



Wayne H. Jens  
Vice President  
Nuclear Operations

Fermi-2  
6400 North Dixie Highway  
Newport, Michigan 48166  
(313) 586-4150

December 9, 1985  
VP-85-0228

Mr. James G. Keppler  
Regional Administrator  
Region III  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Dear Mr. Keppler:

Reference: Fermi 2  
NRC Docket No. 50-341  
NRC License No. NPF-43

Subject: Detroit Edison Response  
Inspection Report 50-341/85043

This letter provides the information requested regarding the program weakness described in your Inspection Report No. 50-341/85043. This inspection was conducted by Messrs. E. G. Greenman, G. C. Wright, A. J. Mendiola, M. E. Parker, and M. Jordan on August 18 through 20 and September 16 through 20, 1985.

We trust this letter satisfactorily responds to the weakness identified in the inspection report. If you have questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Joseph Conen, (313) 586-5313.

Sincerely,

cc: P. M. Byron  
M. D. Lynch  
G. C. Wright  
USNRC, Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

8512240250 851218  
PDR ADOCK 05000341  
Q PDR

DEC 12 1985

Weakness 85043F

Shift check sheet needs to be revised to give acceptance values rather than a check off as "SAT".

Response

The subject shift check sheet is the ESF Status Checklist (Attachment 7 of Procedure 21.000.01, "Shift Operations and Control Room"). This checklist has 19 cases where a parameter is verified. Fifteen of the cases are also required to be verified by Procedure 24.000.02, "Shiftly, Daily, Weekly, and Situation Required Surveillances". This procedure provides acceptance values for parameters to be verified and requires recording of the observed values when performing the surveillance. In order to prevent the redundancy of the logging effort from reducing operator attentiveness, the ESF Status Checklist is being reviewed to determine if any of these 15 parameters should be deleted.

Of the 19 cases where a parameter is verified on the ESF Status Checklist, only three fail to provide an acceptance value. An acceptance value will be provided for one of these when the procedure is revised after the redundancy review. The other two of these three are verified by Surveillance Procedure 24.000.02 and will be reviewed during the redundancy review. The redundancy review will be complete and this checklist revised by December 31, 1985 to provide acceptance values for all parameters to be verified.

Additionally, although not specifically identified as a weak area, the operator round sheets are being reviewed for adequacy of information. These sheets will be revised to include additional acceptance values as necessary. This review and any necessary revisions to the round sheets will be completed by May 15, 1986. Operators completing the round sheets will also be required to record the observed values for parameters being verified. This will provide an ongoing operating history and will provide additional feedback on plant operating conditions.

THE DETROIT EDISON COMPANY

FERMI 2

NUCLEAR OPERATIONS ORGANIZATION

RESPONSE TO NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-341/85043

DOCKET NO. 50-341

LICENSE NO. NPF-43

INSPECTION AT: FERMI 2, NEWPORT, MICHIGAN

INSPECTION CONDUCTED: AUGUST 18 THROUGH 20 AND  
SEPTEMBER 16 THROUGH 20, 1985