

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

removed.

There were other instances where the tray that was installed in terms of routing was acceptable and that was left installed.

However, all future cable tray installations subsequent to the decision to change the support system were based on the revised support system.

Q So the determination was really that the design was inefficient but not inadequate.

A That is correct, in terms of support.

Q In terms of support. But in those areas where there was congestion, you might even have a safety problem there if the cables were too close together. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q So in that case, the design was not only inefficient but inadequate.

A That's correct.

Q In the draft report on contractor replacement that you went over with Mr. Boyle yesterday, OPC-25, do you have that with you?

I have an extra copy, if you need it.

A Yeah. I think I do. I'm not sure. OPC-25. I don't think I do have it up here.

Q On Page 16, there are two blanks on Page 16.

7/7/85

DOCKETED  
USMC

\*85 OCT -4 A11:14

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
DRA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
 In the matter of  
 57N50-49801 Official Exp. No.

RECEIVED  
 7/17/85  
 DATE  
 7/17/85  
 WITNESS

IDENTIFIED  
 RECEIVED  
 7/17/85  
 WITNESS

Technical  
 INTERVIEW  
 CONT. QTY  
 CONTRACTOR  
 UNIT  
 1973

06A07490

1 Obviously, one meant to name one company, and one  
2 meant to name another company in the competition to replace  
3 Brown & Root.

4 Can you tell me when those blanks were filled  
5 in with Bechtel and Stone & Webster?

6 A I'd have to see the formal issued version of this  
7 that was in fact filled in and dated to answer your question.

8 Q Okay.

9 Perhaps when you come back you can provide the  
10 dated copy that would show you the answer to this question.

11 This is dated September 8th, as a draft. The  
12 formal announcement of the replacement of Brown & Root was  
13 on what date?

14 A I think that was the 24th.

15 Q Of September.

16 So that sometime between the 8th and the 24th,  
17 these blanks were filled in.

18 A Yes, that's correct.

19 Q In the process of filling in the blanks, could you  
20 just move us from this draft to the discussions that took  
21 place between the 8th and the 24th? Who talked about it?  
22 Who made the decision? How were the blanks filled in?

23 A We had received responses to our requests for  
24 proposal that were in our view responsive inputs from  
25 Bechtel, from Stone & Webster and from Ebasco.

K  
R

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE INC.

7800 SHOAL CREEK BLVD. 316-W

AUSTIN TEXAS 78757

1 We had a review team consisting of three key  
2 persons; Mr. George Oprea, our Executive Vice-President  
3 Nuclear; Mr. Jack Newman, the attorney from Newman- Holtzinger--  
4 in those days it was Lowenstein, Newman, Reis and Axelrad--  
5 and myself.

6 We basically individually prepared rating sheets  
7 as to how we saw the various contractors in terms of  
8 their attributes, in terms of depth, experience, people they  
9 were willing to commit to our particular project, the  
10 ability to commit them in the time frame that was necessary  
11 to support our interests.

12 What we saw as their overall work load in the  
13 likelihood that we would be able to get the resources that  
14 we needed. Certainly the commercial terms/contractual  
15 features.

16 And after we went through our rating, we met to  
17 exchange our views as to how we saw their attributes.

18 We subsequently met with Don Jordan, our Chief  
19 Executive Officer, and reviewed all the information with  
20 Mr. Jordan.

21 That enabled Mr. Jordan to formulate his own opinions  
22 about the strengths of these alternatives.

23 So in effect the final deciding body became four.  
24 We had Mr. Jordan, Mr. Oprea, Mr. Newman, and myself.

25 And after a lot of discussion, it was decided



1 Bechtel offered the best hope to satisfy the needs of our  
2 job.

3 So they were the chosen replacement for Brown &  
4 Root.

5 The runner up was Stone & Webster, and Ebasco  
6 finished third.

7 Q In the initial evaluations of Mr. Oprea and  
8 Mr. Newman, did Bechtel come out first or did Stone & Webster  
9 come out first?

10 A As I recall, I think Mr. Newman favored Stone &  
11 Webster and I think Mr. Oprea favored Bechtel.

12 Q Apparently from this memorandum, another concern  
13 was the impact of replacing Brown & Root on licensing of  
14 the project.

15 I note on Page 17, there is a footnote which  
16 reads, in part:

17 "The replacement of B&R will undoubtedly  
18 have to be considered in the current operating  
19 license hearing and complications may thus arise  
20 as to the timing of the take over of responsibilities  
21 of the new contractor."

22 What were the considerations in regard to  
23 licensing that you had to deal with in terms of replacing  
24 Brown & Root?

25 A Well, we certainly had to review these matters