATE	
	APPROVED BY: <u>[Signature]</u>	9/14/83
	DATE	

1.0 REFERENCES

- 1-A Westinghouse Electric Corporation Contract/Program for Preservice Inspection for Class 1,2 and components.
- 1-B CPSES QA Plan, Preservice Inspection Program, Section 20.0
- 1-C ASME B&PV Code Section XI, 1980 Edition (Including Winter Addenda for Class 3)
- 1-D CP-QP-17.0, "Corrective Action"
- 1-E CP-QP-18.0, "Inspection Report"

2.0 GENERAL

2.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to delineate the requirements for QA Surveillance of Preservice Inspection activities.

2.2 SCOPE

The scope of this procedure encompasses the items, components and systems designated as Class 1, Class 2 and Class 3 which require Preservice Inspection as defined in Reference 1-A of this procedure and as covered by Reference 1-B and 1-C. This procedure also delineates the methods of monitoring Preservice Inspection activities by others, through the direct involvement of Quality Assurance personnel, their activities, responsibilities and documentation requirements.

CONTROLLED COPY  
CONTROL No. W-C-2

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO. CPSES	PROCEDURE NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
	CP-QP-20.0	3	SEP 15 1981	2 of 5

### 2.3 RESPONSIBILITIES

The Quality Engineering Supervisor shall be responsible for verification (through Surveillance) of the implementation of the Preservice Inspection Program to the applicable provisions of ASME Section XI in accordance with this procedure.

### 3.0 PROCEDURE

#### 3.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Preservice Inspection surveillance shall be performed by assigned personnel using detailed checklists prepared by Quality Engineering from References 1A, 1B, 1C, 1E and the implementing procedures. Assigned personnel shall verify compliance of the delineated activities through observation of work activities, discussions with inspection personnel, and review of objective evidence. The results of the surveillance activities shall be documented on the checklist/ Inspection Report for subsequent review by the TUGCO Site Quality Engineering Supervisor or his designee.

#### 3.2 REPORTING AND FOLLOWUP

Any discrepancies noted during the surveillance activities shall be reported to the TUSI and Westinghouse PSI/ISI Coordinators via the Surveillance Discrepancy Report (Figure 1). A due date for the corrective action shall be provided based on the severity of the discrepancy. A log (Figure 2) shall be maintained by the TUGCO QA Secretary reflecting the Report Numbers, Responsible Manager, Corrective Action Due Date, Date Response Received, Followup Date and Closeout Date.

#### 3.3 FREQUENCY

The frequency of surveillance activities shall be in accordance with a schedule prepared by Quality Engineering and approved by the TUGCO Site Quality Engineering Supervisor.

#### 3.4 CORRECTIVE ACTION TRENDS

The Quality Engineering Supervisor or his designee shall review Surveillance Discrepancy Reports for the need for formal corrective action in accordance with the provisions of Reference 1-D.

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO. CPSES	PROCEDURE NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
	CP-QP-20.0	3	SEP 15 1983	3 of 5

### 3.5 DOCUMENTATION

TUGCO Quality Engineering shall forward completed checklists and Surveillance Discrepancy Reports to the Permanent Plant Records Vault.



TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING CO. CPSES	PROCEDURE NUMBER	REVISION	ISSUE DATE	PAGE
	CP-QP-20.0	3	SEP 13 1999	5 of 5

FIGURE 2

PRE-SERVICE INSPECTION SURVEILLANCE DISCREPANCY LOG

REPORT NO.	SUBJECT	RESPONSIBLE MANAGER	CORRECTIVE ACTION DATE	DATE RESPONSE RECEIVED	FOLLOW UP DATE	CLOSE OUT DATE
	TYPICAL					

**TYPICAL**