

December 5, 1985

DMB 016

Docket No. 50-346

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

Mr. Joe Williams, Jr.
Vice President, Nuclear
Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza - Stop 712
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

NRC PDR
L PDR
PB#6 Rdg
FMiraglia
OELD
EJordan
BGrimes

JPartlow
ADe Agazio
RIngram
ACRS-10
GDick
GEdison
WPaulson

Dear Mr. Williams:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We have partially reviewed Revision 4 to the Davis-Besse Course of Action submittal with your letter dated November 16, 1985 (No. 1208). We have identified additional information required with respect to your responses to questions 1 and 2 of our letter dated October 30, 1985 and with respect to certain revisions to Section JJ.B.1 and JJ.B.2 of the Course of Action. The additional information required is identified in the enclosure to this letter. Please provide your responses no later than December 20, 1985.

On November 27, 1985, our staff held a telephone conference call with Mr. Wuokko and others on your staff to clarify several issues. One of these issues related to the availability of reactor engineering expertise to the Plant Manager with respect to assignment and working hours. We were told that this availability is accomplished through the duty roster and the use of a pager system to contact personnel. We were also told that the persons on the duty roster understand that the Plant Manager has the authority with respect to availability and work assignments. Please provide written confirmation of this system on the docket.

Another issue discussed in the conference call related to our position that the STA should participate in each shift turnover as a means of keeping the STA current on plant status. We were told that procedures have been rewritten to include STA attendance at all shift turnovers. Please provide a copy of the revised procedure.

The information requested in this letter affects fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance under P.L. 96-511 is not required.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
JOHN F. STOLZ

John F. Stolz, Director
PWR Project Directorate #6
Division of PWR Licensing-B

Enclosure:
As Stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

PBD-6
ADe Agazio;cf
12/5/85

PBD-6
JStolz
12/5/85

8512200447 851205
PDR ADOCK 05000346
P PDR

Mr. J. Williams
Toledo Edison Company

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
Unit No. 1

cc:

Donald H. Hauser, Esq.
The Cleveland Electric
Illuminating Company
P. O. Box 5000
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Ohio Department of Health
ATTN: Radiological Health
Program Director
P. O. Box 118
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Mr. Robert F. Peters
Manager, Nuclear Licensing
Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Attorney General
Department of Attorney
General
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts
and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. James W. Harris, Director
Division of Power Generation
Ohio Department of Industrial Relations
2323 West 5th Avenue
P. O. Box 825
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Paul M. Smart, Esq.
Fuller & Henry
300 Madison Avenue
P. O. Box 2088
Toledo, Ohio 43603

Mr. Harold Kohn, Staff Scientist
Power Siting Commission
361 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation
Division
Suite 200, 7910 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

President, Board of
Ottawa County
Port Clinton, Ohio 43452

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
5503 N. State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

DAVIS-BESSE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. Identify the existing improvement efforts which contribute significantly toward supporting enhanced maintenance and safe operation and hence, will be given greater emphasis and support. How will the greater emphasis and support be accomplished? (p. 34c)
2. Provide the station administrative procedure regarding improved engineering interface and support (p. 44)
3. Provide the newly written "Conduct of Maintenance" procedure, currently scheduled for implementation by 11/30/85 (p. 45)
4.
 - a. Which administrative procedures considered crucial to the implementation of new programs or improvement of existing programs will be completed before startup? (Appendix III.2 p. e/24/5)
 - b. Who is responsible for determining which procedures are considered crucial? (Appendix III-2 p. e/24/5)
5.
 - a. Which technical procedures necessary to support restart activities will be completed prior to startup? (p. e/24/6)
 - b. Who is responsible for determining which procedures are necessary for startup? (Appendix III.2 p. e/24/6)
6. Provide the controlling procedure for the preventive maintenance program, currently planned for implementation by 11/30/85 (Appendix III.2 p. e/24/9)
7.
 - a. Provide a listing of MWOs on systems important to safety that will be outstanding at restart, and provide appropriate justification why completion before restart is not required.
 - b. Explain in more detail the criteria being used to determine MWOs to be completed prior to restart (Appendix III.2 p. e/24/11)
 - c. Who is the operations department representative and who comprises the Restart Committee, as it affects prioritization of MWOs? (Appendix III.2 p. e/24/11)
 - d. Describe how you intend to close the backlog existing at restart considering the expected generation of additional MWOs following resumption of operations.

8. In light of the fact that a formal training program for personnel performing maintenance on limitorque valve operations and personnel operating and analyzing data from MOVATS test equipment is listed as a post-startup item, how is it being assured that personnel performing such actions prior to startup have received adequate training?
9. Describe QA involvement with maintenance activities during the transition to the new maintenance program.