

~~SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION~~
U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Docket Nos: 50-266; 50-301
Licenses No: DPR-24; DPR-27

Reports No: 50-266/97007(DRS); 50-301/97007(DRS)

Licensee: Wisconsin Electric Power Company

Facility: Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 & 2

Location: 6610 Nuclear Road
Two Rivers, WI 54241

Dates: March 31 to April 10, 1997

Inspector: Terry J. Madedo, Physical Security Inspector

Approved by: James R. Creed, Chief, Plant Support Branch 1
Division of Reactor Safety

9705120265 970505
PDR ADCK 05000266
G PDR

~~SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION~~
Enclosure Contained
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION
Upon Completion This
Page is Decontrolled

~~UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION~~

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2
NRC Inspection Reports 50-266/97007; 50-301/97007

This inspection included review of plant support activities related to the physical protection of your facility. The report covered routine review of management support activities, review of alarm station activities and communications, verification of the reliability of security equipment and employed compensatory measures, follow-up on previous inspection findings, and review of a Licensee Security Event Report regarding a failure to implement a compensatory measure in a timely manner.

- Overall, security performance was determined to be adequate and provided the required level of protection to the facility.
- The licensee identified that a security supervisor used poor judgment in spraying three security officers with an inert chemical agent canister. The licensee also identified that the four officers and four security supervisors that were aware of the incident did not report the incident in a timely manner. (Section S1.1)
- The inspector identified that security personnel failed to recognize a degradation of two vehicle security barriers. (Section S2.1)
- The licensee identified a violation regarding a failure to implement a compensatory measure in a timely manner. The event was caused by poor work practices and by not implementing a procedural requirement. The significance of this violation was that previous corrective action did not prevent recurrence because of weak root cause analysis. (Section S4.1)
- The inspector identified a violation when a vehicle was inadequately searched. This violation was caused by an officer's failure to follow procedural guidance and by poor attention to detail. Previous corrective action to a similar event did not prevent recurrence. The licensee's root cause analysis from the previous violation was not effective. (Section S4.2)

~~UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION~~

Enclosure Containing
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION
Upon Completion This
Is Decontrolled