

50-498/49902

I-CCANP 107
8/1/85

CCANP 107

AUDIT C11-401

PQA-042 (07/83)

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION
HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER**The Light
company**QUALITY ASSURANCE
CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT(1) CAR No. G-508(2) REVISION 0

(3) ORGANIZATION ESI QC	(4) DEF REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	(5) RESPONSE DUE DATE 10-16-84
(6) DOCUMENT VIOLATED PQAP	REV. 2	PARA 10.3 (Pg. 10-2, 10-3)

(7) DESCRIPTION OF CONDITION ADVERSE TO QUALITY

1 See continuation sheet.

(8) INITIATOR T.McBriff	DATE 9-7-84
(9) SUPERVISOR/LEAD AUDITOR J. Clark	DATE 9-12-84
(10) REMEDIAL ACTION None required. Construction's compliance to the noted requirement	

2 has been verified and documented. This was accomplished under the guidelines as delineated in Paragraph 5.3.3.1 of QCP-10.10,

(11) SIGNATURE D. Decker, R.P. Hubbard	DATE 10/8/84	(12) EFFECTIVE DATE N/A
(13) CAUSE OF CONDITION Specification requirement was not identified in QCP-10.10 under section 5.2 "Excavation". It was identified, by general specification reference in Paragraph 5.3.3.1 which deals with Subgrade Preparation.		

3 (14) CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE
PCR #3 to QCP-10.10 (approved 9/7/84)
revised Paragraph 5.2.5 to clearly identify the requirement in the appropriate section of the procedure.

(15) REVIEW AND APPROVAL D. Decker, R.P. Hubbard	DATE 10/8/84	(16) EFFECTIVE DATE 9-7-84
ORIGINAL RESPONSE	(17) HL&P INITIATOR T.McBriff 10-12-84	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACCEPT <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED RESPONSE SUPERVISOR/LEAD AUDITOR J. Clark 10-18-84

AMENDED RESPONSE	(18) CORRESPONDENCE NUMBER	(19) HL&P INITIATOR T.McBriff 10-18-84	<input type="checkbox"/> ACCEPT <input type="checkbox"/> REJECT SUPERVISOR/LEAD AUDITOR J. Clark 10-18-84	DATE 10-18-84
(20) VERIFICATION COMPLETED	(21) VERIFICATION ACTIONS TAKEN	Verified PCR 3 to QCP 10.10 Rev 2 was issued as committed. T.McBriff		

8510290093 850801
PDR ADOCK 05000498
G PDR

(22) HL&P QA CLOSURE (PQAM)

DATE 10/20/84

SECRET NO. 50-49801
IN THE MATTER OF
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Applicant
Interim
Contractor
Other
Witness
DATE
RECEIVED
REJECTED
8/1/85
THZ
@ANP #107

HL&P QA CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT CONTINUATION

(1) CAR NO. G-508
(2) REVISION φ

BLOCK (7) DESCRIPTION OF CONDITION ADVERSE TO QUALITY: (CONT)

PQAP, Rev. 2, paragraph 10.3 requires that inspection procedures provide for identification of characteristics and activities to be inspected.

Contrary to the above requirement:

TBM
97-017

QCP-10.10, Rev. 2, "Soils Inspection" does not require verification of the requirement contained in Specification 3Y069YS0043, Rev. 9, paragraph 7.3.7.2 which states in part, "Removal of backfill within the cells shall be done in a uniform manner. . . Within three feet of the ECW pipe and between the top of the pipe and the spring line, the final elevation difference, just prior to backfilling, shall not be more than 8 inches. Within three feet of the ECW pipe and between the springline and invert, the final elevation difference, just prior to backfilling, shall not be more than four inches."