



Carolina Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 10429  
Southport, NC 28461-0429

APR 14 1997

SERIAL: BSEP 97- 0134  
10 CFR 50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTENTION: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2  
DOCKET NOS. 50-325 AND 50-324  
LICENSE NOS. DPR-71 AND DPR-62  
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 002

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73, Carolina Power & Light Company submits the enclosed Licensee Event Report. This report fulfills the requirement for a written report within thirty (30) days of a reportable occurrence.

Please refer any questions regarding this submittal to Mr. Keith Jury, Manager - Regulatory Affairs, at (910) 457-2783.

Sincerely,

William Levis  
Director — Site Operations  
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant

SFT/sft

Enclosures

1. Licensee Event Report
2. List of Regulatory Commitments

9704230229 970414  
PDR ADDCK 05000325  
S PDR

230021



1022  
1/1

pc (with enclosures):

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN.: Mr. Luis A. Reyes, Regional Administrator  
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900  
Atlanta, GA 30323-0199

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Mr. C. A. Patterson, NRC Senior Resident Inspector  
8470 River Road  
Southport, NC 28461

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN.: Mr. David C. Trimble, Jr. (Mail Stop OWFN 14H22)  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

The Honorable J. A. Sanford  
Chairman - North Carolina Utilities Commission  
P.O. Box 29510  
Raleigh, NC 27626-0510

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See reverse for required number of  
digits/characters for each block)ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION  
COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO  
THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING  
BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (1-6 F33),  
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE  
PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET,  
WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

05000325

PAGE (3)

1 OF 4

TITLE (4)

Core Spray Header Differential Pressure Instrumentation Inoperable

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
03	13	97	97	02	00	04	14	97	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
										05000
									FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
										05000

OPERATING	1	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (11)					
		20.2201(b)		20.2203(a)(2)(v)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)
POWER	95	20.2203(a)(1)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(x)
		20.2203(a)(2)(i)		20.2203(a)(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)	75.71
		20.2203(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203(a)(4)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)	OTHER
		20.2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A
		20.2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)	

## LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME

Steve Tabor, Senior Analyst - Licensing

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)

(910) 457-2178

## COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS

## SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

## EXPECTED

MONTH DAY YEAR

YES

(If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

X NO

## ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On March 9, 1997, the Unit 1 Core Spray Nozzle A Differential Pressure Switch, 1-E21-PDS-N004A, was identified to be indicating -154" H<sub>2</sub>O instead of the -108" H<sub>2</sub>O value previously considered normal. This instrument generates a control room alarm on an in-vessel line break which would cause the reading to be positive. Technical Specifications require that the 1-E21-PDS-N004A alarm setpoint be based on the normal reading. Based on preliminary review it was determined that the -154" H<sub>2</sub>O should be considered normal, and as a result the instrument setpoint was believed to be outside Technical Specification requirements. At 2030 hours, the instrument was conservatively declared inoperable. On March 13, 1997, an engineering evaluation was completed and confirmed that the 1-E21-PDS-N004A instrument setpoint was outside of the Technical Specification value. The evaluation also found that the most probable cause is a bi-stable condition where voiding of the in-vessel Core Spray piping is triggered by vessel conditions. The piping can be either full of water or full of steam and/or non-condensable gases depending on the local hydraulic/thermodynamic conditions at the core spray nozzles. This accounts for readings being stable at two different "normal" conditions. Since the -154" H<sub>2</sub>O reading was the more conservative normal condition, the setpoint was changed based on this value. Data indicates that -154" H<sub>2</sub>O has been a normal reading for this operating cycle, therefore, the setpoint was not in compliance with requirements of Technical Specifications from approximately November of 1996.

