

GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY PROJECT

Institute for Policy Studies

1901 Que Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009

(202) 234-938

February 8, 1984

*Janet A.
Lots of business
with Jim L. &
Steve B.*

2-14

Mr. Richard C. DeYoung
Director of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. DeYoung:

On January 5, 1984, Duke Power Company (Duke) submitted a response to the Government Accountability Project's (GAP) 2.206 Petition filed September 14, 1983, with the Commission. That petition requested a 100 percent reinspection of the safety-related areas of the plant, a review of the design deficiencies and the breakdown in the design change control system, and a review of the implementation of the QA/QC program. We also requested a management audit of Duke's Catawba management, an Office of Investigations investigation, and monitoring of the Office of Inspector and Auditor investigation.

In our recent meeting regarding Midland, I informed you that I wanted the opportunity to respond to Duke's response which was, according to Mr. Steve Burns of the Executive Legal Director's Office (ELD), requested by the NRC.

To do so most accurately, I have forwarded copies of Duke's response to a group of site quality control inspectors who have agreed to assist GAP in an attempt to explain to you the results of the procedural quality assurance breakdown. In order to allow enough time for their review, I request an extension of 30-days to respond, in writing. If a shorter time period is necessary, I would like to arrange for a meeting of Catawba employees to explain the concerns they have about the as-built condition of the plant.

I look forward to your response.

Yours truly,

Billie Pirner Garde

Billie Pirner Garde
Citizens Clinic Director

BPG:me

8512190269 851210
PDR FOIA
CARR85-584 PDR

E-4