

TABLE 2-6(a)
(Continued)

*Snubber No.	Elevation	Accessible During Normal Operation	Inaccessible During Normal Operation	Located in High Radiation Areas During Shutdown	Difficult to Remove for Functional Testing
RCS-21	1032' 0"		X		
RCS-22	1037' 6"		X		
RCS-23-					
Bottom	1032' 0"		X		
RCS-25	1033' 0"		X		
RCS-27	1052' 9"		X		
RCS-28	1052' 9"		X		
RCS-30	1045' 6"		X		
RCS-30A	1047' 0"		X		
RCS-31	1052' 0"		X		
RCS-32	1052' 0"		X		
RCS-33	1052' 0"		X		
RCS-34	1047' 0"		X		
RCS-42	1007' 9"		X		
RCS-44	1007' 9"		X		
RCS-45-					
Top	1009' 6"		X		
RCS-45-					
Bottom	1009' 6"		X		
RCS-47-					
Top	1009' 6"		X		
RCS-47-					
Bottom	1009' 6"		X		
RCS-49	1009' 6"		X		
RCS-51	1007' 9"		X		

8511010339 851031
PDR ADOCK 05000285
P PDR

Table 2-6(b)

ACCESSIBILITY OF SAFETY-RELATED SYSTEM MECHANICAL SNUBBERS

<u>SNUBBER NO.</u>	<u>ELEVATION</u>	<u>ACCESSIBLE DURING NORMAL OPERATION</u>	<u>INACCESSIBLE DURING NORMAL OPERATION</u>	<u>LOCATED IN HIGH RADIATION AREAS DURING SHUTDOWN</u>	<u>DIFFICULT TO REMOVE FOR FUNCTIONAL TESTING</u>
RCS-3A	996'		X		
RCS-16	1015'		X		
RCS-29	1047'		X		
RCS-29A	1045'		X		
RCS-39	1048'		X		
RCS-41	1048'		X		
RCS-61	1051'6"		X		
RCS-64- LOWER	1032'		X		
RCS-65	1051'6"		X		
RCS-67	1032'		X		
RCS-68	1031'		X		
RCS-69	1032'		X		
RCS-70	1032'		X		
RCS-71	1032'		X		
SIS-114-A	1074'		X		
SIS-114-B	1074'		X		
SIS-114-E	1074'		X		
SIS-114-F	1074'		X		

TABLE 3-3 (Continued)

MINIMUM FREQUENCIES FOR CHECKS, CALIBRATIONS AND TESTING
OF MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROLS

<u>Channel Description</u>	<u>Surveillance Function</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Surveillance Method</u>
14. Nuclear Detector Well Cooling Annulus Exit Air Temperature Detectors	a. Check	S	a. Comparison of independent temperature readings.
	b. Calibrate	R	b. Calibrate with known temperature.
15. Reactor Coolant System Flow	a. Check	M	a. Calculation of reactor coolant flow rate.
16. Pressurizer Pressure	a. Check	S	a. Comparison of independent pressure readings.
17. Reactor Coolant Inlet Temperature	a. Check	S	a. Comparison of independent temperature readings.
18. Low-Temperature Set-point Power-Operated Relief Valves	a. Test	PM	a. Verify operability of actuation circuitry for low-temperature setpoint power-operated relief valves by utilization of installed test switches.
	b. Calibrate	R	b. Calibrate temperature and pressure channels.

Attachment "A"

Attachment B

Discussion, Justification and Significant Hazards Consideration

The change to Technical Specification Table 3-3, Item 14.a. is proposed to ensure consistency between the surveillance requirements and the Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs). The LCO for the Nuclear Detector Well Cooling Annulus Exit Air Temperature Detectors requires that there be at least two temperature detectors in service to measure annulus air temperatures whenever the reactor is in service. In order to achieve consistency, it is proposed that the surveillance method be reworded from "Compare eight (8) independent readings" to "Comparison of independent temperature readings." This change will ensure consistency between the two requirements.

Additionally, pages 2-80 and 2-88a are being revised to update the snubber tables. The snubber changes adequately met OPPD review requirements and are being transmitted in order to update the Technical Specification listing.

These changes do not involve significant hazards considerations as demonstrated by the following:

- (1) Would the change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

No. The change in wording of a surveillance method is intended only to achieve consistency and does not affect any accident analysis. The update to the snubber listing is also administrative to attain consistency.

- (2) Would the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

No. The change will not create the possibility of a different type. No different type of accident is possible from the changes being made.

- (3) Would the change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

No. The changes being made to the surveillance wording are to achieve consistency between a Section 2 LCO and the use of the equipment. No margin of safety is being reduced. The changes to the snubber listing are provided to administratively update the list. No margin of safety is involved.

The NRC has previously published guidance concerning examples of amendments which are not likely to involve significant hazards considerations (48 FR 14670). The changes proposed in this application are similar to example (i), in that they are administrative changes to achieve consistency and update listings. These changes do not involve significant hazards considerations.