



*hudson*

FOIA — 96-444

RESPONSE TYPE

FINAL

☒ PARTIAL (10th)

DATE

FEB 24 1997

DOCKET NUMBER(S) (if applicable)

REQUESTER

Lawrence English

RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF  
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST

PART I.—AGENCY RECORDS RELEASED OR NOT LOCATED (See checked boxes)

☐ No agency records subject to the request have been located.

☐ No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.

☐ Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.

☐ Agency records subject to the request that are identified in Appendix(es) \_\_\_\_\_ are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.

☒ Agency records subject to the request that are identified in Appendix(es) R are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number.

☐ The nonproprietary version of the proposal(s) that you agreed to accept in a telephone conversation with a member of my staff is now being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number.

☐ Agency records subject to the request that are identified in Appendix(es) \_\_\_\_\_ may be inspected and copied at the NRC Local Public Document Room identified in the Comments section.

☐ Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.

☒ Agency records subject to the request are enclosed. \*

☐ Records subject to the request have been referred to another Federal agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Fees

☐ You will be billed by the NRC for fees totaling \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ You will receive a refund from the NRC in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ In view of NRC's response to this request, no further action is being taken on appeal letter dated \_\_\_\_\_, No. \_\_\_\_\_.

PART II. A—INFORMATION WITHHELD FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

☒ Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from public disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II, B, C, and D. Any released portions of the documents for which only part of the record is being withheld are being made available for public inspection and copying in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC in a folder under this FOIA number.

COMMENTS

\*Copies of the records identified on Appendix R and the releasable portions of the records identified on Appendix S are enclosed.

*FOIA %*

SIGNATURE, DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PUBLICATIONS SERVICES

9702260251 970224  
PDR FOIA  
ENGLISH96-444 PDR

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF  
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST  
(CONTINUATION)**

FOIA NUMBER(S)

**FOIA — 96-444**

DATE

**FEB 24 1997**

**PART II.B — APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS**

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendix(es) S are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) and for the reason(s) given below pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and 10 CFR 9.17(a) of NRC regulations.

1. The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order. (Exemption 1)

2. The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC. (Exemption 2)

3. The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated. (Exemption 3)

Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).

Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).

4. The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (Exemption 4)

The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.

The information is considered to be proprietary information pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(1).

The information was submitted and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(2).

☒ 5. The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. (Exemption 5). Applicable Privilege:

Deliberative Process. Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.

Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation.)

☒ Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client.)

6. The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Exemption 6)

7. The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (Exemption 7)

Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding because it could reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators. (Exemption 7 (A))

Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Exemption 7(C))

The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources. (Exemption 7 (D))

OTHER

**PART II.C — DENYING OFFICIALS**

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(b) and/or 9.25(c) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The persons responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the Director, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECRETARY	IG
Stephen G. Burns	Associate General Counsel for Hearings, Enforcement and Administration	App. S		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

**PART II.D — APPEAL RIGHTS**

The denial by each denying official identified in Part II.C may be appealed to the Appellate Official identified there. Any such appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals must be addressed, as appropriate, to the Executive Director for Operations, to the Secretary of the Commission, or to the Inspector General, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an "Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decision."

APPENDIX R  
RECORDS RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	2/28/95	Letter to Steven Crockett from Henry Billingsley with enclosure (22 pages)
2.	3/13/95	Letter to Carl Paperiello from Henry Billingsley (7 pages)
3.	12/20/95	E-Mail from Catherine Poland to L Lopez et al., Subject: EDO 008663 (1 page)
4.	3/13/96	From Theresa Mayberry to S Lewis with enclosures (4 pages)
5.	3/13/96	E-Mail from James Caldwell to S Lewis, Subject: AMS (1 page)
6.	3/13/96	E-Mail from Bruce Berson to M Weber, Subject: AMS (1 page)
7.	3/13/96	E-Mail from Jack Goldberg to S. Burns and L Chandler, Subject: AMS Analysis & Paper (1 page)
8.	Undated	E-Mail from Stephen Lewis to S Burns et al., Subject: Revised AMS Commission Paper (1 page)
9.	Undated	E-Mail from Stephen Lewis to S Burns, Subject: AMS (1 page)

APPENDIX S  
RECORDS WITHHELD IN PART

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	4/16/96	Memorandum to Carl Paperiello from Jack Goldberg Subject: Section 2.206 Petition of Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District Regarding Request for Financial Assurance for Public Liability (3 pages) EX. 5 <b>Attorney-Client</b>
2.	7/25/96	Fax Transmittal to Mike Weber from Joe DeCicco (1 page) ( <b>Release</b> ) enclosing 7/3/96 Note to Josephine Piccone from Mary Pat Siemien (1 page) EX 5, <b>Attorney-Client</b>



# Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

3826 Euclid Avenue • Cleveland, Ohio 44115-2504

216 • 881 • 6600

FAX: 216 • 881 • 9709

October 18, 1996

FOIA/PA REQUEST

Case No:

96-444

Date Rec'd:

10-24-96

Action Off:

PCO

Related Case:

Director, Division of Freedom of Information  
and Publications Services  
Office of Administration  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Re: FOIA Request for Documents

Dear Director:

The Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (NEORSO) hereby requests copies of all documents of any kind or description regarding your licensee, Advanced Medical Systems, Inc. in any way whatsoever developed since representatives of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (NEORSO) visited the Lisle, Illinois, headquarters of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Region III pursuant to FOIA-94-494.

The above request is made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and 10 CFR Sec. 9.15. The NEORSO has no commercial interest in the requested documents and requests a waiver of all fees pursuant to 10 CFR Sec. 9.41.

Please call me at (216) 881-6600, ext 826, if you have any questions about the foregoing. Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

Lawrence K. English  
Assistant General Counsel

9702040098 10