The safety significance of this event is considered minimal since, for most core spray line breaks in the vessel annulus, the piping would not remain completely voided and the alarm would have actuated. Additionally, any line break which should have resulted in an alarm would cause core flow to bypass the dryer-separator and affect reactor power sufficiently to alert operators to the problem.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1	05000325	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 4	
		97	-- 02 --	00		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

TITLE

Core Spray Header Differential Pressure Instrumentation Inoperable

INITIAL CONDITIONS

On March 9, 1997, Unit 1 was operating at 95% power with the Automatic Depressurization, the Low Pressure Coolant Injection, the High Pressure Coolant Injection, and the Reactor Core Isolation Cooling systems operable.

EVENT NARRATIVE

On March 9, 1997, during a plant walk down by an Auxiliary Operator, the Unit 1 Core Spray Nozzle A Differential Pressure Switch, 1-E21-PDS-N004A, reading of -154" H<sub>2</sub>O was observed and questioned. 1-E21-PDS-N004A senses differential pressure between an above core plate sensing line and a sensing line connected to the Core Spray sparger and provides an alarm input in the event of a line break of the Core Spray piping within the boundary of the reactor pressure vessel, but outside the boundary of the core shroud (i.e., results in core flow diversion). Prior to the last Unit 1 outage, the readings for 1-E21-PDS-N004A during normal full power operation had been between -91" H<sub>2</sub>O and -115" H<sub>2</sub>O. The instrument setpoint had been established at +31 ± 7" H<sub>2</sub>O based on a normal value of -108" H<sub>2</sub>O. This is consistent with the Technical Specification requirement that the setpoint be 5 ± 1.5 psi above the normal reading.

Based on preliminary review it was determined that the -154" H<sub>2</sub>O should be considered normal, and as a result the instrument setpoint was believed to be outside Technical Specification requirements. At 2030 hours, the instrument was conservatively declared inoperable. On March 13, 1997, an engineering evaluation was completed and confirmed that the 1-E21-PDS-N004A instrument setpoint was outside Technical Specifications. This evaluation also determined that two different "normal" conditions can occur. Since the -154" H<sub>2</sub>O reading was the more conservative normal condition, the 1-E21-PDS-N004A alarm setpoint was changed based on this value. Given that -154" H<sub>2</sub>O has been a normal reading for this operating cycle, the setpoint was not in compliance with requirements of Technical Specifications from November of 1996. Applying the 5 ± 1.5 psi above normal value requirement to the -154" H<sub>2</sub>O normal value, it was determined that the allowable range for the setpoint was +26.2" H<sub>2</sub>O to -57" H<sub>2</sub>O. Review of the last as-left value of the setpoint identified it above the allowed setpoint of +26.2" H<sub>2</sub>O. As a result, this event is being reported in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i) as a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications.

CAUSE OF EVENT

An investigation, using troubleshooting and fault tree analysis techniques, was performed to determine the cause of this event. This effort determined that no physical problem existed with the 1-E21-PDS-N004A or its associated sensing lines. In addition, the investigation confirmed that an actual loss of integrity of the in-vessel piping was not the cause of the new reading, as the shift would be in the opposite direction (more positive) and there was no detectable change in the power-to-flow relationship. Other possible plant parameter changes such as reactor pressure, core flow, void fraction, etc. were considered and no direct link to the cause of the condition could be established.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1	05000325	97	--	02	3 OF 4
			--	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

It was identified that the change in stable indications very closely matched what would be expected if the contents of the Core Spray downcomer piping in the vessel annulus were to change from water to either steam or non-condensable gases. Both conditions can be considered "normal" based upon local hydraulic/thermodynamic conditions at the Core Spray nozzles. Due to this close match, and since other postulated causes had been eliminated, the most probable cause of the shift in indication was determined to be voiding of the in-vessel piping. A review of data has determined the following:

<u>Time/condition</u>	<u>Piping Condition</u>
January 1985	Full
From 8/95 to 9/96	Full
11/06/96 during power ascension	Full
11/13/96 shortly after startup	Full
12/31/96 near full power	Voided
01/29/97 one day after a down power to approximately 60%	Full
03/09/97	Voided
03/14/97 at the start of a down power	Voided
At approximately 65% power	Full
03/16/97 day shift at near full power	Full
03/16/97 - 03/17/97 night shift at near full power	Voided
03/17/97 at approximately 15:00 at near full power	Full
03/18/97 at approximately 15:00 at near full power	Voided
03/27/97 at near full power	Voided

The above data indicates that the most likely cause of this event is a change in state in the 1-E21-PDS-N004A instrument piping contents that resulted from a change in the local hydraulic/thermodynamic conditions at the Core Spray nozzles. This accounts for readings being stable at two different "normal" conditions. It is possible that the fluid in the piping has alternated between the two conditions in the past, but due to infrequent monitoring it was not identified earlier. During a reactor down-power on March 14, 1997, Engineering personnel conducted a pre-planned effort to monitor for this condition. The change in piping condition from voided to full was observed, validating the most likely cause.

Using the voided piping normal reading of -154" H<sub>2</sub>O is conservative (i.e., it will cause the alarm to actuate for a pipe break in either condition); consequently, this reading is now being used as the basis for the alarm setpoint. Additionally, use of this new setpoint is expected to provide adequate indication for anticipated flow diversion scenarios.

### CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The 1-E21-PDS-N004A instrument setpoint of  $+31 \pm 7$ " H<sub>2</sub>O based on a -108" H<sub>2</sub>O normal reading was changed to +2.1" H<sub>2</sub>O (-5.0 to +9.1" H<sub>2</sub>O) based on a -154" H<sub>2</sub>O normal reading. This setpoint is based on the most conservative of the two normal conditions. The basis for the setpoint change is documented in a formal Engineering evaluation and associated 10 CFR 50.59 safety evaluation.



LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1	05000325	97	--	02	4 OF 4
		--	00	--	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Other possibly affected instrumentation was reviewed with the following results:

The Unit 2 Loop A Core Spray sparger has the same basic configuration as the Unit 1 sparger. The 2-E21-PDS-N004A instrument setpoint of  $-9.5 \pm 7"$  H<sub>2</sub>O based on a  $-148"$  H<sub>2</sub>O normal reading was reviewed. The normal reading used for the setpoint determination was apparently taken with the piping voided. Since regular data gathering was started in August of 95, 2-E21-PDS-N004A readings have been between  $-115"$  H<sub>2</sub>O and  $-100"$  H<sub>2</sub>O, indicating that the piping has been full. Since the setpoint was established based on the more conservative of the "normal" readings, the setpoint was found to be acceptable as-is.

The Unit 1 and Unit 2 Loop B Core Spray spargers have spray nozzles above the Core Spray header piping instead of below the piping like the Loop A spargers. For both units, all Loop B readings have indicated full piping conditions. This indicates that until a voided piping reading is noted, there is no basis for considering piping voided as a normal condition. Therefore, there is no need to implement any changes to the Loop B instrumentation or setpoints.

SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The safety significance of this event is considered minimal since for most Core Spray line breaks in the vessel annulus, the piping would not remain completely voided and the alarm would have actuated. Additionally, any line break that should have resulted in an alarm, would cause core flow to bypass the dryer-separator and affect reactor power sufficiently to alert operators to the problem. This condition did not result in operation outside of the Technical Specifications for the Unit 1 loop B or the Unit 2 loops A and B of Core Spray.

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

None

EIIS COMPONENT IDENTIFICATIONSystem/ComponentEIIS Code

Core Spray

BM

ENCLOSURE 2

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2  
NRC DOCKET NOS. 50-325 AND 50-324  
OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-71 AND DPR-62  
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 1-97-002

LIST OF REGULATORY COMMITMENTS

The following table identifies those actions committed to by Carolina Power & Light (CP&L) Company in this document. Any other actions discussed in the submittal represent intended or planned actions by CP&L. They are described to the NRC for the NRC's information and are not regulatory commitments. Please notify the Manager - Regulatory Affairs at the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant of any questions regarding this document or any associated regulatory commitments.

Commitment	Committed date or outage
None	